## COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM 2008 Summary Statement and Initiatives (Dollars in Thousands)

HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM	Enacted/ Request	Carryover	Supplemental/ Rescission	Total Resources	Obligations	Outlays
2006 Appropriation	\$1,775,000	\$319,622 <sup>a</sup>		\$2,076,872	\$1,807,124	\$1,811,508
2007 Full-Year CR Estimate	1,805,000	269,402 <sup>b</sup>		2,074,402	1,805,000	1,870,000
2008 Request	1,966,640	269,402	<u></u>	2,236,042	1,967,000	1,901,000
Program Improvements/Offsets	+161,640			+161,640	+162,000	+31,000

a/ Includes recoveries of prior-year unpaid obligations in the amount of \$1.976 million.

b/ Excludes \$346 thousand that expired on October 1, 2006.

#### Summary Statement

The Department requests \$1.967 billion of fiscal year 2008 funding for the HOME Investment Partnerships Program, which is \$50 million more than the fiscal year 2007 Continuing Resolution. The Budget proposes as a separate account of \$50 million for the Housing Counseling Assistance program, which was funded as a set-aside in the HOME program in fiscal year 2006. The funding request is predicated upon the HOME program's key contributions in meeting the Department's strategic goals of increased homeownership opportunities, achieving the President's target of adding 5.5 million new minority homeowners over 10 years, and increasing affordable housing opportunities. During fiscal year 2006, the number of new homebuyers who were assisted with HOME funds was 55,652 and the number of rental units produced was 47,598. The number of existing households assisted with tenant-based rental assistance was 23,325, of which more than 80 percent have incomes below 30 percent of the area median income. Out of a total per unit cost of \$77,961, the average investment in HOME dollars to produce a unit of affordable housing was \$16,887. As of September 30, 2006, the leveraging ratio of other dollars to the HOME funds was 3.7 to 1.

Program evaluations, including the Program Assessment and Rating Tool (PART), have found that the program has a clear purpose, strong management, and can demonstrate results. In fact, three independent evaluations of the HOME Program have been conducted since 1995. Each found the HOME Program to be effective in achieving its intended results. In addition, the Millennial Housing Commission report, issued in May 2002, recommended a "substantial increase in funding" for the HOME Program in recognition of its effectiveness and accomplishments. In the December 2003 *Study of Homebuyer Activity Through the HOME Investment Partnerships Program*, one conclusion was that: "The findings of this report suggest HOME plays a critical role in local efforts to promote affordable homeownership. One of the hallmarks of the HOME Program is the flexibility that it offers participating jurisdictions to design homebuyer programs that are tailored to local needs and market conditions."

#### Initiatives

The Department will propose amending Subtitle E of Title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act (42 U.S.C. 12821) to reauthorize the ADDI program. Funding of \$50 million is proposed for the American Dream Downpayment Initiative (ADDI) in fiscal year 2008, which is a 102 percent increase over the fiscal year 2006 enacted level, reflecting a continuing demand for assistance to overcome the downpayment barrier to homeownership for low-income households.

To improve the efficiency of the technical assistance program and reflect the best estimate of need and capacity, it is recommended that the Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO)/technical assistance allocation be no more than 35 percent of the total technical assistance allocation.

#### Program Description and Activities

HOME funding will provide \$1.9 billion for HOME formula grants, consisting of \$1.14 billion for local Participating Jurisdictions (PJs) and \$760 million for States. In addition, \$50 million is included for ADDI for assistance to low-income homebuyers that will be distributed by the formula prescribed by the authorizing legislation (P.L. 108-186, December 16, 2003). The Budget request will provide \$9.9 million for technical assistance, \$3.8 million for insular areas, and \$3.5 million for transfer to the Working Capital Fund.

• <u>HOME Investment Partnerships Program</u>. The HOME program helps to expand the supply of standard, affordable housing for lowand very low-income families by providing grants to States, units of general local government, and consortia of units of general local governments that are participating jurisdictions (PJs). PJs use their HOME grants to fund housing programs that meet local needs and priorities. PJs have a great deal of flexibility in designing their local HOME programs within the guidelines established by the HOME program statute and program regulations. PJs may use HOME funds to help renters, new homebuyers, or existing homeowners through rehabilitation of substandard housing, acquisition of standard housing (including downpayment assistance), new construction, or tenant-based rental assistance. The low-income benefit requirements established by the HOME statute mandate that all households assisted have incomes below 80 percent of area median and that 90 percent of those assisted with rental housing have incomes below 60 percent of median (see Low-Income Benefit below). The HOME program continually exceeds the low-income benefit requirements, with 97.2 percent of those assisted with rental housing having incomes below 60 percent of area median. HOME works well with other HUD programs to complement comprehensive neighborhood and economic revitalization strategies.

The following aspects of the HOME program make it an effective and efficient provider of affordable rental and homeownership opportunities for the nation's low-income families:

<u>Production</u>. Beginning with fiscal year 1992, the year the HOME program began, through the end of the most recent fiscal year ending September 30, 2006, States and local governments have committed more than \$17.5 billion in HOME funds to projects (based on data from the Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)). Of this amount, almost \$12.1 billion has been disbursed for completed projects, with an additional \$3.5 billion disbursed for on-going projects. The HOME funds disbursed for completed projects have leveraged almost \$45 billion in other Federal, State, local, and private funds for a total of approximately \$57 billion in resources expended for completed projects.

HOME program funding has been committed to newly construct, rehabilitate, or acquire in standard condition an estimated 820,496 units. Of the units to which funds have been committed, 762,000 units have been completed, of which 42 percent are for homebuyers. Based on historical usage, it is projected that 36 percent of HOME funds will be used for new construction, 47 percent for rehabilitation, 14 percent for acquisition, and 3 percent for Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA). An estimated 160,000 families have already received time limited Federal tenant-based rental assistance through the HOME program.

Low-Income Benefit. HOME makes homeownership affordable to lower-income households. All households assisted through the HOME program must have annual incomes that do not exceed 80 percent of the area median income. Eighty-three percent of existing homeowners and 54 percent of new homebuyers receiving assistance have incomes below 60 percent of the median income.

- In addition, the HOME statute requires that at least 90 percent of the households occupying HOME-assisted rental units or receiving HOME-funded rental assistance have incomes that do not exceed 60 percent of the area median income. The HOME program consistently exceeds this income-targeting requirement. A total of 98 percent of households receiving tenant-based rental assistance and 97 percent of households occupying assisted rental units have incomes below 60 percent of the area median, for a combined 97 percent. Furthermore, 56 percent of assisted rental households are those likely to have the worst-case housing needs, with incomes below 30 percent of the area median income.
- <u>Modest Cost Per Unit</u>. The average HOME subsidy for a HOME-assisted unit remains modest. In fiscal year 2006, the average unit subsidy was only \$16,887. The average HOME per-family subsidy for TBRA assistance was \$2,950. HOME funds are effectively leveraged, with more than \$3.73 contributed from other public and private funds for every \$1 of HOME funds.
- <u>Flexible Program Design</u>. HOME's flexible program design allows States and local PJs to successfully meet their needs in a manner most appropriate to local housing markets. There have been many creative uses of HOME funds, including addressing the special needs populations with both TBRA and units linked to supportive services, new models of assistance to new homebuyers, and large and small rental projects, some newly constructed and some acquired and/or rehabilitated. The program also helps meet the need for permanent housing for homeless persons and families.
- <u>Non-profit Housing Development</u>. The HOME statute requires at least 15 percent of each PJ's annual allocation be reserved for housing that is developed, sponsored, or owned by Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs). As of September 30, 2006, State and local government PJs had reserved over \$4 billion, or 20 percent, for CHDO housing activities. Non-profit organizations, including those sponsored by faith-based organizations, also participate in the HOME program as subrecipients acting on behalf of the PJ in accordance with written agreements.
- <u>Capacity</u>. State and local PJs, as well as their non-profit partners, have the capacity to effectively use HOME funds. Since the program began in fiscal year 1992, the number of local PJs has increased from 387 to 638 in fiscal year 2006 due to the formation of new consortia and new metropolitan cities and urban counties, and the impact of new census data. Thus, despite increases in HOME appropriations, the amount of funds going to individual local PJs has not had a <u>proportionate</u> increase, as more and more local jurisdictions have qualified for HOME allocations. Further, additional PJs are expected to be created in fiscal year 2008 largely as a result of new consortia formation. As an example, in fiscal year 1992, with a national appropriation of \$1.5 billion, Miami, Florida's allocation was \$5,314,000, while in fiscal year 2006 Miami received only \$4,644,000, although the national appropriated HOME funds has declined for all PJs. The amended lead hazard removal requirements will also raise the cost of producing a HOME-assisted unit, further reducing the number of housing units that can be assisted by States and local PJs.
- <u>Eligible Recipients</u>. Eligible recipients of HOME funds include States, metropolitan cities (including the District of Columbia), urban counties, Puerto Rico and the Insular Areas of the Virgin Islands, American Samoa, Guam and the Northern Marianas. Under certain circumstances a consortium of geographically contiguous units of general local government also may be eligible for funding.

## COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM Summary of Resources by Program (Dollars in Thousands)

	2005				2006		
2006 Budget Authority	Carryover Into 2006	2006 Total <u>Resources</u>	2006 Obligations	2007 CR Estimate	Carryover Into 2007	2007 Total <u>Resources</u>	2008 <u>Request</u>
\$1,676,648	\$241,598	\$1,918,246	\$1,748,648 <sup>°</sup>	\$1,721,381	\$197,655	\$1,919,036	\$1,899,442
			2				
24,750		24,750	<sup>a</sup>	25,422		25,422	50,000
3,382		3,382	<sup>a</sup>	3,610		3,610	3,833
9,900	35,313	45,213	15,685	9,323	29,528	38,851	9,900
41,580	42,182	83,762	41,778 <sup>d</sup>	42,000	41,713	83,713	<sup>b</sup>
	30	30	23		7	7	
990		990	990	3,264		3,264	3,465
<u></u>	499	499	<u></u>	<u></u>	499	499	<u></u>
1,757,250	319,622			1,805,000	269,402	2,074,402	1,966,640
	<u>Authority</u> \$1,676,648 24,750 3,382 9,900 41,580  990 	2006 Budget Authority  Carryover Into 2006    \$1,676,648  \$241,598    24,750     3,382     9,900  35,313    41,580  42,182     30    990      409	2006 Budget Authority  Carryover Into 2006  2006 Total Resources    \$1,676,648  \$241,598  \$1,918,246    24,750   24,750    3,382   3,382    9,900  35,313  45,213    41,580  42,182  83,762     30  30    990   990     499  499	2006 Budget Authority  Carryover Into 2006  2006 Total Resources  2006 Obligations    \$1,676,648  \$241,598  \$1,918,246  \$1,748,648 <sup>°</sup> 24,750   24,750 <sup>a</sup> 3,382   3,382 <sup>a</sup> 9,900  35,313  45,213  15,685    41,580  42,182  83,762  41,778 <sup>d</sup> 990   990     990   990     100   990	2006 Budget AuthorityCarryover Into 20062006 Total Resources2006 obligations2007 CR Estimate\$1,676,648\$241,598\$1,918,246\$1,748,648\$1,721,38124,75024,75025,4223,3823,3823,6109,90035,31345,21315,6859,32341,58042,18283,76241,77842,0003030239909903,264499499	2006 Budget AuthorityCarryover Into 20062006 Total Resources2006 obligations2007 CR EstimateCarryover Into 2007\$1,676,648\$241,598\$1,918,246\$1,748,648\$1,721,381\$197,65524,75024,750 3,38225,422 3,6109,90035,31345,21315,685 41,5809,32329,52841,58042,18283,76241,77842,00041,7139909903,2649903,264499499499499	2006 Budget Authority  Carryover Into 2006  2006 Total Resources  2006 Obligations  2007 CR Estimate  Carryover Into 2007  2007 Total Resources    \$1,676,648  \$241,598  \$1,918,246  \$1,748,648 <sup>c</sup> \$1,721,381  \$197,655  \$1,919,036    24,750   24,750   25,422   25,422    3,382   3,382   3,610   3,610    9,900  35,313  45,213  15,685  9,323  29,528  38,851    41,580  42,182  83,762  41,778 <sup>d</sup> 42,000  41,713  83,713    990    990  3,264   3,264     499  499    499  499

a/ Obligations and carryover included in Formula Grants.

b/ Fiscal years 2007 and 2008 funds for the Housing Counseling Assistance program are requested in a separate account.

c/ Excludes \$75 thousand that expired.

d/ Excludes \$271 thousand that expired.

FTE	2006 <u>Actual</u>	2007 Estimate	2008 Estimate
Headquarters	24	24	24
Field	116	121	121
Total	140	145	145

## COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM Program Offsets (Dollars in Thousands)

Formula Grants	Amount
2006 Appropriation	\$1,676,648
2007 Full-Year CR Estimate	1,721,381
2008 Request	1,899,442
Program Improvements/Offsets	+178,061

#### Proposed Actions

Formula Allocation. Annual HOME allocations to States and eligible local government PJs are determined by a formula that reflects the severity of local affordable housing needs. After certain amounts are identified for program set-asides and other purposes, 60 percent of the remaining funds are awarded to participating local governments and 40 percent are awarded to States. All States receive a minimum annual allocation of at least \$3,000,000. In addition, the greater of 0.2 percent of the total allocation or \$750,000 is available to Insular Areas (not included in the formula above). For fiscal year 2008, funding for set-asides totals \$17 million (exclusive of the American Dream Downpayment Initiative), leaving \$1.9 billion for allocation to States and participating local governments using the following six formula factors (factors are based on 2000 census data):

- vacancy-adjusted rental units in which the head of household is at or below the poverty level;
- occupied rental units with at least one of four problems (overcrowding, incomplete kitchen facilities, incomplete plumbing, or high rent costs);
- rental units built before 1950 occupied by poor households;
- a ratio of the jurisdiction's costs of producing housing divided by the national cost;
- the number of families at or below the poverty level; and
- the population of a jurisdiction multiplied by the net per capita income.

The formula ensures that PJs with the greatest housing needs receive the most funding. The following reflects projected production for each fiscal year's funding over time of HOME formula allocations for fiscal years 2007 and 2008:

	2007	2008
Production units	97,504 a/	97,649 b/
Tenant-Based Rental Assistance units	17,870	18,750

a/ Includes 13,000 units through the American Dream Downpayment Initiative.

b/ Includes 6,500 units through the American Dream Downpayment Initiative.

Reallocation of Funds. The HOME statute provides that HOME funds will be available to PJs for affordable housing projects for 24 months. Thus, the Department must deobligate HOME funds that have been available to PJs, but have not been committed to affordable housing by the end of the last day of the month of the 24-month period. The Department must also deobligate funds that are required to be reserved for Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs) (15 percent of a PJ's allocation), but by the end of the last day of the month of the 24-month period for CHDOs. As of September 30, 2006, the Department has de-obligated almost \$20 million in non-CHDO funds and \$8.5 million in CHDO funds. The deobligation process ensures that HOME funds are used in a timely manner. As of September 30, 2006, the Department also has made approximately \$11 million in grant reductions as a corrective action for incomplete or ineligible activities. Deobligated non-CHDO funds and grant reduction funds are available for formula allocation cycle. The National Affordable Housing Act provisions require that deobligated CHDO funds be made available through a national competition. Of the \$8.5 million in de-obligated CHDO funds, \$7.5 million were awarded by competition in 2004 and 2005 to 15 PJs to develop permanent rental housing for the chronically homeless.

<u>Eligible Activities</u>. HOME funds can be used for assistance to new homebuyers, acquisition, and rehabilitation of affordable rental and ownership housing, construction of housing and tenant-based rental assistance (TBRA). By statute, funds may not be used to provide TBRA for certain special purposes of the existing Section 8 program, to provide non-Federal matching requirements for other programs, or to finance public housing operating subsidies or modernization.

<u>Matching Requirements</u>. Effective with the 1993 appropriation, PJs must provide matching contributions of at least 25 percent of HOME funds spent for TBRA, rehabilitation, acquisition, and new construction. The Housing and Community Development (HCD) Act of 1992, however, provides that the matching requirement shall be reduced by 50 percent for jurisdictions that are in fiscal distress and by 100 percent for jurisdictions that are in severe fiscal distress. HUD has determined that there are 269 PJs in "fiscal distress" and have had their matching requirements reduced. The Secretary may also reduce the matching requirement for jurisdictions that are declared disaster areas by the President.

#### COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM Program Offsets (Dollars in Thousands)

American Dream Downpayment Initiative	Amount
2006 Appropriation	\$24,750
2007 Full-Year CR Estimate	25,422
2008 Request	50,000
Program Improvements/Offsets	+24,578

#### Proposed Actions

American Dream Downpayment Initiative (ADDI). The Department will propose amending Subtitle E of Title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act (42 U.S.C. 12821) to reauthorize the ADDI program. The Department proposes allocating \$50 million among PJs and States for first-time low-income families in need of downpayment assistance. Homeownership provides low-income families with an opportunity to build assets and share in the American dream. Obtaining the resources to meet upfront downpayment and closing costs is the most significant obstacle to homeownership among lower-income groups. [See Local Initiatives Support Corporation (LISC), "Mind the Gap," (2002).] The ADDI reduces that obstacle for thousands of additional families each year. ADDI funds have already assisted over 21,000 families to become new homeowners, at an average per household assistance level of \$7,500.

The \$50 million proposed for ADDI in fiscal year 2008 would eliminate that obstacle for 5,000 families (along with previous rounds of funding) in fiscal year 2008 and approximately 6,500 families over time. In 2006, HUD awarded \$24.8 million in ADDI funds to 370 State and local governments. The funds enable jurisdictions to increase homeownership rates, especially among minority groups, who generally have lower rates of homeownership compared to the national average, and to stabilize neighborhoods. The effort will specifically contribute to the Presidential/Secretarial goal of creating 5.5 million new minority homeowners over 10 years. Because more than 48 percent of all new homebuyers assisted with HOME funds are minorities, the requested funds under the Downpayment Initiative should create approximately 3,250 new minority homeowners over time.

HUD will provide ADDI funds to PJs in amounts determined by the statutory allocation formula. Thus, HUD will provide ADDI funds to each State in an amount that is equal to the percentage of the national total of low-income households residing in rental housing in the State, as determined on the basis of the most recent available U.S. census data. HUD will further allocate to each local PJ located within a State an amount equal to the percentage of the statewide total of low-income households residing in rental housing in such PJ, as determined on the basis of the most recent available U.S. census data. These allocations will be made only if the local PJ: (1) has a total population of 150,000 individuals or more (as determined on the basis of the most recent available U.S. census data); or (2) would receive an allocation of \$50,000 or more. An allocation that would otherwise be made to a local PJ that does not meet either of these two requirements will revert back to the State in which the participating jurisdiction is located. Participating Jurisdictions have additional flexibility with ADDI in the waiver of Uniform Relocation Act requirements on tenant-occupied properties not available with regular HOME funds, as well as the absence of a matching requirement.

#### COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM Program Offsets (Dollars in Thousands)

HOME/CHDO Technical Assistance	Amount
2006 Appropriation	\$9,900
2007 Full-Year CR Estimate	9,323
2008 Request	9,900
Program Improvements/Offsets	+577

#### Proposed Actions

HOME and CHDO Technical Assistance. The HOME budget proposes \$9.9 million for Technical Assistance (TA) activities for States and local government PJs and non-profit Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs). While HOME technical assistance funds can cover most of the eligible CHDO technical assistance activities, CHDO technical assistance cannot cover most of the eligible HOME technical assistance activities. Therefore, the Department would prefer that should the conference report accompanying the appropriations state a designated allocation for CHDO technical assistance, and then the CHDO allocation be no more than 35 percent of the total. This proposed allocation reflects the need as best as it can be determined, and would allow for the most efficient and effective use of technical assistance funds.

TA projects provide the support and tools to strengthen local capacity of existing PJs and those new to the program, improve program compliance, expand participation by non-profit housing providers, ensure cost-effectiveness and design innovative approaches to affordable housing needs. TA funds support assistance to individual State and local PJs upon request, as well as national training courses ranging from HOME basics to advanced financing techniques for rental developments. In addition, TA funds enable the production of a variety of written and web-based materials that provide guidance to HOME participants. This wide variety of critical uses of TA supports the need for continued funding. The following provides a sample of some of the TA products that have been produced or are in process:

- Nineteen model guidebooks have been produced, including guides on comprehensive monitoring techniques, property and asset management, employer-assisted housing, and special needs housing. Future funds will address PJs' requests for additional on-site TA, training courses, and written products.
- Twelve training courses have been developed to create a full curriculum of housing and community development courses. Recent additions are: Advance HOME, dealing with combining HOME with other sources of financing, monitoring, program management, performance and productivity, lead-based paint abatement focused on implementation, and PJ interaction with non-profits and CHDOs and how to develop effective, productive partnerships.
- Eight new or updated publications and a core curriculum for web-based training have been produced recently. Specific training topics respond to areas of particular concern to the Department (e.g., lead-based paint remediation) and to State and local governments (e.g., building CHDO capacity).
- Work is underway or has been completed on six new model guides, including a rehab tune-up, to help assess current program design, a mixed-income housing guide about ways to do both affordable and market-rate housing together, and a practical guide for using the Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS) for HOME.

- Additional web-based training modules are being developed continuously for posting with the existing ones on HOME's interactive "HOMEfront" training center. See http://www.hud.gov/offices/cpd/affordablehousing/training/homefront/index.cfm.
- HOME TA funds are used to assist grantees under the College of Experts. The College of Experts is a flexible tool that permits the Office of Community Planning and Development to provide expert direct technical assistance to address specific problems or challenges encountered by grantees.

## COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM Program Offsets (Dollars in Thousands)

Working Capital Fund Transfer	Amount
2006 Appropriation	\$990
2007 Full-Year CR Estimate	3,264
2008 Request	3,465
Program Improvements/Offsets	+201

## Proposed Actions

<u>Working Capital Fund Transfer</u>. This budget proposes a transfer of \$3.5 million to the Working Capital Fund. This funding will allow for the maintenance, development, or re-engineering of Community Planning and Development systems, including the Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS).

## COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM Program Offsets (Dollars in Thousands)

Insular Areas	Amount
2006 Appropriation	\$3,382
2007 Full-Year CR Estimate	3,610
2008 Request	3,833
Program Improvements/Offsets	+223

## Proposed Actions

These funds are distributed by formula (see Formula Grants) to the Virgin Islands, American Samoa, Guam, and the Northern Marianas. By statute, the greater of 0.2 percent of the total allocation or \$750,000 is available to Insular Areas each year.

## COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM Program Offsets (Dollars in Thousands)

Housing Counseling	Amount
2006 Appropriation	\$41,580
2007 Full-Year CR Estimate	42,000
2008 Request	<u></u>
Program Improvements/Offsets	-42,000

#### Proposed Actions

Funding for fiscal year 2008 is requested at \$50 million in a separate account within the Office of Housing, reflecting the priority of this Presidential initiative in increasing both national homeownership and minority homeownership.

## DISTRIBUTIONS OF FUNDS BY STATE

The following table shows HOME Investment Partnerships Program allocations by State for 2006, 2007 and 2008 appropriations. The 2008 amounts represent preliminary estimates which are subject to change.

	ACTUAL	ESTIMATE	ESTIMATE
	2006	2007	2008
		(Dollars in Thousands)	
STATE OR TERRITORY			
Alabama	\$24,131	\$26,968	\$26,968
Alaska	4,056	4,515	4,515
Arizona	24,694	27,714	27,714
Arkansas	15,203	16,989	16,989
California	247,356	275,030	275,030
Colorado	21,080	23,777	23,777
Connecticut	19,726	22,098	22,098
Delaware	4,905	5,435	5,435
District of Columbia	8,661	9,622	9,622
Florida	77,411	86,754	86,754
Georgia	41,133	46,167	46,167
Hawaii	7,410	8,310	8,310
Idaho	6,575	7,337	7,337
Illinois	71,877	80,390	80,390
Indiana	28,930	32,514	32,514
Iowa	14,313	16,048	16,048
Kansas	12,840	14,456	14,456
Kentucky	23,670	26,436	26,436
Louisiana	29,558	32,861	32,861
Maine	7,994	8,904	8,904
Maryland	24,023	27,091	27,091
Massachusetts	45,036	50,278	50,278
Michigan	48,574	54,337	54,337
Minnesota	21,620	24,309	24,309
Mississippi	16,393	18,216	18,216
Missouri	29,469	33,060	33,060
Montana	5,891	6,567	6,567
Nebraska	8,609	9,727	9,727
Nevada	11,491	12,883	12,883
New Hampshire	6,173	6,919	6,919

	ACTUAL 2006	ESTIMATE <u>2007</u> (Dollars in Thousands)	ESTIMATE 2008
STATE OR TERRITORY			
New Jersey	46,523	51,975	51,975
New Mexico	10,480	11,661	11,661
New York	192,144	212,808	212,808
North Carolina	\$38,254	\$43,037	\$43,037
North Dakota	3,595	4,048	4,048
Ohio	63,613	71,298	71,298
Oklahoma	19,385	21,708	21,708
Oregon	20,709	23,211	23,211
Pennsylvania	71,430	79,631	79,631
Rhode Island	9,044	10,105	10,105
South Carolina	18,797	21,069	21,069
South Dakota	4,058	4,560	4,560
Tennessee	29,468	33,048	33,048
Texas	112,372	125,973	125,973
Utah	8,872	9,956	9,956
Vermont	4,051	4,503	4,503
Virginia	32,504	36,532	36,532
Washington	32,641	36,703	36,703
West Virginia	12,473	13,800	13,800
Wisconsin	26,918	30,326	30,326
Wyoming	3,543	3,929	3,929
Puerto Rico	31,722	34,049	34,049
Subtotal Formula Grants a/	1,701,398	1,899,642	1,899,642
Other activities	55,852	16,998	66,998
TOTAL HOME	1,757,250	1,916,640	1,966,640

#### COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNT HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM Performance Measurement Table

Program Name: HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM Program Mission: The HOME Investment Partnerships Program plays a key role in expanding homeownership in America.								
	2006 Plan	2006 Actual	2007 Plan	2008 Plan				
The number of homeowners who have been assisted with HOME is maximized. (The American Dream Downpayment Initiative will assist 5,000 new homebuyers in fiscal year 2008 from current and previous appropriations, with full implementation resulting in the assistance of 6,500 new homebuyers over several years.)	GMS/IDIS	33,501 (7,500)	55,652 (9,096)	30,221 (5,000)	29,464 a/ (5,000)			
The number of completed rental nouseholds and rental housing units assisted with HOME.	IDIS	31,419	70,923	30,477	29,563			
The share of HOME-assisted rental units for which occupancy information is reported shall be maintained at a level of 90 percent.	IDIS	90 percent	93 percent	90 percent	90 percent			
The net number of years of affordability remaining for all HOME-assisted units is maximized.	IDIS	1,000,000	1,062,775	1.15 million	1.15 million			
Afficiency Measure: The annual increase In the average "blended" HOME investment per unit produced will be no higher than Bix percent.	IDIS	< 3%	5%	< 6%	< 6%			

a/ Number of homeowners assisted in fiscal year 2008 represents production resulting from pre-fiscal year 2008 appropriations. Production from the fiscal year 2008 funding will occur in fiscal year 2008 and proceed in future years.

#### Explanation of Indicators

#### The number of homeowners who have been assisted with HOME is maximized.

The HOME Investment Partnerships Program provides communities with the flexibility necessary to meet their affordable housing needs in a variety of ways, and has become an important part of the Department's efforts to increase the homeownership rate of minorities and persons with disabilities. HOME funds may be used as direct assistance to the homebuyer for downpayment or closing costs, interest rate subsidies, or development subsidies, including the hard and soft costs associated with the acquisition, new construction, or rehabilitation of single family, and 1-4 unit homes. Performance data indicate that 83 percent of existing homeowners and 54 percent of new homebuyers receiving assistance under HOME have incomes below 60 percent of the median income. The \$530 million in fiscal year 2008 HOME funds that are estimated to be expended on assisting homebuyers is based upon the historical commitment of HOME funds for this purpose--approximately 25 percent of all project commitments. A total of 24,464 new homeowners assisted with HOME funds and 5,000 assisted with ADDI funds are projected for fiscal year 2008, including approximately 1,000 who will be assisted with 2008 funds.

# The number of HOME rental production units that are completed and the number of households assisted with tenant-based rental assistance within the fiscal year will be maximized.

While HUD has a number of programs that can be used to provide affordable housing, the HOME Program is the major affordable housing production program. HOME Program funds can be used by State and local PJs to produce affordable rental units, assist homebuyers and existing homeowners, and provide tenant-based rental assistance (TBRA) for families with incomes well below the low-income threshold for assistance. For example, 43 percent of rental units produced with HOME Program funds are occupied by families with incomes at or below 30 percent of the area median (extremely low-income). Together with HOME-funded TBRA, fully 56 percent of families assisted are of extremely low-income with worst-case housing needs. During fiscal year 2006, PJs completed 120,071 HOME-assisted units using funds from fiscal year 2006 and prior years. Of this total, 47,598 units were rental housing, 55,652 units were homebuyer housing and 16,821 units were existing homeowner rehabilitation housing. An additional 23,325 families were assisted with TBRA. Projections for rental completions in fiscal years 2007 and 2008, excluding TBRA, are 20,698 and 20,077, respectively. The projections for TBRA in fiscal years 2007 and 2008 are 9,779 and 9,486, respectively. The total number of units completed since the beginning of the HOME Program stood at 762,000 through the end of fiscal year 2006. The average investment in HOME dollars to produce a unit of affordable housing was \$16,887 out of a total per-unit cost of \$77,961. As of September 30, 2006, this represents a leveraging ratio of HOME funds to other dollars of 3.73:1.

## The share of HOME-assisted rental units for which occupancy information is reported is maintained at 90 percent.

This indicator tracks the level of reporting by PJs of household occupancy data for HOME rental units into IDIS, the data collection system for HUD's formula grant programs that serve local jurisdictions-CDBG, HOME, ESG and HOPWA. Reporting rates for HOME are based on reporting of HOME rental household data at project completion for those households moving into completed HOME rental developments.

In fiscal year 2006, the share of HOME-assisted rental units for which occupancy information was reported was 93 percent. The goal in fiscal years 2007 and 2008 is to maintain reporting at a minimum 90 percent level.

An important tool in meeting this objective will be the performance "SNAPSHOTs" developed to track the achievements of individual participating jurisdictions. One of the factors in the SNAPSHOT is the percentage of completed HOME-assisted rental units for which occupancy data is reported. The visibility now given this requirement through the performance SNAPSHOT will strongly encourage greater compliance with this HOME program requirement at no cost to the Department.

#### The net number of years of affordability remaining for all HOME-assisted units is maximized.

This indicator tracks the number of years of affordability produced for low-income households residing in units developed through investment of HOME funds. Rental and homebuyer units produced with HOME funds must remain affordable, through rent and other restrictions, to low-income households for a minimum of five and for as much as 20 years--depending upon the amount of the HOME investment. The net number of years of affordability remaining at any point in time is calculated by multiplying the number of units assisted by the remaining number of years of affordability attached to those units. The greater the number of years a unit remains affordable, the greater the rent stability for low-income households and, as a consequence, the greater the likelihood that their disposable income for non-rent expenses will increase or be maintained.

#### Efficiency Measure.

The HOME program has established an efficiency measure that the annual increase in the average "blended" HOME investment per unit produced will be no higher than 6 percent. This measure has been increased to reflect past practice.

## COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM Justification of Proposed Changes in Appropriations Language

The 2008 President's Budget proposes:

For the HOME investment partnerships program, as authorized under title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act, as amended, \$1,916,640,000, to remain available until September 30, 2010, of which \$3,465,000 shall be transferred to the Working Capital Fund: Provided further, That up to \$9,900,000 shall be available for technical assistance.

In addition to amounts otherwise made available under this heading, \$50,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2010, for assistance to homebuyers as authorized under title I of the American Dream Downpayment Act.

## COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM Crosswalk of 2006 Availability (Dollars in Thousands)

Budget Activity	2006 Enacted	Supplemental/ <u>Rescission</u>	Approved Reprogrammings	Transfers	Carryover	Total 2006 <u>Resources</u>
Formula Grants	\$1,693,584	-\$16,936			\$241,598 <sup>ª</sup>	\$1,918,246
American Dream Downpayment Initiative	25,000	-250				24,750
Insular Areas	3,416	-34				3,382
HOME/CHDO Technical Assistance	10,000	-100			35,313	45,213
Housing Counseling	42,000	-420			42,182	83,762
Program Management & Analytical						
Support					30	30
Working Capital Fund Transfer	1,000	-10				990
Disaster Assistance	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	499	499
Total	1,775,000	-17,750			319,622	2,076,872

a/ This carryover includes Formula Grants, the American Dream Downpayment Initiative, and Insular Areas funds.

## COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM Crosswalk of 2007 Changes (Dollars in Thousands)

Budget Activity	2007 President's Budget <u>Request</u>	FY 2007 <u>CR Estimate</u>	2007 Supplemental/ <u>Rescission</u>	Reprogrammings	Carryover	Total 2007 <u>Resources</u>
Formula Grants	\$1,799,642	\$1,721,381			\$197,655 <sup>ª</sup>	\$1,919,036
American Dream Downpayment Initiative	100,000	25,422				25,422
Insular Areas	3,633	3,610				3,610
HOME/CHDO Technical Assistance	9,900	9,323			29,528	38,851
Housing Counseling	b	42,000			41,713	83,713
Program Management & Analytical						
Support					7	7
Working Capital Fund Transfer	3,465	3,264				3,264
Disaster Assistance	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	499	499
Total	1,916,640	1,805,000			269,402	2,074,402

a/ This carryover includes Formula Grants, the American Dream Downpayment Initiative, and Insular Areas funds.

b/ Requested funds as a separate account.