

Issue Date

August 19, 1996 Audit Case Number 96-FW-214-1002

TO: James E. Hicks

Director, Office of Housing, 6AH

FROM: D. Michael Beard

District Inspector General for Audit, 6AGA

SUBJECT: Credit Finance Corporation

Multifamily Management Agent

Dallas, Texas

We completed a limited review of Credit Finance Corporation's (CFC's) payments for boiler and machinery insurance. The purpose of the limited review was to determine if boiler and machinery insurance expenses paid for with project funds were reasonable and in accordance with Regulatory Agreement and HUD requirements. The review resulted from a separate examination of work papers of CFC's Independent Auditor¹. The Independent Auditor's work papers disclosed problem indicators relating to boiler and machinery insurance payments.

We: (1) examined the Independent Auditor's work papers for three selected projects managed by CFC (Highland Hills, Park Creek Manor, and Rolling Meadows); (2) reviewed checks and supporting documentation provided by CFC's president; (3) interviewed officials of the Independent Auditor, CFC, the Texas Department of Insurance, and HUD Offices of Housing and Counsel.

We conducted the review in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. We performed the review in December 1995 and February 1996. The review covered the period August 1993 through December 1994.

We recently audited CFC's activities and issued a report on October 16, 1995 (report no. 96-FW-214-1001). During the audit, nothing came to our attention that indicated problems with boiler and machinery insurance payments.

SUMMARY

Based on problem indicators found during an examination of the Independent Auditor work papers, we performed a limited review of Credit Finance Corporation (CFC) payments for boiler and machinery insurance. The review found that CFC disbursed at least \$87,700 in project funds for invalid boiler and machinery insurance. The "insurer" and CFC's president lacked legal authority to sell insurance to the projects. Consequently, nine HUD projects, including three projects in default, paid for services they never received. This occurred because CFC's president ignored or did not adequately consider applicable State insurance laws and the impact of HUD requirements. We are recommending you require the owners and CFC to repay the projects for the unallowable insurance payments and obtain valid boiler and machinery insurance as needed.

INTERNAL CONTROLS

An assessment of internal controls was not necessary to satisfy the review objectives. As such, we did not perform a review of internal controls.

* * * *

Within 60 days please give us, for each recommendation made in the report, a status on: (1) corrective action taken; (2) the proposed corrective action and the data to be completed; or (3) why action is not considered necessary. Also, please furnish us copies of any correspondence or directives issued related the audit.

If you have any questions, please contact me or Frank Baca, Assistant District Inspector General for Audit, at (817) 885-5551.

Appendices

Appendix A Audit Finding and Recommen	dations Pa	age 3
Appendix B Schedule of Ineligible Costs	Pa	age 6
Appendix C Payments for Boiler and Mach	inery Insurance Pa	age 7
Appendix D Auditee Comments	Pa	age 8

AUDIT FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS

FINDING - Projects Paid for Invalid Boiler and Machinery Insurance

Credit Finance Corporation (CFC) disbursed at least \$87,700 in project funds for invalid boiler and machinery insurance. The "insurer" and CFC's president lacked legal authority to sell insurance to the projects. Consequently, nine HUD projects, including three projects in default, paid for services they never received. This occurred because CFC's president ignored or did not adequately consider applicable State insurance laws and the impact of HUD requirements.

Regulatory Agreement and HUD requirements. The Regulatory Agreement for multifamily projects states owners shall not, without the prior written approval of the Secretary, pay out any funds, other than from surplus cash, except for reasonable operating expenses and necessary repairs.

Deeds of Trust require mortgagors to maintain insurance as HUD directs. HUD Handbook 4350.1 REV-1 (paragraph 21-4.C) requires the mortgagor maintain boiler and machinery insurance if the project has steam boilers. Also, HUD requires a standard form of boiler and machinery insurance policy (or policies) to evidence the insurance (Form FHA-2447, HUD Property Insurance Requirements, paragraph 5(d)).

State laws govern reciprocal insurance exchanges. Texas' Revised Civil Statutes, Article 19, allows entities to exchange reciprocal or inter-insurance contracts to ensure one another. Before beginning to operate, the exchange must file a declaration with the State's Department of Insurance with information required by statute. Also, the exchange's attorney-in-fact must provide information, meet fidelity bonding requirements, and obtain a Certificate of Authority to act on the exchange's behalf.

Independent Auditor work papers indicate CFC misdirected project assets. Subsequent to the CFC audit, OIG staff performed a review of work papers of CFC's Independent Auditor for selected projects. The review found indicators of other statutory and regulatory violations in the agent's operations relating to boiler and machinery insurance. The Independent Auditor's Boiler & Machinery Coverage work paper stated:

"The B&M policy with Kingsport was cancelled 7/28/93. A bid was obtained with Kemper but was rejected.... Ten projects contribute to a fund set up by CFC to cover repair needs in this area. Contributions to this fund began in August 1993."

Nine of the ten projects the work paper referred to are HUD insured properties. The Independent Auditor provided a copy of a Travelers boiler insurance policy, which indicated a July 31, 1995 effective date. However, as of December 1995 CFC's president said the Traveler's policy hasn't

Page 3 96-FW-214-1002

gone into effect yet. The projects still have insurance through a reciprocal insurance exchange.

Projects lack bona fide insurance coverage. CFC contends the projects had insurance, but could not provide a bona fide insurance policy as proof of coverage for amounts the projects paid for boiler insurance. The CFC president provided a reciprocal insurance exchange policy, which he signed as "Authorized Representative." Texas Department of Insurance officials said they have no record the reciprocal insurance exchange has authority to operate in Texas. Further, the Texas Department of Insurance officials examined the policy and stated the policy was incomplete. It did not identify the insurance company or have a policy number and contained a form (Energy Express Coverage) that was not standard or State-approved. CFC's president said he and the projects formed a reciprocal insurance exchange. The CFC president was its agent, the exchange issued the policy, and the policy had a policy number.

CFC sold insurance policies violating state insurance laws. The HUD Office of Counsel in Fort Worth confirmed that CFC violated Texas insurance laws. HUD's attorney said Texas law requires reciprocal insurance exchanges (and their attorneys-in-fact) to meet statutory filing, bonding and certification requirements before selling insurance. Yet, the Texas Department of Insurance has no record CFC, its president, or the Boiler & Machinery Insurance Reciprocal Insurance Exchange met the requirements. The exchange issued the policy without authority. And, CFC's president appeared to act as the exchange's attorney-in-fact without meeting statutory requirements to represent the exchange. Also, HUD's attorney suggested project file reviews be made to determine whether the projects need the boiler and machinery insurance.

Projects paid at least \$87,700 for invalid boiler insurance. CFC provided checks and other records identifying \$87,700 in payments the nine projects made for boiler insurance from August 1993 through December 1994 (see Appendix C). Three of the nine projects (Highland Hills, Park Creek Manor, and Woodland City) were in default during this period. Further, based on a review of the Independent Auditor's work papers for two projects, the projects likely paid more than the \$87,700 for the period. CFC records showed Highland Hills and Rolling Meadows as making 8 and 11 monthly payments, respectively, during 1994. However, the Independent Auditor's 1994 work papers show Highland Hills made 10 payments and Rolling Meadows made 12 payments.

Auditee Comments

CFC's president declined an exit conference. He sent a written response dated June 18, 1996 (see Appendix D). The written response expressed disagreement with the finding but did not provide details. The response stated CFC would have their insurance consultant provide an independent response after issuance of this report.

Recommendations

We recommend the Fort Worth Office:

- 1A. Except for Park Creek Manor, require the owners and CFC to reimburse the projects \$83,700 for identified improper boiler and machinery insurance payments made from project funds from August 1993 to December 1994.
- 1B. Require the owners and CFC to reimburse HUD \$4,000 for improper boiler and machinery payments relating to Park Creek Manor.
- 1C. Obtain and review project accounting records to identify any other improper boiler and machinery insurance payments made in 1993 and 1994, as well as improper payments made since 1994, and require the owners and CFC to reimburse the projects.
- 1D. Review HUD files and, if necessary, inspect the eight projects CFC still manages to determine whether the projects need boiler and machinery insurance.
- 1E. For projects identified as needing boiler and machinery insurance, require the owners and CFC to obtain valid boiler insurance and provide documentation to the Field Office.
- 1F. Impose appropriate administrative sanctions and civil actions against the owners and CFC for violating the Regulatory Agreements and HUD guidelines if the owners and CFC do not make required repayments and take necessary corrective action.

Page 5 96-FW-214-1002

Schedule of Ineligible Costs

Recommendation Number	<u>Ineligible²</u>
1A	\$83,700
1B	4,000
TOTAL	\$ <u>87,700</u>

² Costs clearly not allowed by law, contract, HUD, or local agency policies or regulations.

Credit
Finance
Corporatio
n
Payments
for Boiler
&
Machinery
Insurance

					Machinery						
					Insurance			Park	Prairie		
Check			ΕI	Euless	Highland	Leigh	Oak	Creek	Creek	Rolling	Woodland
Date	Number		Capitan	Square	Hills	Ann	Hollow	Manor	Manor	Meadows	City
08/20/93	13194		800	800	1,000	600	500	500	800	800	700
09/20/93	13255		800	800	1,000	600	500	500	800	800	700
10/20/93	13328		800	800	1,000	600	500	500	800	800	700
11/20/93	13387		800	800	1,000	600	500	500	800	800	700
12/20/93	13510		800	800	1,000	600	500	500	800	800	700
01/20/94 02/20/94	13570 13591	ck missing	800 800	800 800	1,000 1,000	600 600	500 500	500 500	800 800	800 800	700 700
03/20/94 04/20/94	13614 1148	ck missing	800	800 800	1,000	600	500	500	800	800	700
04/20/94	1167								800		
04/20/94	1191									800	
04/20/94	1223		800								
04/20/94	1227				1,000						
04/20/94	1308					600					
07/05/94	1259			1,600							
07/05/94	1294								1,600		
07/05/94	1303		1,600								
07/05/94	1344									1,600	
07/05/94	1382				2,000						
07/06/94	1236										1,400
07/06/94	1239						1,000				
07/27/94	1491					600					
08/23/94	1303						500				
08/23/94	1360								800		
08/23/94	1454									800	
08/23/94	1561					600					
10/04/94	1351										700
10/04/94	1372						500				
10/04/94	1420								800		
10/04/94	1461		800								
10/04/94	1526									800	
10/04/94	1633					600					
10/18/94	1671					600					
11/04/94	1414			800							

Page 7 96-FW-214-1002

11/04/94	1476							800		
11/04/94	1515	800								
11/04/94	1587								800	
11/14/94	1434		800							
11/14/94	1490							800		
11/14/94	1623								800	
11/14/94	1710				600					
12/09/94	1457		800							
12/09/94	1546							800		
12/09/94	1569	800								
12/09/94	1629			1,000						
12/09/94	1630			1,000						
12/09/94	1670								800	
12/09/94	1764				600					
	TOTAL	\$11,200	\$11,200	\$13,000	\$9,000	\$6,000	\$4,000	\$12,800	\$12,800	\$7,700

Auditee Comments