DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES, HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: PUBLIC AND INDIAN HOUSING

The consolidated discussion for the appropriation "Salaries and Expenses, HUD" is shown in Part 3 of the Justifications. All data are presented on a comparable basis for the 3 fiscal years 2003, 2004, and 2005.

SCOPE OF ACTIVITY

The Public and Indian Housing (PIH) staff is responsible for performing functions in accordance with legislation which authorizes the Department to enter into contracts to provide assistance for the development and operation of Public and Indian housing, and to provide rental assistance payments on behalf of lower-income households. The principal legislative authorization for PIH activities is the U.S. Housing Act of 1937, as amended. The Office of Public and Indian Housing has identified its Strategic Key Priorities. The Office of Public and Indian Housing plans into the long-term strategic plan for the programs. Several activities are underway within PIH that will position the organization in accomplishing those plans. They include our Resource Estimation and Allocation Process (REAP) plans, Workforce Planning efforts, and Human Capital Plans.

Strategic Key Priorities

- 1. <u>HOMEOWNERSHIP</u> PIH has added and enhanced existing programs including the Family Self-Sufficiency program and the Resident Opportunities and Self Sufficiency program along with Sections 8Y, 32, 24, and 9 of the U.S. Housing Act. The Office of Native American Program's (ONAP), Mutual Help Home Homeownership Opportunity Program authorized by Title II of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937, The Native American Housing Assistance and Self- Determination Act of 1996 (NAHASDA), as amended, as well as under the Section 184 Indian Housing Loan Guarantee program authorized by the Housing And Community Development Act of 1992. The growing portfolio of programs demonstrates focus on offering homeownership options to low-income families.
- <u>OPERATING SUBSIDY</u> PIH is developing a new operating subsidy formula regulation with the intent to implement it in fiscal year 2005. This new formula will implement significant recommendations of the Harvard School Of Design's report on Public Housing Operating Costs.
- 3. <u>HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHERS</u> PIH is extremely active in both implementing major new budgetary and funding reforms made to the program in fiscal year 2003 as well as trying to further consolidate and simplify the voucher program such as the changes proposed under HANF. These efforts are major, concurrent initiatives on top of the ongoing administration of the existing voucher program.
- 4. <u>LEVERAGING PRIVATE CAPITAL</u> PIH has focused on helping the Public Housing Industry leverage significantly more private capital to address their capital needs, including major rehabilitation of units and mixed finance developments. Much of this activity has consisted of bond deals leveraging at least \$500 million with other deals in the current pipeline. PIH currently lacks the staff with necessary experience to manage and complete these deals in a proficient manner. This type of financing activity will only grow over the next few years. PIH has also introduced legislation that would initiate many more deals leveraging private capital for Public Housing Projects.
- 5. <u>UTILITIES</u> PIH pays utility costs for PHAs through the Operating Fund. Utilities generally represent 22 percent of the \$3.6 billion subsidy program. Thus, utilities represent a very large (\$750 million) PIH program. A recent study by Harvard University and Congressional direction calls for PIH to focus more on energy efficiency and savings. The new Operating Subsidy funding formula for PHAs will call for the development of a new benchmarking analysis that will analyze utility consumption at all PHAs and guide future energy policies.

- 6. <u>OFFICE OF NATIVE AMERICAN PROGRAMS (ONAP)</u> The Department recently completed an analysis of the effectiveness of the Native American Housing Assistance & Self-Determination Act (NAHSDA) Program. This analysis resulted in low scores for the program. PIH is currently developing a performance measurement system that will track and report on the performance of the program.
- 7. <u>SHIFT FROM AGENCY-BASED TO PROPERTY-BASED ACCOUNTING AND MANAGEMENT</u> PIH, following the recommendations included in the Harvard operating cost study, has decided to shift its focus for Public Housing away from focusing mostly on a PHA and its processes and operations, to a focus on the actual real estate. Most all other multifamily real estate management is focused on the property not an organization. This shift in management philosophy will take years and will involve changes in law, regulations, assessments, accounting and other areas. The emphasis by PIH on property-based management of public housing will also require retraining of staff. These efforts will be critical to PIH successfully implementing this shift in policy.
- 8. <u>RHIIPS/RIMS</u> The Rental Housing Income And Integrity Project is a President's Management Agenda item that PIH is focused on. The goal of HUD and PIH is to reduce the amount of subsidy payment errors that occur due to complicated rent policies, poor rent calculations, inaccurate income reporting and verification and erroneous billing of landlords. The subsidy error is to be reduced by 15 percent in fiscal year 2003, 30 percent in fiscal year 2004 and 50 percent in fiscal year 2005. PIH is actively involved in field reviews of PHAs, including all of the large PHAs that receive 80 percent of PIH funding.
- 9. <u>IT PROJECT MANAGEMENT</u> PIH continues to rely on various information technology to support the oversight and management of its programs. PIH will continue to enhance its systems with the ability to track and report accurately information. PIH will also emphasize training staff in areas such as project management and technical and program skills.
- 10. <u>PROCUREMENT</u> PIH will continue to focus on small business contractors. PIH procurement efforts affect all of our program areas and will be key in accomplishing our Strategic Key Priorities.

Strategic Organizational Realignments

The Office of Public and Indian Housing has completed reorganizations/realignments that will result in a streamlined operational structure. These realignments are done to insure that oversight of programs and resources are conducted in a comprehensive manner.

- The Office of Administration and Budget/CFO has been renamed Office of Administration and Information Technology/Chief Information Officer.
- The Budget Division and the Procurement and Contract Services Division have been realigned as Offices reporting directly to the Immediate Office of the Assistant Secretary. These Divisions were previously under the Office of Administration and Budget/CFO (now Office of Administration, Information Technology Services/CIO).
- The Field Operations Staff and the Office of Troubled Agency Recovery, which includes the Cleveland and Memphis Troubled Agency Recovery Centers (TARCs), have been consolidated and restructured. The new organization is titled the Office of Field Operations.
- The Financial Management Division within the Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs has been realigned under the Real Estate Assessment Center. This division is responsible for the oversight and management of operating subsidy for PHAs.
- <u>Division of Quality Assurance</u> The Department made plans to establish a Quality Assurance Division in the Office of Public and Indian Housing. The additional 75 FTEs provided will be tasked to ensure accurate and timely data regarding the expenditure and projected future funding requirements for the section 8 voucher program.

Resource Estimation and Allocation Process (REAP) Indicators

PIH is in the final stages of analyzing the current staff alignments and expects soon to have results from the REAP review that will provide valuable data regarding utilization of human resources. PIH has assumed responsibility for a number of major initiatives (see Key Priorities section on pages 1 and 2). Implementation of these priorities will impact staffing levels and alter the staffing levels validated in the original REAP. The only way to address these changes is through the REAP refresh that is currently underway. PIH believes that the REAP refresh will validate the minor imbalances and adjust the staffing levels accordingly. To the extent that imbalances remain after the REAP refresh, PIH can make any further adjustments to address them expeditiously through reassignments and/or attrition.

PIH has experienced an average attrition rate of between eight and nine (8.5) personnel per month over the past 6 months. Based on the attrition rate, PIH anticipates that its overall staff level will decrease in the coming months. As the results of the REAP refresh become available, PIH intends to target its hiring to align with staffing shortages under the revised ceilings that will result from the REAP refresh. As it is authorized to hire in the interim, it will either hire within the existing REAP ceilings or where necessary seek appropriate exceptions from the Deputy Secretary.

PIH's Administrative Officer (AO) monitors alignment with REAP in coordination with the Director of the Office of Budget. The AO will monitor staff alignment with the REAP on a monthly basis and report the alignment status to the Assistant Secretary, General Deputy Assistant Secretary, Budget Division Director and the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Administration, Information Technology Services/CFO.

Human Capital Management

Changing program requirements and new initiatives demand staff that meet positive education requirements, such as economists, statisticians, mathematicians, engineers, etc. Also necessary, is additional staff with the knowledge and skills necessary to perform an increasingly complex and evolving workload. The Department has made maximum utilization of our training allocation but much more is needed to meet program requirements.

At the present time, 50 percent of PIH staff is eligible to retire. PIH has tried to address this issue by formal classroom training, cross training and recruiting at the entry level. In addition, PIH has taken maximum advantage, within allocations, of hiring at the entry level by utilizing such programs as the Presidential Management Intern Program (PMI), the Intern Program, the Upward Mobility Program, and student programs such as the Student Temporary Employment Program (STEP) and the Student Career Educational Program (SCEP).

PIH has a number of critical vacancies that it believes can be filled from within PIH such as the HUB director positions and one Program Center coordinator position within the Field Office organizational component. It also has a number of vacancies that may need to be filled externally. PIH must fill a vacant manager position in the Financial Management Division that manages the Public Housing Operating Subsidy Program (this office has lost four employees with significant experience in the last year); PIH must also fill the position of Director of Headquarters Operations within the Office of Native American Programs.

Headquarters Staff

Headquarters staff performs the following principal functions in support of PIH goals:

 Develop program policies, procedures and guidelines for all PIH programs (Housing Assistance for Needy Families, Public Housing Capital Fund, PH Operating Fund, Revitalization of Severely Distressed Public Housing (HOPE VI), Indian Housing Block Grants, Indian Home Loan Guarantees, Native Hawaiian Housing Block Grants, Native Hawaiian Home Loan Guarantees) including priorities for improving Public and Indian Housing through initiatives for crime reduction, economic development, and resident opportunities and self-sufficiency (ROSS);

- Direct and coordinate the administration of all PIH programs and provide training, technical assistance, and procedural program guidance to the HUD Field staff, Public Housing Agencies (PHAs), Indian Housing Authorities (IHAs), and resident groups, as appropriate;
- Monitor, review and evaluate Field program operations and review administrative practices of local agencies, including PHAs, IHAs, and resident groups to ensure that programs are managed efficiently and that services and assistance are provided as intended;
- Provide financial assistance for use by local agencies as well as program, administrative, management, statistical and budget support; and
- Manage and control program and administrative resources;

The Real Estate Assessment Center (REAC) will continue to provide support in the inspection, assessment, monitoring and recovery of PHAs as well as housing related assessments to the Office of Housing.

FIELD EMPLOYMENT

Previous realignment of the field offices gave Headquarters a direct line supervision over the field office activities. During the most recent realignment, PIH organized its business structure to separate the enforcement, monitoring, and program delivery functions.

Field offices are consolidated into 28 Hubs and 16 Program Center Offices serving approximately 4,200 PHAs. The objective of these offices is to manage and coordinate the effective and efficient delivery of HUD programs to all PHAs, and provide concentrated oversight and technical assistance to PHAs with declining performance. The support to PHAs is provided through focused technical assistance, program expertise, and, where necessary, targeted intervention. In addition, field staff continues to get involved in special assignments, such as in supplementing both PIH headquarters and field offices that experience short term, unexpected staff or skill imbalances.

TRAVEL

PIH continues to require travel resources to support the multiple missions that require travel. PIH continues to conduct extensive travel to support the verification of rental housing income and the receiverships efforts at selected PHAs. Field staff continues their activities in monitoring and assisting PHAs. The extensive travel required by REAC continues to ensure that the quality assurance plans for the physical inspection, financial reviews, single family appraisal reviews, and tenant verification reviews are performed continues. These inspection/review efforts are critical to the Department's efforts to restore and maintain the public trust in HUD's important housing programs. The table below identifies travel requirements unique to the PIH organization. In fiscal year 2004, additional Salaries and Expense funding in the amount of \$348 thousand was provided to cover travel requirements previously funded out of program technical assistance funds.

	ACTUAL 2003	ENACTED 2004	ESTIMATE 2005	INCREASE + DECREASE -
		(Dollars in		2005 vs 2004
Travel (HQ)	\$2,425	\$2,943	\$2,960	+\$17
Travel (Field)	3,489	4,234	4,260	+26
Total	5,914	7,177	7,220	+43

NOTE: Field travel includes ONAP Field as well as \$393 thousand for Technical Assistance.

OTHER SERVICES & CONTRACTS

These resources will be used to support the expected increase in activity anticipated in 2004 and 2005 in several areas. General support contract services requiring funds would include temporary clerical support, visual arts, and other miscellaneous administrative and technical assistance support services. These resources will be used to ensure adequate management and financial controls, assist in inquiry services to housing authorities regarding non-citizen immigration status, and on-ongoing REAC operations based on the physical condition, financial, management and customer satisfaction assessments.

				INCREASE +
	ACTUAL	ENACTED	ESTIMATE	DECREASE -
	2003	2004	2005	2005 vs 2004
		(Dollars in	Thousands)	
Technical Services	\$1,739	\$7,141	\$7 , 183	+\$42
Total	1,739	7,141	7,183	+42

In fiscal year 2003, \$3.5 million was budgeted for contracts. In FY 2004, the Department has planned \$2.9 million for contract actions and also apply \$2.5 million towards the newly created Quality Assurance Division. Finally, an additional funding in the amount of \$1 million will be provided to cover technical assistance training requirements.

PUBLIC AND INDIAN HOUSING Personal Services Summary of Change (Dollars in Thousands)

Personal Services	FTE	<u>S&E Cost</u>
2003 Actual	1,676 1,745	\$154,587 167,293
Changes Due To	1,710	10,7255
2005 January Pay Raise	0	1,882
2004 January Pay Raise	0	1,715
Staffing increase/decrease	0	0
Other benefit changes	0	8,827
2005 Request	1,745	179,717

		ND INDIAN HOUS Requirements by es and Expense rs in Thousands	y Grade s	
	2003 <u>Actual</u>	2004 Appropriation/ <u>Request</u>	2005 Request	Increase/ Decrease
Grade:				
Executive Level IV	1	1	1	0
ES-6	1	1	1	0
ES-5	0	0	0	0
ES-4	1	1	1	0
ES-3	3	3	3	0
ES-2	1	1	1	0
ES-1	3	3	3	0
GS-15	146	145	145	0
GS-14	236	245	245	0
GS-13	590	586	586	0
GS-12	382	401	401	0
GS-11	69	83	83	0
GS-10	11	16	16	0
GS-9	46	55	55	0
GS-8	14	18	18	0
GS-7	118	129	129	0
GS-6	13	14	14	0
GS-5	11	20	20	0
GS-4	17	18	18	0
GS-3	2	3	3	0
GS-2	1	2	2	0
GS-1	0	0	0	0
Total Positions	1,666	1,745	1,745	0
Average ES Salary	\$124,644	\$124,644	\$124,644	0
Average GS Salary	\$60,006	\$60,451	\$61,358	+\$907
Average GS Grade	12.2	12.1	12.1	0

PUBLIC AND INDIAN HOUSING Summary of Requirements by Object Class Salaries and Expenses (Dollars in Thousands)

		2004		
	2003 Actual	Appropriation/Request	2005 Request	<u>Increase/Decrease</u>
<u>Object Class</u>				
Personal Services	\$154,587	167,293	\$179,717	+\$12,424
Travel and Transportation of Persons	5,914	7,177	7,220	+43
Transportation of Things	0	0	0	0
Rent, Communication & Utilities	0	0	0	0
Printing and Reproduction	373	419	421	+2
Other Services	1,739	7,141	7,183	+42
Supplies and Materials	92	98	99	+1
Furniture & Equipment	454	0	0	0
Insurance Claims & Indemnities	0	0	0	0
Total Obligations	163 , 159	182,128	194,640	+12,512

Overall Summary of Public and Indian Housing Staff Requirements

	Actual 2003	Estimate 2004	Estimate 2005	Increase + Decrease - 2005 vs 2004
Headquarters	750.0	756.0	756.0	0.0
Field	926.0	989.0	989.0	0.0
Total	1,676.0	1,745.0	1,745.0	0.0

Summary of Public and Indian Housing Staff Requirements

	Actual 2003	Estimate 2004	Estimate 2005	Increase + Decrease - 2005 vs 2004
Headquarters Employment				
Public and Indian Housing				
Assistant Secretary for PIH	15.0	15.0	15.0	0.0
Office of Budget	12.0	13.0	13.0	0.0
Procurement and Contract Services	13.0	12.0	12.0	0.0
Policy, Program & Legislative Initiatives	17.2	17.0	17.0	0.0
Public Housing Voucher Programs	182.2	188.4	188.4	0.0
Public Housing Investment	45.8	45.8	45.8	0.0
Special Applications Center	16.7	16.2	16.2	0.0
Administration, Finance & Budget/CFO	30.8	30.0	30.0	0.0
Office of troubled agency recovery & TARCs	125.4	125.4	125.4	0.0
Grant Management Center	24.6	24.6	24.6	0.0
DAS ONAP	16.0	16.0	16.0	0.0
ONAP National Program Office	30.8	30.8	30.8	0.0
Field Operations	12.7	13.0	13.0	0.0
Subtotal	542.2	547.2	547.2	0.0
Real Estate Assesment Center				
Immediate Office	5.0	6.0	6.0	0.0
Physical Assessment Sub-System	51.7	51.7	51.7	0.0
Financial Assessment Sub-System (MF/LASS)	20.9	20.9	20.9	0.0
Financial Assessment Sub-System (Public Housing)	17.1	17.1	17.1	0.0
Financial Assessment Sub-System (QASS)	11.5	11.5	11.5	0.0
Resident Service & Satisfaction Survey Subsystem	6.4	6.4	6.4	0.0

	Actual 2003	Estimate 2004	Estimate 2005	Increase + Decrease - 2005 vs 2004
Management Assessment Subsystem	4.1	4.1	4.1	0.0
Integrated Assessments Sub-System	13.4	13.4	13.4	0.0
Tenant Income Verification Sub-System	12.2	12.2	12.2	0.0
Single Family Appraisal Sub-System	14.0	14.0	14.0	0.0
Technical Assistance Center	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.0
Human Resource Management	4.5	4.5	4.5	0.0
Contract Management	13.6	13.6	13.6	0.0
Financial Management	30.5	30.5	30.5	0.0
Information Technology	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
Subtotal	207.8	208.8	208.8	0.0
Total	750.0	756.0	756.0	0.0
Field Employment				
Public and Indian Housing				
Field Office HUBS and Program Centers	788.1	851.1	851.1	0.0
Area Office ONAP	137.9	137.9	137.9	0.0
Subtotal	926.0	989.0	989.0	0.0
Total	926.0	989.0	989.0	0.0

Detail of Public and Indian Housing Staff Requirements

		Fisc	al Year 2003 -			Fiscal Year 2004				Fiscal Year 2005			
	Workload	Projected Accomplish-	Projected Unit Cost		Underfunded Workload/	Projected Accomplish-	Projected Unit Cost		Underfunded Workload/	Projected Accomplish-	Projected Unit Cost		
Workload Guideline	Indicator	ment	(Hrs)	FTE	Allocation	ment	(Hrs)	FTE	Allocation	ment	(Hrs)	FTE	
<u>Headquarters Employment (PIH)</u>													
Assistant Secretary for PIH													
Overall Planning and Guidance													
of PIH Activities at HQ and the													
Field	NA			15.0				15.0				15.0	
Perform Budget Formulation and				40.0				40.0				10.0	
Execution	NA			12.0				13.0				13.0	
	Number of												
Provide Procurement Support	Contracts/Tasks Orders Executed	680	40.00	13.0		791	31.70	12.0		791	31.70	12.0	
	Orders Executed	060	40.00			791	31.70			791	31.70		
Subtotal				40.0				40.0				40.0	
Policy, Program & Legislative Initiat	tives												
Propose/Implement Policies,													
Legislation, Rules, Regulations	NA			4.0				4.0				4.0	
	Number of Special												
	Assignments												
Perform Special Assignments	Performed	17	614.11	5.0		17	614.11	5.0		17	614.11	5.0	
Perform Program Management	Number of												
and Oversight	Programs Managed	3	3,650.00	5.2		3	3,500.00	5.0		3	3,500.00	5.0	
General Direction	NA			3.0				3.0				3.0	
Subtotal				17.2				17.0				17.0	
Public Housing and Voucher Progra	ams_												
	# of grants												
Manage Resident Programs	administered	2,654	14.15	18.0		2,654	14.15	18.0		2,654	14.15	18.0	
General Management	NA			3.0				3.0				3.0	
	Number of PHDEP												
Manage PHDEP Programs	Programs	600	20.82	6.0		600	20.82	6.0		600	20.82	6.0	
Rental Housing Integrity Improvement Project (RHIIP)	NA			4.0				4.0				4.0	
				4.0				4.0				4.0	
Operating Fund Formula	NA			5.0				6.0				6.0	

		Fiso	al Year 2003 -			Fiscal Year	2004			Fiscal Year 2005			
Workload Guideline	Workload Indicator	Projected Accomplish- ment	Projected Unit Cost (Hrs)	FTE	Underfunded Workload/ Allocation	Projected Accomplish- ment	Projected Unit Cost (Hrs)	FTE	Underfunded Workload/ Allocation	Projected Accomplish- ment	Projected Unit Cost (Hrs)	FTE	
Program Management and Policy	/ NA						. ,				. ,		
Program Monitoring/Oversight	NA			13.0				13.0				13.0	
policy for Sec. 8 funding and financial management for section 8 tenant-based/ Mod Rehab													
programs	NA			8.0				8.0				8.0	
Provide Program Support	NA			4.0				4.0				4.0	
Process Vouchers	Rejected Vouchers Processed	7,750	3.77	14.0		7,750	3.77	14.0		7,750	3.77	14.0	
Perform MIS Activities	NA			11.0				7.2				7.2	
Annual Budget Process & Report Monitoring	Budget Reports Generated	21,600	4.88	50.5		21,600	4.88	50.5		21,600	4.88	50.5	
Budget Review & Analysis	Budgets Reviewed	20,875	4.17	41.7		20,875	4.17	41.7		20,875	4.17	41.7	
Subtotal				178.2				175.4				175.4	
General Direction, PHVP				4.0				4.0				4.0	
Division of Quality Assurance				0.0				9.0				9.0	
Total, Public Housing and Voucher	Programs			182.2				188.4				188.4	
Public Housing Investment													
Perform Budget Research and Funds Management	NA			1.0				1.0				1.0	
Perform Programmatic Development and Operations management	Development, Implementation, and Monitoring Processes	38	1,750.00	31.8		38	1,750.00	31.8		38	1,750.00	31.8	
Perform Programmatic Development and Operations management	Number of grants	3,000	6.96	10.0		3,000	6.96	10.0		3,000	6.96	10.0	
General Direction	NA			3.0				3.0				3.0	
Subtotal				45.8				45.8				45.8	
Special Applications Center													
	No. of Applications												
Review and Process Applications		251	91.89	11.0		251	91.89	11.0		251	91.89	11.0	

		Fiso	cal Year 2003 -			Fiscal Year	2004		Fiscal Year 2005			
Workload Guideline	Workload Indicator	Projected Accomplish- ment	Projected Unit Cost (Hrs)	FTE	Underfunded Workload/ Allocation	Projected Accomplish- ment	Projected Unit Cost (Hrs)	FTE	Underfunded Workload/ Allocation	Projected Accomplish- ment	Projected Unit Cost (Hrs)	FTE
General Direction	NA			5.7				5.2				5.2
Subtotal				16.7				16.2				16.2
Administration, Finance & Budget/C	FO											
Perform Accounting and												
Financial Analysis	NA			5.0				5.0				5.0
Perform Administration	Number of Staff											
Management	Supported	185	22.70	2.0		185	22.70	2.0		185	22.70	2.0
Perform Information Systems												
Development	NA			13.8				13.0				13.0
Perform Programmatic and	Process IG/GAO											
Regulatory Monitoring	Requests	6	1,740.00	5.0		6	1,740.00	5.0		6	1,740.00	5.0
General Direction	NA			5.0				5.0				5.0
Subtotal				30.8				30.0				30.0
Office of Troubled Agency Recovery	y & TARCS											
Provide Support to TARC	Number of TARCs	2	13,572.00	11.0		2	11,500.00	11.0		2	11,500.00	11.0
Process and Assess New Troubled PHAs	Number of New PHAs Processed	35	1,055.00	17.7		35	1,055.00	17.7		35	1,055.00	17.7
	Troubled PHAs											
Monitor MOA/Workplan, Troubled												
PHA on site Recovery Visits	visits	187	415.51	37.2		187	415.51	37.2		187	415.51	37.2
	Number of											
Manage Troubled PHAs	Troubled PHAs	45	2,622.00	56.5		45	2,622.00	56.5		45	2,622.00	56.5
General Direction	NA			3.0				3.0				3.0
Subtotal				125.4				125.4				125.4
Grant Management Center												
Provide Grants Processing												
Assistance	NA			4.0				4.0				4.0
	Number of											
Review and Process Categorical Grants - ROSS/Section 8	ROSS/Section 8 Programs	34	895.00	14.6		34	895.00	14.6		34	895.00	14.6
Develop and Maintain Management Information System	I NA			2.0				2.0				2.0
Provide Program Management and Processing	NA			2.0				2.0				2.0

		Fiscal Year 2003				Fiscal Year	2004		Fiscal Year 2005			
Workload Guideline	Workload Indicator	Projected Accomplish- ment	Projected Unit Cost (Hrs)	FTE	Underfunded Workload/ Allocation	Projected Accomplish- ment	Projected Unit Cost (Hrs)	FTE	Underfunded Workload/ Allocation	Projected Accomplish- ment	Projected Unit Cost (Hrs)	FTE
General Direction	NA			2.0				2.0				2.0
Subtotal				24.6				24.6				24.6
DAS ONAP												
Support Native Edge Initiative	NA			6.0				6.0				6.0
General Direction	NA			10.0				10.0				10.0
Subtotal				16.0				16.0				16.0
ONAP National Program Office												
Provide Grant Policy Management and Evaluation	NA			6.0				6.0				6.0
Provide Grant Policy Oversight and Technical Assistance	NA			5.0				5.0				5.0
Program Oversight, Processing and Technical Assistance for Section 184 and Title VI	Number of Section 184 and Title VI Applications	660	15.75	5.0		660	15.75	5.0		660	15.75	5.0
Program Oversight, Processing and Technical Assistance for Section 184A	Number of Section 184A Applications	300	82.23	11.8		300	82.23	11.8		300	82.23	11.8
General Direction	NA			3.0				3.0				3.0
Subtotal				30.8				30.8				30.8
Field Operations												
Provide Management and Oversight of Field Staff	NA			6.0				6.0				6.0
Provide Field Office Support	NA			4.0				4.0				4.0
General Direction	NA			2.7				3.0				3.0
Subtotal				12.7				13.0				13.0
PIH Headquarters Total				542.2				547.2				547.2
Field Employment (PIH)												
Field Office HUBS and Program Ce	nters											
Provide Technical Assistance, Management and Oversight	Number of Section 8 PHAs	2,655	54.22	68.9		2,655	54.22	68.9		2,100	54.22	68.9
Manage Capital Fund	Number of Low Rent PHAs	3,824	50.70	92.9		3,400	57.05	92.9		3,400	57.05	92.9

			Fiscal Year	2004		Fiscal Year 2005						
Workload Guideline	Workload Indicator	Projected Accomplish- ment	Projected Unit Cost (Hrs)	FTE	Underfunded Workload/ Allocation	Projected Accomplish- ment	Projected Unit Cost (Hrs)	FTE	Underfunded Workload/ Allocation	Projected Accomplish- ment	Projected Unit Cost (Hrs)	FTE
Monitor and Assist HOPE VI												
Grantees	# of Hope VI Grants	277	346.74	46.0		277	346.74	46.0		277	346.74	46.0
Provide management and Oversight	# of PHAs	3,520	64.53	108.8		3,400	66.80	108.8		3,400	66.80	108.8
Process PHA Plans	# of PHAs	5,795	18.75	52.0		5,795	18.75	52.0		5,795	18.75	52.0
Provide Administrative Support	NA			64.0				70.8				70.8
Provide Technical Assistance	# of PHAs	4,820	47.90	110.6		3,400	67.90	110.6		3,400	67.90	110.6
Perform Financial Analysis	# of PHAs	3,385	21.65	35.1		3,385	21.65	35.1		3,385	21.65	35.1
Perform Occupancy/admissions	# of PHAs	3,745	24.55	44.0		3,400	27.15	44.2		3,400	27.15	44.2
Provide Management and Oversight	Number of Low Rent PHAs # Non-Hope VI	3,445	9.21	15.2		3,400	9.35	15.2		3,445	9.21	15.2
Administer Grants	Grants	3,790	55.40	100.6		3,790	55.40	100.6		3,790	55.40	100.6
Section 8 Utilization	NA	-,		5.0		-,		5.0		-,		5.0
PHAS Oversight	NA			5.0				5.0				5.0
Division of Quality Assurance General Direction	NA			0.0 40.0				66.0 30.0				66.0 30.0
Subtotal				788.1				851.1				851.1
Area Office ONAP												
Provide Management and Oversight	NA			77.0				77.0				77.0
Conduct Monitoring	Number of Onsite Monitoring Visits Number of Housing	159	264.50	20.1		159	264.50	20.1		159	264.50	20.1
Review Indian Housing plans	Plans Reviewed	548	117.29	30.8		548	117.29	30.8		548	117.29	30.8
Manage Grants	NA			8.0				8.0				8.0
General Direction	NA			2.0				2.0				2.0
Subtotal				137.9				137.9				137.9
PIH Field Total				926.0				989.0				989.0
PIH Total				1,468.2				1,536.2				1,536.2

Real Estate Assessment Center

Immediate Office

		Fiscal Year 2003				Fiscal Year	2004		Fiscal Year 2005			
Workload Guideline	Workload Indicator	Projected Accomplish- ment	Projected Unit Cost (Hrs)	FTE	Underfunded Workload/ Allocation	Projected Accomplish- ment	Projected Unit Cost (Hrs)	FTE	Underfunded Workload/ Allocation	Projected Accomplish- ment	Projected Unit Cost (Hrs)	FTE
Program Coordination and			. ,									
Liaison	NA			2.0				2.0				2.0
	# of encumbered											
Provide General Direction	positions			3.0				4.0				4.0
Subtotal				5.0				6.0				6.0
Physical Assessment Sub-System												
Perform Physical Assessment	# of quality											
Quality Assurance	assessments done	107,100	1.00	51.3		107,100	1.00	51.3		107,100	1.00	51.3
	# of REO Inspections/Valuati											
Perform Inspections/Valuation of	ons of HUD REO											
HUD REO Properties	properties	907	1.00	0.4		907	1.00	0.4		907	1.00	0.4
Subtotal				51.7				51.7				51.7
Financial Assessment Sub-System	(MF/LASS)											
	# of Multifamily											
Perform Financial assessment of	Assessments											
Multifamily Property Owners	completed	21,950	1.99	20.9		21,950	1.99	20.9		21,950	1.99	20.9
Subtotal				20.9				20.9				20.9

		Fiscal Year 2003				Fiscal Year	2004		Fiscal Year 2005				
		Projected	Projected		Underfunded	Projected	Projected		Underfunded	Projected	Projected		
	Workload	Accomplish-	Unit Cost		Workload/	Accomplish-	Unit Cost		Workload/	Accomplish-	Unit Cost		
Workload Guideline	Indicator	ment	(Hrs)	FTE	Allocation	ment	(Hrs)	FTE	Allocation	ment	(Hrs)	FTE	
Financial Assessment Sub-System	(Public Housing)												
	# of Public Housing												
	Financial												
Perform Financial assessments	Assessments												
of Public Housing	performed	6,425	5.56	17.1		6,425	5.56	17.1		6,425	5.56	17.1	
Subtotal				17.1				17.1				17.1	
Financial Assessment Sub-System	(QASS)												
Perform Quality Assurance	# of Quality												
Reviews of Independent	assurance reviews												
Accounting Firms	performed	160	150.38	11.5		160	150.38	11.5		160	150.38	11.5	
Subtotal				11.5				11.5				11.5	
Resident Service & Satisfaction Sur	rvey Subsystem												
	# of MF/PH												
	resident												
Manage Resident Satisfaction	satisfaction surveys												
Survey Process	sent out	11	1,220.00	6.4		11	1,220.00	6.4		11	1,220.00	6.4	
Subtotal				6.4				6.4				6.4	
Management Assessment Subsyste	em												
	# of management												
	certification												
Perform management	assessments												
certification assessments	performed	6,200	1.39	4.1		6,200	1.39	4.1		6,200	1.39	4.1	
Subtotal				4.1				4.1				4.1	
Integrated Assessments Sub-Syste	m												
	# of annual												
	integrated												
	assessments												
Conduct Integrated assessments	performed	58,500	0.48	13.4		58,500	0.48	13.4		58,500	0.48	13.4	
Subtotal				13.4				13.4				13.4	
Tenant Income Verification Sub-Sys	stem												
Perform Tenant income	# = f = t = = l' = =												
verification and eligibility assessments	# of studies conducted	2.050	8.35	12.2		3,050	8.38	12.20		2.050	8.35	12.20	
Subtotal	conducted	3,050	0.00	12.2		3,050	0.30	12.20 12.2		3,050	6.35	12.20 12.2	
Sublotal Single Family Appraisal Sub-Syster	n			12.2				12.2				12.2	
	# of Approiaal												
	# of Appraisal												
Perform Single Family Appraisal	Quality assessments												
Quality Assurance	performed annually	25,850	1.13	14.0		25,850	1.13	14.0		25,850	1.13	14.0	
Quality / Sourdified	Performed annually	20,000	1.13	17.0		25,650	1.13	10		20,000	1.13	14.0	

		Fise	al Year 2003 -			Fiscal Year	2004		Fiscal Year 2005			
		Projected	Projected		Underfunded	Projected	Projected		Underfunded	Projected	Projected	
	Workload	Accomplish-			Workload/	Accomplish-			Workload/	Accomplish-	Unit Cost	
Workload Guideline	Indicator	ment	(Hrs)	FTE	Allocation	ment	(Hrs)	FTE	Allocation	ment	(Hrs)	FTE
Subtotal				14.0				14.0				14.0
Technical Assistance Center												
Manage Technical Assistance												
Center	Level of Effort			0.9				0.9				0.9
Subtotal				0.9				0.9				0.9
Human Resource Management												
Provide Human Resources	# of Personnel											
support to REAC	supported	180	51.83	4.5		180	51.83	4.5		180	51.83	4.5
Subtotal				4.5				4.5				4.5

		Fisc	al Year 2003 -			Fiscal Year	2004		Fiscal Year 2005			
	Workload	Projected Accomplish-	Projected Unit Cost		Underfunded Workload/	Projected Accomplish-			Underfunded Workload/	Projected Accomplish-	Projected Unit Cost	
Workload Guideline	Indicator	ment	(Hrs)	FTE	Allocation	ment	(Hrs)	FTE	Allocation	ment	(Hrs)	FTE
Contract Management												
Provide Contractor Oversight	# of Contracts											
Services for REAC	administered	520	54.50	13.6		520	54.50	13.6		520	54.50	13.6
Subtotal				13.6				13.6				13.6
Financial Management												
Provide FM/Administrative	# of Personnel											
Support	supported	340	187.10	30.5		340	187.10	30.5		340	187.10	30.5
Subtotal				30.5				30.5				30.5
Information Technology												
Provide Information Technology												
Services for REAC	# of Systems	85	50.00	2.0		85	50.00	2.0		85	50.00	2.0
Subtotal				2.0				2.0				2.0
REAC Headquarters Total				207.8				208.8				208.8