DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

RESIDENT OPPORTUNITIES AND SELF-SUFFICIENCY (ROSS) PROGRAM

FUNDING AVAILABILITY FOR PUBLIC HOUSING RESIDENT OPPORTUNITIES AND SELF SUFFICIENCY (ROSS) PROGRAM

PROGRAM OVERVIEW

Purpose of Program. The purpose of the Public Housing Resident Opportunities and Self Sufficiency (ROSS) Program is to link services to public and Indian housing residents by providing grants for supportive services, resident empowerment activities and activities to assist residents in becoming economically self-sufficient.

Available Funds. A total of \$80.1 million; approximately \$70 million from FY 2002 funds and \$10.1 million in carryover funding.

Eligible Applicants. Public Housing Agencies (PHAs), resident management corporations (RMCs), resident councils (RCs), resident organizations (ROs), Intermediary Resident Organizations (IROs), City-Wide Resident Organizations (CWROs) and nonprofit entities supported by residents. Indian tribes (tribes) and tribally designated housing entities (TDHEs) are eligible for grants under the Resident Management and Business Development (RMBD), Capacity Building (CB), and Resident Service Delivery Models (RSDM) funding categories. Tribes and TDHEs are not eligible for grants as part of Neighborhood Networks, Homeownership Supportive Services and renewal of public housing service coordinator funding categories.

Application Deadline for ROSS Funding Categories.

May 14, 2002 for Resident Management and Business Development;

May 14 2002 for Capacity Building; June 18, 2002 for Resident Service Delivery Models;

July 10, 2002 for Homeownership Supportive Services;

July 10, 2002 for Neighborhood Networks Centers; and

May 14, 2002 for Public Housing Service Coordinator.

Match. At least 25 percent of the grant amount is required as the grant match. This match does not have to be a cash match. The match can be in-kind and/or cash contributions.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

If you are interested in applying for funding under any of these programs, please review carefully the General Section of this SuperNOFA and the following additional information.

I. Application Due Date, Application Kits, Further Information and Technical Assistance.

Application Due Date. Your completed application (one original and two copies is due on or before 12:00 midnight, Eastern time, on the following application due dates to HUD Headquarters at the address shown below.

May 14, 2002 for Resident Management and Business Development; May 14, 2002 for Capacity Building; June 18, 2002 for Resident Service Delivery Models;

July 10, 2002 for Homeownership and Supportive Services;

July 10, 2002 for Neighborhood
 Networks Centers; and
 May 14, 2002 for Renewal of Public
 Housing Service Coordinator grants.

See the General Section of this SuperNOFA for specific procedures governing the form of application submission (e.g., mail application, express mail, overnight delivery, or hand-carried).

New Security Procedures. HUD has implemented new security procedures that impact on application submission procedures. Please read the following instructions carefully and completely. HUD will not accept hand delivered applications. Applicants must comply with the procedures included in the General Section of the SuperNOFA. Address for Submitting Applications. You must submit your completed ROSS Program application (the original and two copies) to the Grants Management Center (GMC), 501 School Street, SW., Suite 800, Washington, DC 20024, by mail using the United States Postal Service (USPS) or it may be delivered only via the following four carrier services: United Parcel Service (UPS), FedEx, DHL, or Falcon Carrier. Delivery by these services must be made during HUD's Headquarters business hours, between 8:30 am and 5:30 pm Eastern Standard Time, Monday to Friday. If these companies do not service your area, you must submit your application via the United States Postal Service. All mailed applications must be postmarked on or before midnight of their due date and received within 15 days of the due

In the case of tribes/TDHEs, please submit your completed application (the original and two copies) to Denver Program Office of Native American Programs (DPONAP), 1999 Broadway, Suite 3390, Denver, CO 80202, by mail using the United States Postal Service (USPS) or it may be delivered only via the following four carrier services: United Parcel Service (UPS), FedEx,

DHL, or Falcon Carrier. Delivery by these services must be made during HUD's business hours, between 8:30 am and 5:30 pm Eastern Standard Time (or Mountain Standard Time for Tribes/TDHEs), Monday to Friday. If these companies do not service your area, you must submit your application via the United States Postal Service. All mailed applications must be postmarked on or before midnight of their due date and received within 15 days of the due date.

For Application Kits. For an application kit and any supplemental material, please call the SuperNOFA Information Center at 1–800-HUD–8929. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may call the Center's TTY number at 1–800-HUD–2209. When requesting an application kit, please refer to the ROSS Program, and provide your name, address (including zip code) and telephone number (including area code). An application kit is also available on the Internet through the HUD web site at https://www.hud.gov/grants.

For Further Information and Technical Assistance. You may contact the local HUD field office or you may call the Public and Indian Housing Information and Resource Center at 1–800–955–2232. In the case of tribes/TDHEs, please contact the Local Area DPONAP or Carol Quinlan, DPONAP, Denver Program Office at 1–800–561–5913 or (303) 675–1600 (this is not a toll free number).

Satellite Broadcast. HUD will hold an information broadcast via satellite for potential applicants to learn more about the program and preparation of the application. For more information about the date and time of the broadcast, you should consult the HUD web site at http://www.hud.gov/grants.

II. Amount Allocated

(A) Total Amount. A total amount of \$80.1 million is allocated for this NOFA. This amount is comprised of \$70 million from the FY 2002 Departments of Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act of 2001, and \$10.1 million in carryover funding. For FY 2002, approximately \$55 million is available for the Resident Opportunities and Self Sufficiency Program under Section 34 of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937, and \$15 million is available from the Public Housing Capital Fund for Neighborhood Networks in public housing developments. The \$10.1 million in carryover funding is composed of approximately \$8.2 million from FY 1998 and FY 1999 carryover funding is being used from the Public Housing

Capital Fund, and an additional \$1.9 million is carried over from the FY 2000 Community Development Block Grant fund. The \$8.2 million is composed of approximately \$2.6 million from the Departments of Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development and Independent Agencies Appropriations Act of 1998 and approximately \$5.6 million from the Departments of Veterans Affairs and Housing and Urban Development and Independent Agencies

Appropriations Act of 1999.

(B) Allocation. To the extent that there are a sufficient number of qualified applications, not less than 25 percent of funds available for ROSS shall be provided directly to Resident councils (RCs), Resident Organizations (ROs), Resident Management Corporations (RMCs), Intermediary Resident Organizations (IROs), tribes/TDHEs on behalf of tribal residents and City-Wide Resident Organizations (CWROs). This requirement will be implemented by the awards made to resident organizations for the Resident Management and Business Development, Capacity Building, and the Resident Service Delivery Models funding categories.

(C) Funding Categories and Funds Allocated to Each Category. The funding categories under ROSS and the amount allocated for each funding category are

as follows:

(1) Resident Management and Business Development (RMBD). A total of \$6 million is allocated for this

funding category.

- (i) Grants will be made directly to site-basedRAs/ROs, RMCs, CWROs, and to tribal ROs, tribal RMCs, tribes/TDHEs that partner with tribal ROs and tribal RMCs to: increase resident involvement and participation in their housing developments; develop resident management opportunities; provide resident-led business or cooperative development opportunities; and obtain necessary supportive services for self-sufficiency.
- (ii) The maximum grant award for this funding category is \$100,000 per applicant.

(2) Capacity Building (CB). A total of \$5 million is allocated for this funding

category, described below:

(i) CB grants will be made to Intermediary Resident Organizations (IROs), tribes/TDHEs on behalf of tribal housing residents, tribal ROs, tribal RMCs, and non-profits which operate associations and networks that administer programs benefiting resident organizations. These grants provide assistance to site-based resident associations who do not yet have the capacity to administer a welfare-to-work

program or conduct management activities.

- (ii) You may apply for funding that will be used to assist public and tribal housing residents in establishing a new resident organization or you may apply for funds to help or enhance the capacity of existing resident organizations to enable residents to participate in housing agency decision-making, manage all or a portion of their housing developments, and/or apply for and administer grants.
- (iii) The maximum amounts for CB grants are: \$100,000 for CWROs or tribes/TDHEs per applicant, and \$240,000 per applicant for all other eligible applicants. Applicants are required to allocate at least two-thirds of the total grant to direct funding of CB activities for site-based RAs/ROs and/or tribal ROs. CWROs or tribes/TDHEs are required to serve a minimum of 3 RAs and/or tribal ROs. All other applicants are required to serve a minimum of 10 RAs and/or tribal ROs.
- (3) Resident Service Delivery Models (RSDM). A total of \$22.9 million is allocated for this category of funding. The Resident Service Delivery Models (RSDM) funding category provides grants to Public Housing Agencies (PHAs), tribes/TDHEs or directly to resident management corporations, resident councils, or resident organizations, and nonprofit entities supported by residents. There are two sub-categories of grants under this funding category: Family Grants for program-related activities and supportive services to establish and implement comprehensive programs that achieve resident self-sufficiency for families; and Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Grants for independent living for the elderly and persons with disabilities.
 - (a) RSDM Family sub-category.
- (i) Maximum grant amount. For RSDM, the maximum grant amounts are as follows:

For PHAs applying for family grants, the maximum grant application award will be based on the number of occupied family conventional public housing units. Tribes/TDHEs applying for RSDM should refer to section III (e) of this NOFA for computation of units for the maximum grant amount.

- (ii) For the RSDM family category, PHAs must use the number of occupied conventional family public housing units to determine the maximum grant amount in accordance with the categories listed below for families:
- For PHAs with 1 to 780 occupied family units, the maximum grant award is \$250,000.

- For PHAs with 781 to 7,300 occupied family units, the maximum grant award is \$500,000.
- —For PHAs with 7,301 or more occupied family units, the maximum grant award is \$1,000,000.
- (b) RSDM Elderly and persons with disabilities sub-category.
- (i) Maximum grant amount. For the Elderly and Persons with Disabilities RSDM Category, PHAs must use the number of occupied elderly conventional public housing units to determine the maximum awards listed below:
- For 1 to 217 units occupied by elderly residents and persons with disabilities, the maximum grant award is \$100,000.
- For 218 to 1,155 units occupied by elderly residents and persons with disabilities, the maximum grant award is \$200,000.
- For 1,156 or more units occupied by elderly residents and persons with disabilities, the maximum grant award is \$300,000.
- (c) The maximum grant award is \$100,000 for each RA.
- (d) Nonprofit entities supported by residents or RAs/ROs are limited to \$100,000 for each RA/RO. A non-profit may submit a single application for no more than three different RAs for a maximum grant award of \$300,000.
- (e) Tribes/TDHEs should use the number of units counted as Formula Current Assisted Stock for Fiscal Year 2001 as defined in 24 CFR 1000.316. Tribes who have not previously received funds from the Department under the 1937 Housing Act should count housing units under management that are owned and operated by the Tribe and are identified in their housing inventory as of September 30, 2001 for either family or elderly/disabled units.
- (4) Homeownership Supportive Services (HSS). A total of \$11.2 million is allocated for this funding category.
- (i) This funding category provides grants for a targeted group of public housing residents who were beneficiaries of previously awarded ROSS grants, and public housing Family Self-Sufficiency participants funded through operating subsidy. This funding category recognizes the improved earning capacity of residents participating in self-sufficiency programs and provides the support necessary to achieve increased opportunities for homeownership for public housing residents through housing choice vouchers. Under this funding category, PHAs will receive grants for counseling and other supportive services to achieve

homeownership for public housing residents. PHAs will design and develop homeownership supportive services for public housing residents. These supportive services shall comprehensively address the needs identified by the PHA for public housing families to obtain homeownership.

(ii) Grants will be made to PHAs. Tribes/TDHEs are not eligible applicants for the HSS.

- (iii) For the Homeownership Supportive Services grants, PHAs must use the number of occupied conventional family public housing units to determine the maximum grant amount in accordance with the categories listed below for families:
- For PHAs with 1 to 780 occupied family units, the maximum grant award is \$300,000.
- For PHAs with 781 to 7,300 occupied family units, the maximum grant award is \$400,000.
- For PHAs with 7,301 or more occupied family units, the maximum grant award is \$500,000.
- (5) Neighborhood Networks (NN). A total of \$15 million is allocated for this funding category. Of this funding, \$12 million will provide grants to PHAs to establish and operate new Neighborhood Networks Centers for public housing residents; and \$3 million will provide funding to update and expand existing computer technology centers to become Neighborhood Networks centers. Computer centers operating in public housing developments or planned for public housing developments will receive assistance to secure the necessary space, computer hardware, software and peripherals necessary to operate selfsustaining NN centers. Conversion to NN centers will be part of the proposed grant for existing and new computer
- (i) For new NN centers, PHAs must use the number of occupied conventional family public housing units to determine the maximum grant amount in accordance with the categories listed below for families:
- For PHAs with 1 to 780 occupied family units, the maximum grant award is \$150,000.
- For PHAs with 781 to 7,300 occupied family units, the maximum grant award is \$250,000.
- For PHAs with 7,301 or more occupied family units, the maximum grant award is \$450,000.
- (ii) To update and expand new and existing technology centers, PHAs must use the number of occupied conventional family public housing

- units to determine the maximum grant amount in accordance with the categories listed below for families:
- For PHAs with 1 to 780 occupied family units, the maximum grant award is \$50,000.
- For PHAs with 781 to 7,300 occupied family units, the maximum grant award is \$100,000.
- For PHAs with 7,301 or more occupied family units, the maximum grant award is \$200,000.

(6) Service Coordinator Renewals. A total of \$20 million is allocated for this funding category.

- (i) The Service Coordinator Renewal category provides grants to PHAs to address the needs of public housing residents who are elderly and persons with disabilities. Service coordinators help residents obtain supportive services that are needed to maintain independent living. Only renewals of FY 1995 Public Housing Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Service Coordinator grants will be funded under this ROSS competition; no applications for new Service Coordinator grants will be accepted.
- (ii) These funds may only be used as follows:
- Renewal of existing Service Coordinator (SC) grants from FY 1995. This limitation is imposed to achieve Congressional intent to renew all service coordinator and congregate services grants.
- For the Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Service Coordinators category, award amounts cannot be higher than your highest funding and staffing level for any one-year period that was approved for their last funded Service Coordinator Grant. An increase of up to 2 percent over this amount will be allowed if supported by a narrative justification.
- (D) Transfer of Funds. Funds for Neighborhood Networks centers may not be transferred to any other funding category within this NOFA. If all funds are not awarded in the RMBD, CB, HSS, SC funding categories, funds will be transferred to the RSDM funding category in this competition.
- (E) Number of Applications Permitted. PHAs applying for Service Coordinator Renewal grants under this program section of the SuperNOFA may apply for one renewal grant and three additional grants in the NN, HSS and RSDM funding categories. RO applicants may submit a total of two applications for RMBD and RSDM, but not more than one application in any one funding category. Nonprofit applicants may submit a total of two applications for CB and RSDM, but not

more than one application in any one funding category under this ROSS competition. Please read each funding category carefully for additional limitations.

III. Program Description; Eligible **Applicants**; Eligible Activities

(A) Program Description. The purpose of ROSS is to assist residents to become economically self-sufficient by providing supportive services and resident empowerment activities. This program is consistent with the Department's goal to most effectively focus resources on "welfare to work" and on independent living for the elderly and persons with disabilities. HUD is looking for applications that implement practical solutions within the grant term, and result in improved economic self-sufficiency for public or Indian housing residents. HUD seeks holistic solutions that involve the support of the entire community in providing self-sufficiency opportunities for residents. Therefore, HUD encourages you to involve elderly and persons with disabilities in activities that support self-sufficiency, such as child-care, mentoring, or after school care. This philosophy should be reflected in your proposed grant activities for all funding categories within this ROSS competition. Current experience with welfare to work programs has shown that a single approach or program does not always result in residents reaching desired selfsufficiency goals. The ROSS program permits proposed grant activities, which will build on or expand previous selfsufficiency efforts. Within the scope of the ROSS Program, proposed grant activities may be directed toward building on the foundation created by previous ROSS grants or other federal, State and local self-sufficiency efforts. Proposed grant activities may enhance self-sufficiency by providing opportunities for increased earning capacity; use of tools to encourage economic capacity (such as Individual Development Accounts); and action on resident goals to move toward homeownership. A description of each of the funding categories was provided in Section II of this program section. This section describes the eligible applicants and eligible activities of each funding category.

(B) Definitions.

City-Wide Resident Organization consists of members from Resident Councils, Resident Management Corporations, and Resident Organizations who reside in housing developments that are owned and operated by the same PHA within a city. Community Facility means a nondwelling structure that provides space for multiple supportive services for the benefit of public or Indian housing residents and others eligible for the services provided. Services that may include but are not limited to:

- (1) Child care;
- (2) After-school activities for youth;
- (3) Job training;
- (4) Twenty/20 Education Communities (TECs) (formerly Campus of Learners) activities; and
- (5) English as a Second Language (ESL) classes.

Contract Administrator means an overall administrator and/or a financial management agent that oversees the financial aspects of a grant and assists in the entire implementation of the grant. A signed Contractor Administrator Partnership Agreement must be included in your application. This agreement may be contingent upon you receiving a grant award and adherence to PHA or tribe/TDHE procurement policies. The contract administrator must assure that the financial management system and procurement procedures fully comply with 24 CFR part 84. Contract Administrators may be: Local Housing Agencies; community-based organizations such as Community Development Corporations (CDCs), churches, temples, synagogues, mosques; non-profits; State/Regional associations and organizations. Troubled PHAs are not eligible to be Contract Administrators.

Firmly Committed means that the amount of resources and their dedication to ROSS-funded activities must be explicit, in writing and signed by a person authorized to make the commitment or by a person who certifies the commitment is by an authorized body or person. This written agreement may be contingent upon receiving an award.

Elderly person means a person who is at least 62 years of age.

Jurisdiction-Wide Resident Organization means an incorporated nonprofit organization or association that meets the following requirements:

- (1) Most of its activities are conducted within the jurisdiction of a single housing agency;
- (2) There are no incorporated Resident Councils or Resident Management Corporations within the jurisdiction of the single housing agency;
- (3) It has experience in providing start-up and capacity-building training to residents and resident organizations; and

(4) Public housing residents representing unincorporated Resident Councils within the jurisdiction of the single housing agency must comprise the majority of the board of directors.

Tribally Designated Housing Entity (TDHE) is an entity authorized or established by one or more Indian tribes to act on behalf of each such tribe authorizing or establishing the housing entity.

Indian Tribe means any tribe, band, nation, or other organized group of a community of Indians, including any Alaska native village or regional or village corporation as defined in or established pursuant to the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act, that is recognized as eligible for the special programs and services provided by the United States to Indians because of their status as Indians pursuant to the Indian Self Determination and Education Act of 1975.

Intermediary Resident Organizations means Jurisdiction-Wide Resident Organizations, City-Wide Resident Organizations, State-Wide Resident Organizations, Regional Resident Organizations, and National Resident Organizations.

National Resident Organization (NRO) means an incorporated nonprofit organization or association for public housing that meets each of the following requirements:

(1) It is national (i.e., conducts activities or provides services in at least two HUD Areas or two States);

- (2) It has experience in providing start-up and capacity-building training to residents and resident organizations; and
- (3) Public housing residents representing different geographical locations in the country must comprise the majority of the board of directors.

Person with disabilities means a person who:

- (1) Has a condition defined as a disability in section 223 of the Social Security Act;
- (2) Has a developmental disability as defined in section 102 of the Developmental Disabilities Assistance Bill of Rights Act; or
- (3) Is determined to have a physical, mental, or emotional impairment which:
- (a) Is expected to be of long-continued and indefinite duration;
- (b) Substantially impedes his or her ability to live independently; and
- (c) Is of such a nature that such ability could be improved by more suitable housing conditions.

The term "person with disabilities" does not exclude persons who have the disease of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome or any conditions arising

from the etiologic agent for acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. In addition, no individual shall be considered a person with disabilities, for purposes of eligibility for lowincome housing, solely on the basis of any drug or alcohol dependence.

The definition provided above for persons with disabilities is the proper definition for determining program qualifications. However, the definition of a person with disabilities contained in section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and its implementing regulations must be used for purposes of reasonable accommodations.

Program Coordinator is a person who is responsible for coordinating various proposed activities to ensure that their accomplishment will assist in achieving the overall grant goals and objectives.

Project is the same as "low-income housing project" as defined in section 3(b)(1) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437 et seq.) (1937 Act).

Resident Association (RA) means any or all of the forms of resident organizations as they are defined elsewhere in this Definitions section and includes Resident Councils (RC), Resident Management Corporations (RMC), Regional Resident Organizations (RRO), Statewide Resident Organization-Wide Resident Organizations (SRO), Jurisdiction-Wide Resident Organizations, and National Resident Organizations (NRO).

Resident Čouncil (RC) means (as provided in 24 CFR 964.115) an incorporated or unincorporated nonprofit organization or association that shall consist of persons residing in public housing and must meet each of the following requirements in order to receive official recognition from the PHA/HUD, and be eligible to receive funds for RC activities and stipends for officers for their related costs for volunteer work in public housing. (Although 24 CFR part 964 defines an RC as an incorporated or unincorporated nonprofit organization, **HUD requires RC applicants for ROSS** grants to be registered with the State at the time of application submission.) The following also applies to resident councils:

(1) The RC must adopt written procedures such as by-laws, or a constitution, which provides for the election of residents to the governing board by the voting membership of the public housing residents. The elections must be held on a regular basis, but at least once every 3 years. The written procedures must provide for the recall of the resident board by the voting membership. These provisions shall allow for a petition or other expression

of the voting membership's desire for a recall election, and set the percentage of voting membership that must be in agreement in order to hold a recall election. This threshold shall not be less than 10 percent of the voting membership.

(2) The RC must have a democratically elected governing board that is elected by the voting membership. At a minimum, the governing board should consist of five elected board members. The voting membership must consist of heads of households (any age) and other residents at least 18 years of age or older and whose names appear on a lease for the unit in the public housing that the resident council represents.

(3) The RC may represent residents residing in:

(a) Scattered site buildings in areas of contiguous row houses;

(b) One or more contiguous buildings;

(c) A development; or

(d) A combination of the buildings or developments described above.

Regional Resident Organization (RRO) means an incorporated nonprofit organization or association for public housing that meets each of the following requirements:

(1) The RRO is regional (i.e., not limited by HUD Areas);

(2) The RRO has experience in providing start-up and capacity-building training to residents and resident organizations; and

(3) Public housing residents representing different geographical locations in the region must comprise the majority of the board of directors.

Resident Management Corporation (RMC) (see 24 CFR 964.7, 964.120) means an entity that consists of residents residing in public housing and must have each of the following characteristics in order to receive official recognition by the PHA and HUD:

- (1) The RMC shall be a nonprofit organization that is validly incorporated under the laws of the State in which it is located;
- (2) The RMC may be established by more than one RC, so long as each such council:
- (a) Approves the establishment of the corporation; and

(b) Has representation on the Board of Directors of the corporation.

- (3) The RMC shall have an elected Board of Directors, and elections must be held at least once every 3 years;
- (4) The RMC's by-laws shall require the Board of Directors to include resident representatives of each RC involved in establishing the corporation; include qualifications to run for office,

frequency of elections, procedures for recall; and term limits if desired;

- (5) The RMC's voting members shall be heads of households (any age) and other residents at least 18 years of age and whose names appear on the lease of a unit in public housing represented by the RMC;
- (6) Where an RC already exists for the development, or a portion of the development, the RMC shall be approved by the RC board and a majority of the residents. If there is no RC, a majority of the residents of the public housing development it will represent must approve the establishment of such a corporation for the purposes of managing the project; and
- (7) The RMC may serve as both the RMC and the RC, so long as the corporation meets the requirements of 24 CFR part 964 for an RC.

Resident Organization (RO) for tribal entities means an incorporated or nonprofit tribal organization or association that meets each of the following criteria:

(1) It shall consist of residents only, and only residents may vote;

(2) If it represents residents in more that one development or in all of the developments of the tribal/TDHE community, it shall fairly represent residents from each development that it represents;

(3) It shall adopt written procedures providing for the election of specific officers on a regular basis; and

(4) It shall have democratically elected a governing board. The voting membership of the board shall consist solely of the residents of the development or developments that the tribal RO represents.

Secretary means the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development.

Site-Based Resident Associations means Resident Councils and Resident Management Corporations.

Statewide Resident Organization (SRO) means a Site-Based incorporated nonprofit organization or association for public housing that meets the following requirements:

(1) The SRO is Statewide;

(2) The SRO has experience in providing start-up and capacity-building training to residents and resident organizations; and

(3) Public housing residents representing different geographical locations in the State must comprise the majority of the Board of Directors.

Tribal/TDHE Resident Group means tribal/TDHE resident groups that are democratically elected groups such as IHA-wide resident groups, area-wide resident groups, single development

groups, or resident management corporations (RCMs).

(C) Resident Management and Business Development (RMBD). This funding category makes grants to establish and strengthen organizational capacity for site-based resident organizations that do not have the capacity to administer a welfare to work program or conduct management activities, conduct training or implement business development.

(1) Eligible applicants. Site-Based Resident Associations (RAs), tribes/TDHES, tribes/TDHEs that partner with tribal ROs or tribal RMCs and City-Wide Resident Organizations (CWROs). If you are an RA/RO that is a beneficiary or recipient of proposed grant activities by a CWRO/TDHE, then you cannot also apply under this category. You may only submit one application under this funding category.

(2) Eligible participants. Program participants must be residents of conventional public housing or Indian housing programs. You must provide a certification that at least 51 percent of those served by your proposed activities are residents affected by welfare reform.

(3) *Eligible Activities*. Funding is limited to the following activities below:

- (a) Training related to resident-owned business, cooperative development, resident property management and technical assistance for job training and placement in housing developments. Proposed grant activities may include but are not limited to: feasibility and market studies; development of business plans; outreach activities; and innovative financing methods including revolving loan funds and the development of credit unions; and legal advice in establishing a resident-managed business entity or cooperative.
- (b) Establishing and funding revolving loan funds. Revolving loan funds cannot be used for acquisition, disposition, or physical development;
- (c) Training residents, as potential employees of an RMC, in skills directly related to the operation, management, maintenance and financial systems of a development;
- (d) Training residents with respect to fair housing requirements; and

(e) Gaining assistance in negotiating management contracts and designing a long-range planning system.

(f) Providing social support needs (such as self-sufficiency and youth initiatives) including:

(g) Feasibility studies to determine training and social services needs;

(h) Training in management-related trade skills, computer skills, and similar skills;

(i) Management-related employment training and counseling including job search assistance, job development assistance, job placement assistance,

and follow up assistance;

(j) Supportive services including: child care services; educational services, remedial education, literacy training, ESL instruction, assistance in attaining a GED; vocational training including computer training; health care outreach and referral services; meal services for the elderly or persons with disabilities; personal assistance to maintain hygiene/ appearance for the elderly or persons with disabilities; housekeeping assistance for the elderly or persons with disabilities; transportation services; congregate services for the elderly or persons with disabilities; and case management;

(k) Training for programs such as childcare, early childhood development, parent involvement, volunteer services, parenting skills, before and after school

programs;

(l) Training programs on health, nutrition, safety and substance abuse. Food costs that are directly attributable to the nutrition and health training are eligible grant expenditures. These are not food costs associated with entertainment.

(m) Workshops for youth services including: child abuse and neglect prevention, tutorial services, youth leadership skills, youth mentoring, peer pressure reversal, life skills, and goal planning. The workshops can be held in partnership with community-based organizations such as local Boys and Girls Clubs, YMCA/YWCA, Boy/Girl Scouts, Campfire, and Big Brother/Big Sisters. Proposed activities may include training in the development of strategies to successfully implement a youth program. For example, assessing the needs and problems of youth, improving youth initiatives that are currently active, and training youth, housing agency staff, resident management corporations and resident councils or resident organizations on youth initiatives and program activities;

(n) Physical improvements to facilities at public or tribal housing developments to provide space for selfsufficiency activities for residents, i.e. to provide cosmetic improvements and repairs to space to conduct community activities; or to expand existing community space for your proposed ROSS activities or modifications for accessibility for persons with disabilities. Renovation, conversion, and repair costs may be essential parts of physical improvements. In addition, architectural, engineering, and related professional services required to prepare

architectural plans or drawings, writeups, specifications or inspections may also be part of the cost components to implement physical improvements. Your physical improvements may not exceed 50 percent of the total grant amount and must be directly related to providing space for self-sufficiency activities for residents. Refer to Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments; all renovations must meet appropriate accessibility requirements, including Section 504 requirements at 24 CFR part 8, Architectural Barriers Act at 24 CFR part 40, the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Fair Housing Act.

(i) The renovation, conversion, or combination of vacant dwelling units in a PHA or tribal development to create common areas to accommodate the provision of supportive services is an eligible activity for physical

improvements.

(ii) The renovation of existing common areas in a PHA or tribal development to accommodate the provision of supportive services.

(iii) The renovation or repair of facilities located near the premises of one or more PHA or tribal developments to accommodate the provision of

supportive services.

(iv) If renovation, conversion, or repair is done off-site, the PHA, tribe or owner must provide documentation that it has control of the proposed property for not less than 2 years and preferably for 4 years or more. Control can be evidenced through a lease agreement, ownership documentation, or other appropriate documentation.

(4) Ineligible Resident Management and Business Development Activities

and Costs.

(a) Entertainment, including associated costs such as food and beverages, except normal per diem for meals related to travel performed in connection with implementing the Work Plan. A travel budget limit of a maximum of \$5,000 is allowed to support travel expenses. These travel expenses must directly pertain to travel related to work plan implementation.

(b) Purchase or rental of land.

(c) Activities not directly related to the welfare-to-work initiatives (e.g., lead-based paint testing and abatement and operating capital for economic development activities).

(d) Purchase of any vehicle (car, van,

bus, etc.).

(e) Payment of salaries for routine project operations, such as security and maintenance, or for applicant staff, except that a reasonable amount of grant funds may be used to hire a person to

- coordinate the Resident Management and Business Development grant activities or coordinate on-site social services.
- (f) Payment of fees for lobbying services.
- (g) Any expenditures that are fraudulent, wasteful or otherwise incurred contrary to HUD or OMB directives.
- (h) Any cost otherwise eligible under this program section of the SuperNOFA for which funds are being provided from any other source.
- (i) Entertainment equipment such as televisions, radios, stereos, and VCRs. An exception to this item may be granted by the HUD Field or DPONAP Office if funding is being utilized specifically for the purposes of establishing a business directly related to radio, television or film or some other form of technical communication, and equipment is being utilized for training of residents, ROs or RAs. All such exceptions must be authorized in writing by the HUD Field or DPONAP Office before purchases may be made.

(j) The cost of application preparation

is not eligible.

(5) Supporting Information. The following information may be useful in developing proposed grant activities and costs:

(a) *Training*. Training activities may include training on HUD regulations and policies governing the operation of low-income public or Indian housing including contracting/procurement regulations; financial management; job and business development training; capacity building to develop the necessary skills to assume management responsibilities at the project and property management; and training in accessing other funding sources.

(b) Hiring trainers or other experts. Resident grantees must ensure that all training is provided by a qualified public housing or management specialist (Consultant/Trainer), HUD Headquarters, Field or DPONAP staff, or the local PHA or tribe /TDHE. A qualified trainer is one who has a high degree of working program knowledge. To ensure the successful implementation of the grant Work Plan activities, you are required to determine the need to contract for outside consulting/training services. You and the PHA or tribe/TDHE must jointly select and approve the consultant/ trainer. Your application should make maximum use of your PHA, non-profit, or other Federal, State, or local government resources for technical assistance and training needs. The amount allowed for hiring an individual consultant for this purpose shall not

- exceed 30 percent of your total grant amount or \$30,000, whichever is less. The amount available for all consultants and contracts should not exceed 50 percent of your grant amount or \$50,000, whichever is less. HUD Field or DPONAP Offices will monitor this process to ensure compliance with program and OMB requirements, and particularly the requirement for competitive bidding. You must use performance-based contracting, which requires that fees be paid in exchange for goods and services actually delivered. For example, a trainer would be paid for the number of residents that were trained, i.e., performance, regardless of the maximum dollar amount quoted in the contract.
- (c) Stipends. Trainees and program participants of an RA, RO or CWRO, may receive stipends for participating in or receiving training under Resident Management to cover reasonable costs related to participation in training and other activities in your program, subject to the availability of funds. The stipends should be used for additional costs incurred during the training programs, such as childcare and transportation costs. The cost of stipends may not exceed \$200 per month per trainee without written HUD Field or DPONAP Office authorization.
- (d) Reimbursement of Reasonable Expenses. Reimbursement of reasonable expenses incurred by Officers and Board members in the performance of their fiduciary duties and/or training related to the performance of their official duties.
- (e) Travel. Travel directly related to the successful completion of your required Work Plan adhere to the travel policy that sets travel costs at a maximum amount of \$5,000 per RA or RO without special HUD approval.
- (f) Child-Care Expenses. Childcare expenses for individual staff, board members, or residents in cases where those who need child care are involved in training-related activities associated with your grant activities.
- (g) Costs incurred by a RA or RO in applying for 501(c) tax-exempt status with the Internal Revenue Service. Please refer to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Publication 557, which describes the requirements for section 501(c) tax exempt organizations and list the applicable forms required.
- (h) Administrative costs. These costs are necessary for the implementation of your grant activities. Administrative costs are not to exceed 20 percent of the grant. Appropriate administrative costs include, but are not limited to, the following reasonable costs or activities:

- (i) Space and equipment.
 Maintenance, utility costs, postage,
 building lease/rental costs, purchase or
 lease of telephone, computer, printing,
 copying, and sundry non-dwelling
 equipment (such as office supplies,
 software, and furniture). If appropriate,
 you may also include rental or lease of
 a car, van, or bus by resident grantees
 to attend training. You must justify the
 need for this equipment or space based
 on services being delivered in
 relationship to implementing your
 approved grant activities;
- (6) *Grant term.* The grant term for Resident Management and Business Development grants is thirty-six months from the execution date of your grant agreement.
 - (D) Capacity Building.
- (1) Eligible applicants. (a)
 Intermediary Resident Organizations
 (IROs) on behalf of public or Indian
 housing residents, which include Public
 Housing Site-Based Resident Councils,
 Resident Organizations and Resident
 Management Corporations, may apply
 for Capacity Building (CB) grants. IROs
 include National Resident
 Organizations, Statewide Resident
 Organizations, Regional Resident
 Organizations, City-Wide Resident
 Organizations, and Jurisdiction-Wide
 Resident Organizations.
- (b) Non-profits that operate as associations or networks that administer programs that benefit public or Indian housing resident organizations are also eligible for this funding category.
- (2) Eligible activities. Eligible activities for CB grants may include, but are not limited to:
- (a) Training for Resident Advisory Board Members and Resident representatives that serve on the PHA Board of Directors, and for resident Board members in community organizing, Board development, and leadership training;
- (b) Assessing the feasibility of training existing resident groups for resident management or for a specific resident management project;
- (c) Assisting in the creation of an RMC, such as consulting and legal assistance to incorporate, preparing bylaws and drafting a corporate charter;
- (d) Developing the management capabilities of existing resident organizations; and
- (e) Determining the feasibility of homeownership by residents, including assessing the feasibility of other housing (including HUD-owned or held single or multi-family) affordable for purchase by residents.
- (3) *Ineligible Activities.* Ineligible activities are the same as those listed in

- Section III(C)(4) of this program section of the SuperNOFA, above.
- (a) In addition, physical development activities are not eligible for funding under CB grants.
- (b) The cost of application preparation is not eligible.
- (4) Administrative costs may include, but are not limited to, purchase of furniture, office equipment and supplies, training, quality assurance, travel, and utilities. Administrative costs must not exceed 20 percent of the total grant costs.
- (5) *Grant term.* The grant term for Capacity Building grants is thirty-six months from the execution date of the grant agreement.
- (E) Resident Service Delivery Models (RSDM).
- (1) Eligible Applicants. (a) Family. This funding category provides grants to PHAs, tribes/TDHEs, resident management corporations, resident councils, resident organizations, and nonprofit entities supported by residents, to enable them to establish and implement comprehensive programs that assist residents in becoming self-sufficient.
- (b) Elderly and Persons with Disabilities. PHAs, tribes/TDHEs and non-profits supported by a duly elected resident council are the only eligible applicants in providing supportive services for the elderly and persons with disabilities.
- (c) IROs with 501(c) status may apply as non-profit entities under this funding category.
- (2) Number of RSDM Applications Permitted.
- (a) General. A PHA or tribe/TDHE must submit an application either for a family or an elderly grant. ROs or RCs must submit one application for a family grant; and non-profits may submit one application for a family or elderly grant representing up to three public or Indian housing resident groups.
- (b) Joint applications. Two or more applicants may join together to submit a joint application for proposed grant activities. Joint applications must designate a lead applicant. All parties in a joint application (lead or non-lead) are considered to be applying for ROSS and are therefore subject to the limit of one ROSS application per applicant, with the exception of those Public Housing Service Coordinator renewal applicants that may also apply in one additional ROSS category. Both lead and non-lead applicants are subject to threshold requirements. Joint applications may include PHAs, RAs, IROs, Tribes/ TDHEs, and nonprofit entities on behalf of resident organizations. Joint

applications must also provide evidence of resident support. The maximum funding for joint applications cannot exceed the sum of the individual grants as specified above. Any eligible applicant can serve as a lead applicant.

(3) Eligible participants. Program participants must be residents of conventional public or Indian housing. You must provide a certification that at least 51 percent of those served by your proposed activities are residents affected by welfare reform.

(4) Eligible Activities. Funds may be used for the activities described below

for the family category.

(a) Program Coordinator. You are encouraged to include a Program Coordinator for either proposed family or elderly RSDM activities for the entire term of your grant. A Program Coordinator is a person who is responsible for coordinating various proposed activities to ensure that their accomplishment will assist in achieving overall grant goals and objectives.

(b) Physical improvements. Physical improvements to provide space for selfsufficiency activities for residents (i.e. to provide cosmetic repairs for space to conduct community activities; or to expand existing community space for proposed ROSS activities) or modification for accessibility for persons with disabilities. Renovation, conversion, and repair costs may be essential parts of physical improvements. In addition, architectural, engineering, and related professional services required to prepare architectural plans or drawings, writeups, specifications or inspections may also be part of the cost components to implement physical improvements. Physical improvements may not exceed 50 percent of the total grant amount and must be directly related to providing space for self-sufficiency activities for residents. Refer to Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments. All renovations must meet appropriate accessibility requirements, including Section 504 requirements at 24 CFR part 8, Architectural Barriers Act at 24 CFR part 40, the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Fair Housing Act. Physical improvements include the following:

(i) The renovation, conversion, or combination of vacant dwelling units in a housing development to create common areas to accommodate the provision of supportive services is an eligible activity for physical improvement;

(ii) The renovation of existing common areas in a housing development to accommodate the provision of supportive services is an eligible activity for physical improvements;

(iii) The renovation or repair of facilities located near the premises of one or more housing developments to accommodate the provision of supportive services is an eligible activity for physical improvements;

- (iv) If renovation, conversion, or repair is done off-site, you must provide documentation that you have control of the proposed property for not less than 2 years and preferably for 4 years or more. Control can be evidenced through a lease agreement, ownership documentation or other appropriate documentation.
- (c) Entrepreneurship training. Entrepreneurship training includes literacy training, computer skills training, and business development planning.

(d) Entrepreneurship development. Entrepreneurship development includes entrepreneurship training curriculum and entrepreneurship courses.

(e) Micro/Loan fund. Developing a strategy for establishing a revolving micro/loan fund and/or capitalizing a loan fund, including licensing, bonding, and insurance needed to operate a business. Revolving loan funds cannot be used for acquisition, disposition, or physical development.

(f) Developing credit unions.
Developing a strategy to establish and/or create on-site credit union(s) to provide financial and economic development initiatives to PHA residents. (RSDM grant funds cannot be used to capitalize a credit union.) The credit union can support the normal financial management needs of the community (i.e., check cashing, savings, consumer loans, micro-businesses money management, home buyer counseling, educational loans, and other revolving loans).

(g) Individual development accounts. Activities or programs that encourage residents to contribute to matched savings accounts known as Individual Development Accounts (IDAs). These programs include financial counseling and education activities. (RSDM funds cannot be used as matching funds for the actual savings account).

(h) Employment training and counseling (e.g., job training, establishing registered apprenticeship programs, preparation and counseling, job search assistance, job development and placement, and supportive services to support job training and apprenticeship activities).

(i) Employer linkage and job placement.

(j) Enhanced self-sufficiency programs or work initiatives. These programs will reinforce initial welfare to work programs and will focus on efforts to increase the earning capacity of residents. With a goal of increased resident earning capacity, additional efforts may be undertaken to establish or develop programs through job training, employment training and counseling, employer linkages and job placement, or other welfare to work initiatives. Activities may include but are not limited to assisting eligible residents in: securing better-paying jobs, seeking an improved work environment, preparing for work in a new job category, or obtaining enhanced job-related skills and educational training.

(k) Family only—supportive services activities. The provision of services to assist eligible residents to become economically self-sufficient, particularly families with children where the head of household would benefit from the receipt of supportive services and is working, seeking work, or is preparing for work by participating in job training or educational programs. Eligible

supportive services may include, but are not limited to:

(1) Child care, of a type that provides sufficient hours of operation and serves appropriate ages as needed to facilitate parental access to education and job opportunities;

(2) Computer-based educational opportunities, skills training, and

entrepreneurial activities;
(3) Homeownership training and counseling, development of feasibility

studies and preparation of homeownership plans/proposals;

(4) Education including but not limited to: remedial education; computer skills training; career counseling; literacy training; assistance in the attainment of certificates of high school equivalency; two-year college tuition assistance; trade school assistance; youth leadership skills and related activities (activities may include peer leadership roles training for youth counselors, peer pressure reversal, life skills, and goal planning). Academic support shall not be limited to TANF recipients;

(5) Youth mentoring of a type that mobilizes a potential pool of role models to serve as mentors to public housing youth. Mentor activities may include after-school tutoring, help with problem resolution issues, illegal drugs avoidance, job counseling, or mental

health counseling;

(6) Transportation costs, as necessary to enable any participating family member to receive available services to commute to his or her training or supportive services activities or place of

employment;

(7) Personal well-being (e.g., family/parental development counseling, parenting skills training for adult and teenage parents, self-development counseling, support groups/counseling for victims of domestic violence, and/or families with a mentally ill member, etc.);

- (8) Supportive health care services (e.g., outreach and referral services to substance and alcohol abuse treatment and counseling, mental health services, wellness programs). Food costs that are directly attributable to the actual nutrition and health training are eligible grant expenditure. These are not food costs associated with entertainment;
- (9) Contracting for case management services or employment of case managers, which must ensure confidentiality about resident's disabilities;
- (l) Administrative costs.

 Administrative costs may include, but are not limited to, purchase of furniture, office equipment and supplies, quality assurance, travel, and utilities.

 Administrative costs must not exceed 20 percent of the total grant costs;

(m) Stipends. No more than \$200 per participant per month of the grant award may be used for stipends for active trainees and program participants to cover the reasonable costs related to participation in training and other

activities.

(5) Elderly and persons with disabilities "eligible activities.
Supportive services activities for elderly and persons with disabilities activities may include, but are not limited to:

(a) A Program Coordinator (See Section III (E) (4) (a) for a description);

(b) Meal service adequate to meet nutritional need;

(c) Assistance with daily activities;

(d) Housekeeping aid;

(e) Transportation services;

- (f) Health and nutrition programs, preventive health education, referral to community resources;
 - (g) Personal emergency response;
- (h) Congregate services—includes supportive services that are provided in a congregate setting at a conventional public housing development;
 - (i) Case management;
- (6) Administrative costs.

 Administrative costs, which may include, but are not limited to, purchase of furniture, office equipment and supplies, training, quality assurance, travel, and utilities. Administrative costs must not exceed 20 percent of the total grant costs.
- (7) *Ineligible Activities*. Activities for which costs are ineligible for funding

under the RSDM funding category include:

(a) Elderly Service Coordinator salary funding;

(b) Payment of wages and/or salaries to participants receiving supportive services and/or training programs, except that grant funds under family RSDM may be used to hire a resident(s) as a Program Coordinator or to provide training program activities;

(c) Purchase or rental of land;

(d) New construction, materials, costs;

(e) Purchase of vehicles; and

(f) Cost of application preparation is not eligible.

(8) *Grant term.* The grant term for Resident Service Delivery Models grants is thirty-six months from the execution date of the grant agreement.

(F) Homeownership Supportive Services (HSS). This funding category makes grants to PHAs for homeownership counseling and other supportive services for public housing residents who have participated in selfsufficiency programs. HSS activities including housing counseling are a missing link for working public housing residents to secure homeownership. HSS will build on the foundation of previous self-sufficiency activities to enhance the economic benefit for residents. Through these self-sufficiency programs the improved earning capacity of residents can be recognized as a foundation for homeownership. These grants will provide public housing residents with critical preparation for moving from renting to homeownership. In addition, HSS grants will create a pool of residents adequately prepared to pursue homeownership through the housing choice voucher program or other local homeownership programs. Therefore, HSS supports the existing section 8 homeownership program activities.

(1) Eligible Applicants. This funding category provides grants to PHAs for homeownership supportive services for public housing residents that were recipients of previously awarded ROSS grants and participates in the public housing Family Self Sufficiency Program funded from the operating fund. Tribes/TDHEs are not eligible applicants for HSS.

(2) Eligible participants and requirements. Program participants must meet all of the following conditions:

(a) This funding category is targeted to the population of public housing residents that were recipients/ beneficiaries of previously awarded ROSS grants between FY 1999 and FY 2000, and participates in the public housing Family Self Sufficiency Program funded from the operating fund.

(b) Program participants must be residents of conventional public housing. As the applicant you must provide a statement that all of those served are residents affected by welfare reform. This includes those residents who are currently eligible, currently receiving, or have received within the preceding five years, assistance or services funded under TANF, SSI, or food stamp program.

(c) Where the number of targeted residents is not sufficient, the PHA may request a waiver to include conventional public housing residents, who participated in State and/or local self sufficiency programs in order to address homeownership needs in the

local area.

(d) ROSS families or PH FSS residents to be targeted for proposed grant activities must meet the following eligibility requirements: Demonstrate an increase over a base year in earned income of at least 35 percent; achieve a level of income within the acceptable range for the local minimum income for home purchases in the local area; and currently reside in public housing.

(e) PHAs must have a Section 8
Homeownership program as stated in 24
CFR 982.625 et seq. (65 FR 55163).
Those PHAs that have not elected to
provide assistance under the voucher
homeownership option (see final rule
published September 12, 2000, 65 FR
55134), will be required to make such
option available to eligible applicants
who receive a voucher.

(f) In applying for HSS, applicants will be required to offer a minimum of 10 housing choice vouchers per year for eligible residents described above.

(3) Eligible Activities. Under this funding category, PHAs will design and develop homeownership supportive services for public housing residents. These supportive services shall comprehensively address the needs identified by the PHA for public housing families to obtain homeownership.

(a) Eligible activities shall include but not be limited to: Pre-purchase homeownership counseling and training; which may include training on such subjects as credit and financial management; credit repair; housing search; how to finance purchase of a home; fair housing; Individual Development Accounts, Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA); and home maintenance.

(b) Program Coordinator. You are encouraged to include a Program Coordinator for activities for the entire term of your grant. A Program Coordinator is a person who is responsible for coordinating various proposed activities to ensure that their accomplishment will assist in achieving overall grant goals and objectives.

(c) Physical improvements. Physical improvements to facilities at public or tribal housing developments to provide space for self-sufficiency activities for residents, i.e. to provide cosmetic improvements and repairs to space to conduct community activities; or to expand existing community space for your proposed ROSS activities or modifications for accessibility for persons with disabilities. Renovation, conversion, and repair costs may be essential parts of physical improvements. In addition, architectural, engineering, and related professional services required to prepare architectural plans or drawings, writeups, specifications or inspections may also be part of the cost components to implement physical improvements. Your physical improvements may not exceed 50 percent of the total grant amount and must be directly related to providing space for self-sufficiency activities for residents. Refer to Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A–87, Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments; All renovations must meet appropriate accessibility requirements, including Section 504 requirements at 24 CFR part 8. Architectural Barriers Act at 24 CFR part 40, the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Fair Housing Act.

(i) The renovation, conversion, or combination of vacant dwelling units in a PHA or tribal development to create common areas to accommodate the provision of supportive services is an eligible activity for physical

improvements.

(ii) The renovation of existing common areas in a PHA or tribal development to accommodate the provision of supportive services.

(iii) The renovation or repair of facilities located near the premises of one or more PHA or tribal developments to accommodate the provision of

supportive services.

(iv) If renovation, conversion, or repair is done off-site, the PHA, tribe or owner must provide documentation that it has control of the proposed property for not less than 2 years and preferably for 4 years or more. Control can be evidenced through a lease agreement, ownership documentation, or other appropriate documentation.

(d) PHAs are strongly encouraged to partner with HUD-approved housing counseling agencies, or other agencies that provide housing counseling

services.

(e) Administrative Costs. These costs are necessary for the implementation of your grant activities. Administrative costs are not to exceed 10 percent of the grant. Appropriate administrative costs include, but are not limited to, the following reasonable costs or activities:

(f) Space and equipment.

Maintenance, utility costs, postage, building lease/rental costs, purchase or lease of telephone, computer, printing, copying, and sundry non-dwelling equipment (such as office supplies, software, and furniture). You must justify the need for this equipment or space based on services being delivered in relationship to implementing your approved grant activities.

(4) Grant Term. The grant term for Homeownership supportive services grants is thirty-six months from the execution date of your grant agreement.

(G) Neighborhood Networks. This funding category provides grants to PHAs to establish and/or operate Neighborhood Networks Centers that use computer technology and telecommunications or to update and expand existing computer centers. These computer centers will function as self-sustaining Neighborhood Networks Centers that: Increase the use of computer technology; reduce welfare dependency; promote economic self sufficiency; improve human development; provide opportunities for job training and development; expand educational opportunities for residents; develop access to health and nutrition information; and empower the clients they serve. All awardees will be required to complete the NN Business Plan and receive designation as a NN center within the grant term as part of proposed grant activities.

(1) Eligible Applicants. This funding category provides grants to PHAs. Tribes/TDHEs are not eligible applicants for NN under this funding category.

(2) Eligible Activities. Under this funding category, funds may be used for the following activities for either new NN or to update and expand existing computer centers to become NN:

(a) Program Coordinator. You are encouraged to include a Program Coordinator for NN activities for the entire term of your grant. A Program Coordinator is a person who is responsible for coordinating various proposed activities to ensure that their accomplishment will assist in achieving overall grant goals and objectives.

(b) Physical improvements. Physical improvements must directly relate to providing space for Neighborhood Networks Center activities for residents, including modifications for accessibility for persons with disabilities.

Renovation, conversion, and repair costs may be essential parts of physical improvements. In addition, architectural, engineering, and related professional services required to prepare architectural plans or drawings, writeups, specifications or inspections may also be part of the cost components to implement physical improvements. Your physical improvements may not exceed 20 percent of the total grant amount and must be directly related to providing space for self-sufficiency activities for residents. Refer to Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments; All renovations must meet appropriate accessibility requirements, including Section 504 requirements at 24 CFR part 8, Architectural Barriers Act at 24 CFR part 40, the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Fair Housing Act.

(i) The renovation, conversion, or combination of vacant dwelling units in a PHA or tribal development to create common areas to accommodate the provision of a NN and supportive services is an eligible activity for physical improvements.

(ii) The renovation of existing common areas in a PHA development to accommodate the provision of a NN and

supportive services.

(iii) The renovation or repair of facilities located near the premises of one or more PHA or tribal developments to accommodate the provision of supportive services.

(iv) If renovation, conversion, or repair is done off-site, the PHA, tribe or owner must provide documentation that it has control of the proposed property for not less than 2 years and preferably for 4 years or more. Control can be evidenced through a lease agreement, ownership documentation, or other appropriate documentation.

(3) Maintenance and insurance costs. Include installing, training, and maintaining the hardware and software as well as insurance coverage for the space and equipment. Costs of computer hardware and software necessary to accommodate the needs of persons with disabilities are an eligible cost for this funding category.

(4) Online computer center coordinator. Costs for implementing the Neighborhood Networks Center and coordinating with social and supportive services staff.

(5) Security and related costs. Includes space and minor refitting, locks, and oversight.

(6) Resident development and training courses. These courses may be on disk, through the Web, and/or presented live.

(7) Distance Learning Equipment. Distance learning equipment, including the costs for video casting and purchase/lease/rental of distance

learning equipment.

(8) Administrative Costs. Administrative costs may include, but are not limited to, purchase of furniture, office equipment and supplies, training, quality assurance, travel, and utilities. Administrative costs must not exceed 20 percent of the total grant costs.

(9) Grant Term. The grant term for Neighborhood Networks grants is thirtysix months from the execution date of

the grant agreement.

(H) Service Coordinators for Elderly

and Persons with Disabilities.

(1) Eligible Applicants. This funding category provides grants to PHAs with developments designated for the elderly and persons with disabilities, which were initially awarded in FY 1995. Tribes/TDHEs are not eligible for public housing service coordinator renewal

(2) Joint Applications. Two or more PHAs may join together to share a service coordinator and to submit joint applications. Joint applicants must designate a lead applicant. All joint applicants must be existing service

coordinator grantees.

(3) Eligible Developments. To be eligible, a development must have elderly residents and/or non-elderly residents with disabilities who together total at least 25 percent of the building's residents.

(4) Eligible Activities. Under this funding category, funds may be used for

the following activities:

- (a) Service Coordinator. To pay for the salary, fringe benefits, and related administrative costs for employing a service coordinator. A service coordinator is a social service staff person hired or contracted by the PHA. The coordinator is responsible for assuring that elderly residents, especially those who are frail or at risk, and those non-elderly residents with disabilities are linked to the supportive services they need to continue living independently in that development. The service coordinator, however, may not require any elderly person or person with disabilities to accept the supportive services. For the purposes of this program, a service coordinator is any person who is responsible for one or more of the following functions:
- (i) Working with community service providers to coordinate the provision of services and to tailor the services to the needs and characteristics of eligible residents:
- (ii) Establishing a system to monitor and evaluate the delivery, impact,

effectiveness and outcomes of supportive services under this program;

(iii) Coordinating this program with other independent living or selfsufficiency, education and employment programs;

(iv) Performing other duties and functions to assist residents to remain independent, and to prevent unnecessary institutionalization; and

(v) Mobilizing other national and local public/private resources and

partnerships.

(b) Administrative Costs. Administrative costs may include, but are not limited to, purchase of furniture, office equipment and supplies, training, quality assurance, travel, and utilities. Administrative costs must not exceed 20 percent of the total grant costs.

(5) Ineligible Activities/Costs. (a) Applicants may not use these monies to replace current funding from other sources for a Service Coordinator or for some other staff person who performs service coordinator functions; and

(b) The cost of application preparation

is not eligible.

(6) Grant Term. The grant term for Elderly or Persons with Disabilities Service Coordinator grants is 12 months.

IV. Program Requirements

The requirements of this section are applicable to all applicants, and grantees under this announcement of funding availability.

(A) Compliance with Fair Housing and Civil Rights Laws. Your application must meet all the applicable threshold requirements found in Section II (B) of the General Section of the SuperNOFA, as well as the following requirements.

(B) Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing. You must adhere to the requirements as provided in Section II(D) of the General Section of the

SuperNOFA.

(C) Conducting Business In Accordance With Core Values and Ethical Standards. All applicants shall develop and maintain a written code of conduct that reflects Core Values. See Section II(B)(2) of the General Section of the SuperNOFA for requirements.

(D) Ensuring the Participation of Small Businesses, Small Disadvantaged Businesses, and Women-Owned Businesses. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is committed to ensuring that small businesses, small disadvantaged businesses and women-owned businesses participate fully in HUD's direct contracting and in contracting opportunities generated by HUD grant funds. See Section II (F) of the General Section of the SuperNOFA for requirements.

(E) Economic Opportunities for Low and Very Low Income Persons (Section 3). You must adhere to the requirements as provided in Section II (E) of the General Section of the SuperNOFA.

(F) Certifications and Assurances. You must comply with the certifications and assurances contained in Section II (G) of the General Section of the

SuperNOFA.

(G) Applicant Internet Access. Prior to the initial draw down, all grantees must have secured online access to the Internet as a means to communicate with HUD on grant matters. Tribes/ TDHEs awardees may submit a waiver request to the Office of Native American Programs for this requirement if Internet access cannot be obtained.

(H) ROSS Evaluation and Assessment. All applicants selected for award must be willing to participate in the evaluation and assessment that HUD intends to conduct for the ROSS Program. At grant award HUD will provide additional information on the evaluation and assessment for applicants who receive awards.

(I) ROSS Performance Measures. All applicants selected for award must use **ROSS Performance Measures in grant** reporting for all awards. A comprehensive list of Performance Measures is provided in Appendix A to this NOFA. At grant award HUD will provide additional information on the Performance Measures for applicants who receive awards.

V. Application Selection Process

(A) Application Selection Process for Resident Management and Business Development. Applicants for Resident Management and Business Development grants are required to address application submission requirements, but are not required to address selection factors. Eligibility will be determined by applications that meet the threshold requirements of Section VI of this program section of the SuperNOFA. HUD will accept for funding a maximum of the first five eligible applications from each of the ten federal regions and DPONAP on a first-come, first-serve basis for this SuperNOFA. Any funds remaining after making awards to the first five eligible applications from each region and DPONAP will be awarded to the next eligible application from each region, then the next, and so forth until funds are exhausted. If sufficient funds are not available in any round to fund an eligible application from each region and DPONAP, the eligible applications will then be funded in the order in which they were received regardless of region. Where physical development

activities are proposed, HUD will perform an environmental review, to the extent required by 24 CFR part 50, prior to award. The results of the environmental review may require that proposed activities be modified or proposed sites rejected. If all funds are not awarded in this funding category, available funds may be transferable to the RSDM funding category in this

ROSS competition.

(B) Application Selection Process for Capacity Building. Applicants for Capacity Building grants are required to address application submission requirements but are not required to address selection factors. Eligibility will be determined by applications that meet the threshold requirements of Section VI of this program section of the SuperNOFA. HUD will accept for funding the first two eligible applications from each of the ten federal regions and DPONAP on a first-come, first-served basis for this SuperNOFA. Any funds remaining after making awards to the first two eligible applications from each region and DPONAP will be awarded to the next eligible application from each region and DPONAP, then the next, and so forth until funds are exhausted. If sufficient funds are not available in any round to fund an eligible application from each region and DPONAP, the eligible applications will then be funded in the order in which they were received regardless of region and DPONAP. If all funds are not awarded in this funding category, available funds may be transferable to the RSDM funding category in this ROSS competition.

(C) Application Selection Process for Resident Service Delivery Models.

(1) Three types of reviews will be conducted: a screening to determine if your application submission is complete and on time; a threshold review to determine applicant eligibility; and a technical review to rate your application based on the five rating factors provided in this section. A minimum score of 70 is required to be considered for funding. If you are not the PHA or Tribe/TDHE, where physical development activities are proposed, HUD will perform an environmental review, to the extent required by 24 CFR part 50, prior to award. The results of the environmental review may require that proposed activities be modified or proposed sites rejected.

(2) The selection process is designed to achieve geographic diversity of grant awards throughout the country. HUD will first select the highest ranked application from each of the ten federal regions and DPONAP for funding. After

this "round," HUD will select the second highest ranked application in each of the ten federal regions and DPONAP for funding (the second round). HUD will continue this process with the third, fourth, and so on, highest ranked applications in each federal region and DPONAP until the last complete round is selected for funding. If available funds exist to fund some but not all eligible applications in the next round, HUD will make awards to those remaining applications in rank order regardless of region and DPONAP and will fully fund as many as possible with remaining funds. Additional funding rounds to utilized transferred funding will be awarded utilizing the process described above.

(3) Factors for Award Used to Evaluate and Rate RSDM Applications. The factors for rating and ranking applicants and maximum points for each factor are provided below. The maximum number of points available for this program is 102. This includes two RC/EZ/EC bonus points, as described in the General Section of the SuperNOFA. The application kit contains a certification that must be completed for the applicant to be considered for RC/EZ/EC bonus points and a listing of federally designated RCs, EZs and ECs. In addition, a list of RCs, EZs, and ECs is attached to the General Section of the SuperNOFA as Appendix A-2 and is also available from the SuperNOFA Information Center, and the HUD web site, www.hud.gov. A RSDM application must receive a total of 70 points out of 100 to be eligible for funding.

Rating Factor 1: Capacity of the **Applicant and Relevant Organizational Experience (20 Points)**

This factor addresses the extent to which the applicant has the organizational resources necessary to successfully implement the proposed activities in a timely manner. In rating this factor HUD will consider the extent to which the proposal demonstrates:

(1) Proposed Program Staffing. (7 Points)

(a) Experience. (4 Points) The knowledge and experience of your proposed project director and staff, including the day-to-day program manager, sub-recipients and partners in planning and managing programs for which funding is being requested. Your experience will be judged in terms of recent, relevant and successful experience to undertake eligible program activities.

(b) Sufficiency. (3 Points) You and your sub-recipients and partners have sufficient personnel or will be able to

quickly access qualified experts or professionals, to deliver the proposed activities in each proposed service area in a timely and effective fashion, including your readiness and ability to immediately begin the proposed work program. To demonstrate sufficiency, you must submit the proposed number of staff years to be allocated to your program by employees and experts, the titles and relevant professional background and experience of each employee and expert proposed to be assigned to your program, and the roles to be performed by each identified employee and expert.

(2) Program Administration and Fiscal Management. (7 Points)

(a) Program Administration. (4 Points) The soundness of the proposed management of your proposed RSDM program. To receive a high score, you must provide a comprehensive description of your project management structure. Your narrative must provide a description of how any co-applicants, sub-grantees, and other partner agencies relate to the program administrator as well as the lines of authority and accountability among all components of your proposed program.

(b) Fiscal Management. (3 Points) The soundness of your proposed fiscal management. To receive a high score vou must provide a comprehensive description of the fiscal management structure, including, but not limited to, budgeting, fiscal controls, and accounting. The application must identify the staff responsible for fiscal management, and the processes and timetable for implementation during the

proposed grant period.

(3) Applicant/Administrator Track Record. (6 Points) To receive a high score, you must demonstrate your (or your proposed Administrator's) program compliance and successful implementation of any resident selfsufficiency, enhanced self-sufficiency program, welfare-to-work initiative, or economic independence oriented grants (including those listed below) awarded to vou or overseen by your Administrator. If you or your Administrator has no prior experience in operating programs that foster resident self-sufficiency, enhanced selfsufficiency program, welfare-to-work initiative, or economic independence you will receive a score of 0 on this factor. Your past experience may include, but is not limited to, administering the following grants: Family Investment Center Program; Youth Development Initiative under Family Investment Center Program; Youth Apprenticeship Program; Apprenticeship Demonstration in the

Construction Trades Program; Urban Youth Corps Program; HOPE I Program; Public Housing Service Coordinator Program; Public Housing Drug Elimination Program; Tenant Opportunities Program; Economic Development and Supportive Services; Indian Housing Drug Elimination Program; and Youth Sports Program.

Rating Factor 2: Need/Extent of the Problem (20 Points)

This factor addresses the extent to which there is a need for funding your proposed program activities to address a documented problem in the target area. You will be evaluated on the extent to which they document a critical level of need in the development or your proposed activities in the area where activities will be carried out. In responding to this factor, you will be evaluated on:

- (1) A Needs Assessment Document. (18 Points) HUD will award up to 18 points based on the quality and comprehensiveness of the needs assessment document.
- (a) To obtain maximum points for Family RSDM applications, this document must contain statistical data, which provides:
- (i) A thorough socioeconomic profile of the eligible residents to be served by your program, in relationship to PHA-wide and national public and assisted housing data on residents who are on TANF, SSI benefits, or other fixed income arrangements; in job training, entrepreneurship, or community service programs; and employed;
- (ii) Specific information on training, contracting, and employment through the PHA or tribe/TDHE;
- (iii) An assessment of the current service delivery system as it relates to the needs of the target population, including the number and type of services, the location of services, and community facilities currently in use. For enhanced self-sufficiency programs, you must describe specific baseline elements upon which goals will be measured;
- (iv) A description of the goals, objectives, and program strategies that will result in the successful transition of residents from welfare-to-work increased earning capacity or sustained work; and
- (v) For enhanced self-sufficiency programs, you must describe how your proposed grant activities will contribute to the economic stability of the affected area.
- (b) In order to obtain maximum points for Elderly and Persons with Disabilities RSDM applications, the needs

assessment document should contain statistical data that provide:

(i) The numbers of residents needing assistance for activities of daily living;

(ii) An assessment of the current service delivery system as it relates to the needs of the target population, including the number and type of services, the location of services, and community facilities currently in use;

(iii) A description of the goals, objectives, and program strategies that will result in increased independence for proposed program participants;

(2) Level of Priority in Consolidated Plan or Indian Housing Plan. (2 Points) Documentation of the level of priority the locality's, or in the case of small cities, the State's, Consolidated Plan, or Indian Housing Plan has placed on addressing the needs. You may also address needs in terms of fulfilling the requirements of court actions or other legal decisions or which expand upon the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI) to further fair housing. If you address needs that are in your community's Consolidated Plan, AI, or a court decision, or identify and substantiate needs in addition to those in the AI, you will receive a greater number of points than applicants who do not relate their proposed program to the approved Consolidated Plan or AI or court action. There must be a clear relationship between your proposed activities, community needs and the purpose of the program funding for you to receive points for this factor.

Rating Factor 3: Soundness of Approach (40 Points)

This factor addresses the quality and cost-effectiveness of your proposed work plan. In rating this factor HUD will consider: the viability and comprehensiveness of your strategies to address the needs of residents; budget appropriateness/efficient use of grant; the speed at which you can realistically accomplish the goals of the proposed RSDM program; the soundness of your plan to evaluate the success of your proposed RSDM program at completion and during program implementation; and resident and other partnerships; and policy priorities.

and policy priorities.
(1) Viability and comprehensiveness of the strategies to address the needs of residents (21 Points). The score under this subfactor will be based on the viability and comprehensiveness of your strategies to address the needs of residents:

(a) Services. (18 Points for Family RSDM applicants and 21 Points for Elderly and Persons with Disabilities RSDM applicants). More points are awarded in the Elderly and Persons with Disabilities RSDM applications to balance other sections of the rating criteria where points are not applicable to an Elderly and Persons with Disabilities RSDM applicant) The score under this subfactor will be based on the following:

(i) For Family RSDM applications, the extent to which your plan provides services that specifically address the successful transition from welfare to work of non-elderly families. To receive a high score, your plan must include case management/counseling, job training/development/placement (and/or business training/development/startup), childcare, and transportation services. If you are not proposing to use RSDM funding for these activities, you must show that you will provide these services with other funds or through specific commitments from partners.

(ii) In order to receive maximum points, the goals and objectives of your proposed plan must represent significant achievements related to welfare-to-work, enhanced selfsufficiency programs or other initiatives which build on previous welfare-towork programs, and other selfsufficiency/independence goals. Specifically for those residents affected by welfare reform, we are interested in achievements that are performance outcomes such as the number of residents employed or businesses started, in addition to process descriptions, such as the number of residents receiving training. Similarly, for those residents involved in enhanced self-sufficiency programs, we are interested in achievements related to performance measures such as sustained work and increased earning capacity.

(iii) For Elderly and/or Persons with Disabilities RSDM applications, services in your plan should include case management, health care, congregate services and transportation. To obtain maximum points, you must describe the goals, objectives, and program strategies that will result in increased independence for proposed program participants; your services must be located in a community facility; and must be available on a 12-hour basis or as needed by the eligible residents.

(b) Resident Contracting and Employment. (3 Points for Family RSDM applicants) The score in this factor will be based on the extent to which residents will achieve self-sufficiency or increased earning capacity through your contracts with resident-owned businesses and through resident employment. A high score will be awarded where there is documentation (a letter or resolution from your governing body) describing

your commitment to hire or contract with at least 15 percent of residents and a narrative describing the number of resident jobs or contracts involved, as well as the training processes related to the comprehensive plan of your application. Elderly and Persons with Disabilities RSDM applications will not be scored on the criterion in this subcategory.

(2) Budget Appropriateness/Efficient Use of Grant. (5 Points for Family RSDM and Elderly and Persons with Disabilities RSDM) The score in this factor will be based on the following:

(a) Detailed Budget Breakout. The extent to which your application includes a detailed budget breakout for each budget category in the SF-424A.

(b) Reasonable Administrative Costs. The extent to which your application includes administrative costs at or below the 20 percent administrative cost ceiling

ceiling.

(c) Budget Efficiency. The extent to which your application requests funds commensurate with the level of effort necessary to accomplish your goals and

anticipated results.

(3) Reasonableness of the Timetable.
(2 Points for Family RSDM applicants and 4 Points for Elderly and Persons with Disabilities RSDM applicants.
More points are awarded in Elderly and Persons with Disabilities RSDM applications in order to balance other sections of the rating criteria where points are not applicable to an Elderly and Persons with Disabilities RSDM

applicant)

The score in this factor will be based on a reasonable response that you can accomplish the goals of your proposed RSDM program. To receive a high score, vou must demonstrate that it will make substantial program implementation progress within the first six months after grant execution, including putting staff in place, finalizing partnership arrangements, completing the development of requests for proposals, and achieving other milestones that are prerequisites for implementation of the program. In addition, you must demonstrate that your proposed timetable for all components of the proposed program is feasible considering the size of your award and activities and results that can be accomplished within the 36-month time limit.

(4) Program Assessment. (3 Points for Family RSDM and Elderly and Persons with Disabilities RSDM) The score in this factor will be based on the soundness of your plan to evaluate the success of your proposed RSDM program both at the completion of your program and during program

implementation. At a minimum, you must track the goals and objectives of your proposed work plan program, which must include, if applicable, a plan for monitoring your Contract Administrator's performance. Your application should track specific measurable achievements for the use of program funds, such as number of residents employed, salary scales of jobs obtained, persons removed from welfare roles 12 months or longer, number of elderly or persons with disabilities residents receiving supportive services, increased earnings based on grant activities, and number of persons receiving certificates for successful completion of training in careers such as computer technology.

(5) Resident and Other Partnerships (9 Points for Family RSDM applicants and 7 Points for Elderly and Persons with

Disabilities RSDM applicants)

(a) Resident Involvement in RSDM Activities (3 Points for Family RSDM applicants and 4 Points for Elderly and Persons with Disabilities RSDM applicants. More points are awarded in Elderly and Persons with Disabilities RSDM applications in order to balance other sections of the rating criteria where points are not applicable to an Elderly and Persons with Disabilities RSDM applicant): The score in this factor will be based on the extent of resident involvement in developing your proposed RSDM program as well as the extent of proposed resident involvement in implementing your proposed RSDM program. To receive a high score on this factor, you must describe the involvement of residents in the planning phase for this program, and a commitment to provide continued involvement in grant implementation. For applicants to receive the maximum number of points, a work plan must be included.

(b) Other Partnerships. (3 Points) The score in this factor will be based on the successful integration of partners into implementation of the proposed RSDM program. To receive a high score, you must provide a signed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) or other equivalent signed documentation that delineates the roles and responsibilities of each of the parties in your program and the benefits they will receive. In assessing this subfactor, HUD will examine a number of aspects of the proposed partnership, including:

(i) The division of responsibilities or management structure of your proposed partnership relative to the expertise and resources of your partners;

(ii) The extent to which the partnership as a whole addresses the unmet resident needs; and (iii) The extent to which the addition of the partners provides the ability to meet needs that the applicant could not meet without the partner(s).

(c) Overall Relationship/Coordination. (3 Points for Family RSDM only) For Family RSDM applicants, the score in this factor will be based on the extent of coordination between your proposed RSDM program and any existing or proposed programs within your jurisdiction. To receive a high score, you must contain an MOU that describes collaboration between the applicant and residents on all of the specific components related to the work plan of the proposed RSDM program. To receive points, at a minimum, you must have a narrative description of this collaboration. Elderly and Persons with Disabilities RSDM applications will not be scored on this criterion.

Rating Factor 4: Leveraging Resources (10 Points)

This factor addresses your ability to secure community resources (note: financing is a community resource) that can be combined with HUD's program resources to achieve program purposes. You must have at least a 25 percent cash or in-kind match to receive points under this rating factor. Leveraging in excess of the 25 percent of the grant amount will receive a higher point value. In evaluating this factor HUD will consider the extent to which you have partnered with other entities to secure additional resources to increase the effectiveness of your proposed program activities. The budget, the work plan, and commitments for additional resources and services, other than the grant, must show that these resources are firmly committed, will support the proposed grant activities and will, in combined amount (including in-kind contributions of personnel, space and/or equipment, and monetary contributions) equal at least 25 percent of the RSDM grant amount proposed in this application. "Firmly committed" means there must be a written agreement with the provider of resources, signed by an official legally able to make commitments on behalf of the organization. The signed, written agreement may be contingent upon you receiving a grant award. Other resources and services may include: the value of in-kind services, contributions or administrative costs provided to the applicant; funds from Federal sources (not including RSDM funds); funds from any State or local government sources; and funds from private contributions. You may also partner with other program funding recipients to

coordinate the use of resources in your target area.

You must provide evidence of leveraging/partnerships by including in the application letters of firm commitments, Memoranda of Understanding, or agreements to participate from those entities identified as partners in the application. To be firmly committed there must be a written agreement with the provider of resources signed by an official legally able to make commitments on behalf of the organization. This agreement may be contingent upon you receiving a grant award. Each letter of commitment, Memorandum of Understanding, or agreement to participate should include the organization's name, proposed level of commitment and responsibilities as they relate to the proposed program.

Rating Factor 5: Coordination, Self-Sufficiency and Sustainability (10 Points)

This factor addresses the extent to which your program reflects a coordinated, community-based process of identifying needs and building a system to address the needs by using available HUD funding resources and other resources available to the community.

In evaluating this factor HUD will consider the extent to which your

application addresses:

- (1) Coordination with the Consolidated Plan or Indian Housing *Plan.* (2 Points for Family RSDM applicants and 6 points for Elderly and Persons with Disabilities RSDM applicants. More points are awarded for Elderly and Persons with Disabilities RSDM applications in order to balance other sections of the rating criteria where points are not applicable to an Elderly and Persons with Disabilities RSDM applicant.) Demonstrates the applicant has reviewed the community's Consolidated Plan and/or Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice, and has proposed activities that address the priorities, needs, goals or objectives in those documents; or substantially furthers fair housing choice in the community.
- (2) For Family RSDM Applications, Coordination with the State and/or Local Welfare Plan (4 Points): Provides evidence that your proposed RSDM program has been coordinated with and supports the PHA's efforts to increase resident self-sufficiency or increase resident earning capacity and is coordinated and consistent with the State, or local Welfare Plan.
- (3) Coordination with Other Activities (4 Points) Demonstrates that in carrying out your program activities, you will

- develop linkages with: other HUDfunded program activities proposed or on-going in the community; or other State, Federal or locally funded activities proposed or on-going in the community which, taken as a whole, support and sustain a comprehensive system to address the needs.
- (D) Application Selection Process for Homeownership Supportive Services.
- (1) Three types of reviews will be conducted: a screening to determine if your application submission is complete and on time; a threshold review to determine applicant eligibility; and a technical review to rate your application based on the five rating factors provided in this section.

The selection process is designed to achieve geographic diversity of grant awards throughout the country. HUD will first select the highest ranked application from each of the ten federal regions for funding. After this "round," HUD will select the second highest ranked application in each of the ten federal regions for funding (the second round). HUD will continue this process with the third, fourth, and so on, highest ranked applications in each federal region until the last complete round is selected for funding. If available funds exist to fund some but not all eligible applications in the next round, HUD will make awards to those remaining applications in rank order regardless of region and will fully fund as many as possible with remaining funds. If all funds are not awarded in RMBD, CB, HSS, SC funding categories, funds will be transferred to RSDM funding categories in this competition.

(2) Factors for Award Used to Evaluate and Rate HSS Applications. The factors for rating and ranking applicants and maximum points for each factor are provided below. The maximum number of points available for this program is 1024. This includes two RC/EZ/EC bonus points, as described in the General Section of the SuperNOFA. The application kit contains a certification that must be completed for the applicant to be considered for RC/EZ/EC bonus points and a listing of federally designated RCs, EZs and ECs. In addition, a list of RCs, EZs, and ECs is attached to the General Section of the SuperNOFA as Appendix A-2 and is also available from the SuperNOFA Information Center, and the HUD web site, www.hud.gov. A HSS application must receive a total of 70 points out of 100 to be eligible for funding.

Rating Factor 1: Capacity of the Applicant and Relevant Organizational Experience (20 Points)

This factor addresses the extent to which the applicant has the organizational resources necessary to successfully implement the proposed activities in a timely manner. In rating this factor HUD will consider the extent to which the proposal demonstrates:

- (1) Proposed Program Staffing. (7 Points)
- (a) Experience. (4 Points) The knowledge and experience of your proposed project director and staff, including the day-to-day program manager, sub-recipients and partners in planning and managing programs for which funding is being requested. Your experience will be judged in terms of recent, relevant and successful experience to undertake eligible program activities.
- (b) Sufficiency. (3 Points) You and your sub-recipients and partners have sufficient personnel or will be able to quickly access qualified experts or professionals, to deliver the proposed activities in each proposed service area in a timely and effective fashion, including your readiness and ability to immediately begin the proposed work program. To demonstrate sufficiency, you must submit the proposed number of staff years to be allocated to your program by employees and experts, the titles and relevant professional background and experience of each employee and expert proposed to be assigned to your program, and the roles to be performed by each identified employee and expert.
- (2) Program Administration and Fiscal Management. (7 Points)
- (a) Program Administration. (4 Points) The soundness of the proposed management of your proposed HSS program. To receive a high score, you must provide a comprehensive description of your project management structure. Your narrative must provide a description of how any co-applicants, sub-grantees, and other partner agencies relate to the program administrator as well as the lines of authority and accountability among all components of your proposed program.
- (b) Fiscal Management. (3 Points) The soundness of your proposed fiscal management. To receive a high score you must provide a comprehensive description of the fiscal management structure, including, but not limited to, budgeting, fiscal controls, and accounting. The application must identify the staff responsible for fiscal management, and the processes and

timetable for implementation during the proposed grant period.

(3) Applicant/Administrator Track Record. (6 Points) To receive a high score, you must demonstrate your (or your proposed Administrator's) program compliance and successful implementation of any resident selfsufficiency, homeownership or independence-oriented grants (including those listed below) awarded to you or overseen by your Administrator. If you or your Administrator has no prior experience in operating programs that foster resident self-sufficiency, homeownership, or independence you will receive a score of 0 on this factor. Your past experience may include, but is not limited to, administering the following grants or programs: Family Self Sufficiency; Family Investment Center Program; Youth Development Initiative under Family Investment Center Program; Youth Apprenticeship Program; Apprenticeship Demonstration in the Construction Trades Program; Urban Youth Corps Program; HOPE I Program; Public Housing Service Coordinator Program; housing choice voucher homeownership program; Public Housing Drug Elimination Program; Tenant Opportunities Program; Economic Development and Supportive Services; and Youth Sports Program.

Rating Factor 2: Need/Extent of the Problem (20 Points)

This factor addresses the extent to which there is a need for funding your proposed program activities to address the need/goal of the target population of pervious ROSS recipients/beneficiaries. You will be evaluated on the extent to which you document your proposed activities demonstrate that participants in self sufficiency programs are able to move from renting to homeownership, based on need, increases in resident income and other self sufficiency efforts. In responding to this factor, you will be evaluated on:

- (1) A Needs/Goals Assessment Document. (18 Points) HUD will award up to 18 points based on the quality and comprehensiveness of the needs assessment document. To obtain maximum points for HSS applications, this document must contain statistical data that provides:
- (a) A thorough socioeconomic profile of the eligible residents to be served by your program, in relationship to PHA-wide and national public and assisted housing data on residents who are on TANF, SSI benefits, or other fixed income arrangements; in job training,

entrepreneurship, or community service programs; and employed;

- (b) Specific information on training, contracting, and employment through the PHA;
- (c) An assessment of the current service delivery system as it relates to the needs of the target population, including the number and type of services, the location of services, and community facilities currently in use;
- (d) A description of the goals, objectives, and program strategies that will result in the successful transition of residents from renters to homeowners.
- (2) Level of Priority in Consolidated Plan. (2 Points) Documentation of the level of priority the locality's, or in the case of small cities, the State's, Consolidated Plan has placed on addressing the needs. You may also address needs in terms of fulfilling the requirements of court actions or other legal decisions or which expand upon the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI) to further fair housing. If you address needs that are in your community's Consolidated Plan, AI, or a court decision, or identify and substantiate needs in addition to those in the AI, you will receive a greater number of points than applicants who do not relate their proposed program to the approved Consolidated Plan or AI or court action. There must be a clear relationship between your proposed activities, community needs and the purpose of the program funding for you to receive points for this factor.

Rating Factor 3: Soundness of Approach (40 Points)

This factor addresses the quality and cost-effectiveness of your proposed work plan. In rating this factor HUD will consider: The viability and comprehensiveness of your strategies to address the needs of residents; budget appropriateness/efficient use of grant; the speed at which you can realistically accomplish the goals of the proposed HSS program; the soundness of your plan to evaluate the success of your proposed HSS program at completion and during program implementation; and resident and other partnerships; and policy priorities.

- (1) Viability and comprehensiveness of the strategies to address the homeownership of transferred residents. (18 Points) The score under this subfactor will be based on the viability and comprehensiveness of your strategies to address the counseling needs of residents identified for homeownership.
- (a) Services. The score under this subfactor will be based on the following:

(i) The extent to which your plan provides services that specifically addresses the successful transition from renters to homeowners. To receive a high score, your plan must include case management and/or counseling.

(ii) In order to receive maximum points, the goals and objectives of your proposed plan must represent significant achievements related to moving from renting to homeownership. Specifically for those residents affected by welfare reform, we are interested in achievements that are performance outcomes of residents targeted for homeownership, in addition to, descriptions of residents receiving training and homeownership counseling activities.

(2) Budget Appropriateness/Efficient Use of Grant. (8 Points) The score in this factor will be based on the following:

(a) Detailed Budget Breakout. The extent to which your application includes a detailed budget breakout for each budget category in the SF-424A.

(b) Reasonable Administrative Costs. The extent to which your application includes administrative costs at or below the 10 percent administrative cost ceiling.

(c) Budget Efficiency. The extent to which your application requests funds commensurate with the level of effort necessary to accomplish your goals and anticipated results.

(d) Reasonableness of the Timetable. The score in this factor will be based on a reasonable response that you can accomplish the goals of your proposed HSS program. To receive a high score, you must demonstrate that it will make substantial program implementation progress within the first six months after grant execution, including putting staff in place, finalizing partnership arrangements, completing the development of requests for proposals, and achieving other milestones that are prerequisites for implementation of the program. In addition, you must demonstrate that your proposed timetable for all components of the proposed program is feasible considering the size of your award and activities and results that can be accomplished within the 36-month time

(3) Program Assessment. (5 Points). The score in this factor will be based on the soundness of your plan to evaluate the success of your proposed HSS program both at the completion of your program and during program implementation. At a minimum, you must track the goals and objectives of your proposed work plan program. Your application should track specific measurable achievements for the use of

program funds, such as number of residents counseled on becoming homeowners.

- (4) Resident and Other Partnerships. (9 Points)
- (a) Resident Involvement in HSS Activities. (3 Points). The score in this factor will be based on the extent of resident involvement in developing your proposed HSS program as well as the extent of proposed resident involvement in implementing your proposed HSS program. To receive a high score on this factor, you must describe the involvement of residents in the planning phase for this program, and a commitment to provide continued involvement in grant implementation. For applicants to receive the maximum number of points, a work plan, must be included.
- (b) Other Partnerships. (3 Points) The score in this factor will be based on the successful integration of partners into implementation of the proposed HSS program. To receive a high score, you must provide a signed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) or other equivalent signed documentation that delineates the roles and responsibilities of each of the parties in your program and the benefits they will receive. In assessing this subfactor, HUD will examine a number of aspects of the proposed partnership, including:
- (i) The division of responsibilities or management structure of your proposed partnership relative to the expertise and resources of your partners;
- (ii) The extent to which the partnership as a whole addresses the unmet resident needs; and
- (iii) The extent to which the addition of the partners provides the ability to meet needs that the applicant could not meet without the partner(s).
- (c) Overall Relationship/Coordination. (3 Points) For HSS applicants, the score in this factor will be based on the extent of coordination between your proposed HSS program and any existing or proposed programs within your jurisdiction. To receive points, at a minimum, you must have a narrative description of this collaboration.

Rating Factor 4: Leveraging Resources (10 Points)

This factor addresses your ability to secure community resources (note: financing is a community resource) that can be combined with HUD's program resources to achieve program purposes. You must have at least a 25 percent cash or in-kind match to receive points under this rating factor. Leveraging in excess of the 25 percent of the grant amount will receive a higher point value.

In evaluating this factor HUD will consider the extent to which you have partnered with other entities to secure additional resources to increase the effectiveness of your proposed program activities. The budget, the work plan, and commitments for additional resources and services, other than the grant, must show that these resources are firmly committed, will support the proposed grant activities and will, in combined amount (including in-kind contributions of personnel, space and/or equipment, and monetary contributions) equal at least 25 percent of the HSS grant amount proposed in this application. "Firmly committed" means there must be a written agreement with the provider of resources, signed by an official legally able to make commitments on behalf of the organization. The signed, written agreement may be contingent upon you receiving a grant award. Other resources and services may include: The value of in-kind services, contributions or administrative costs provided to the applicant; funds from Federal sources (not including HSS funds); funds from any State or local government sources; and funds from private contributions. You may also partner with other program funding recipients to coordinate the use of resources in your target area.

You must provide evidence of leveraging/partnerships by including in the application letters of firm commitments, Memoranda of Understanding, or agreements to participate from those entities identified as partners in the application. To be firmly committed there must be a written agreement with the provider of resources signed by an official legally able to make commitments on behalf of the organization. This agreement may be contingent upon you receiving a grant award. Each letter of commitment, Memorandum of Understanding, or agreement to participate must include the organization's name, proposed level of commitment and responsibilities as they relate to the proposed program.

Rating Factor 5: Coordination, Self-Sufficiency and Sustainability (10 Points)

This factor addresses the extent to which your program reflects a coordinated, community-based process of identifying needs and building a system to address the needs by using available HUD funding resources and other resources available to the community.

In evaluating this factor HUD will consider the extent to which your application addresses: (1) Coordination with the Consolidated Plan (2 points). Demonstrates the applicant has reviewed the community's Consolidated Plan and/or Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice, and has proposed activities that address the priorities, needs, goals or objectives in those documents; or substantially furthers fair housing choice in the community.

(2) For HSS Program Applications, Coordination with the State and/or Local Welfare Plan (4 Points). Provides evidence that your proposed HSS program has been coordinated with and supports the PHA's efforts to increase resident homeownership and is coordinated and consistent with the State, or local Welfare Plan.

(3) Coordination with Other Activities (4 Points). Demonstrates that in carrying out your program activities, you will develop linkages with: other HUD-funded program activities proposed or on-going in the community; or other State, Federal or locally funded activities proposed or on-going in the community which, taken as a whole, support and sustain a comprehensive

(E) Application Selection Process for Neighborhood Networks Centers.

system to address the needs.

(1) Three types of reviews will be conducted: A screening to determine if your application submission is complete and on time; a threshold review to determine applicant eligibility; and a technical review to rate your application based on the five rating factors provided in this section. A minimum score of 70 is required to be considered for funding.

(2) The selection process is designed to achieve geographic diversity of grant awards throughout the country. HUD will first select the highest ranked application from each of the ten federal regions for funding. After this "round," HUD will select the second highest ranked application in each of the ten federal regions for funding (the second round). HUD will continue this process with the third, fourth, and so on, highest ranked applications in each federal region until the last complete round is selected for funding. If available funds exist to fund some but not all eligible applications in the next round, HUD will make awards to those remaining applications in rank order regardless of region and will fully fund as many as possible with remaining funds. NN funds may not be transferred to any other funding category within this

(3) Factors for Award Used to Evaluate and Rate NN Applications. The factors for rating and ranking applicants and maximum points for each factor are provided below. The maximum number of points available for this program is 102. This includes two RC/EZ/EC bonus points, as described in the General Section of the SuperNOFA. The application kit contains a certification that must be completed for the applicant to be considered for RC/EZ/EC bonus points and a listing of federally designated RCs, EZs and ECs. In addition, a list of RCs, EZs, and ECs is attached to the General Section of the SuperNOFA as Appendix A-2 and is also available from the SuperNOFA Information Center, and the HUD web site, www.hud.gov. A NN application must receive a total of 70 points out of 100 to be eligible for funding.

Rating Factor 1: Capacity of the Applicant and Relevant Organizational Experience (20 Points)

This factor addresses the extent to which the applicant has the organizational resources necessary to successfully implement the proposed activities in a timely manner. In rating this factor HUD will consider the extent to which the proposal demonstrates:

(1) Proposed Program Staffing. (7 Points)

(a) Experience. (4 Points) The knowledge and experience of your proposed project director and staff, including the day-to-day program manager, sub-recipients and partners in planning and managing programs for which funding is being requested. Your experience will be judged in terms of recent, relevant and successful experience to undertake eligible program activities.

(b) Sufficiency. (3 Points) You and your sub-recipients and partners have sufficient personnel or will be able to quickly access qualified experts or professionals, to deliver the proposed activities in each proposed service area in a timely and effective fashion, including your readiness and ability to immediately begin the proposed work program. To demonstrate sufficiency, you must submit the proposed number of staff years to be allocated to your program by employees and experts, the titles and relevant professional background and experience of each employee and expert proposed to be assigned to your program, and the roles to be performed by each identified employee and expert.

(2) Program Administration and Fiscal Management. (7 Points)

(a) Program Administration. (4 Points)
The soundness of the proposed
management of your proposed NN
program. To receive a high score, you
must provide a comprehensive
description of your project management

structure. Your narrative must provide a description of how any co-applicants, sub-grantees, and other partner agencies relate to the program administrator as well as the lines of authority and accountability among all components of your proposed program.

(b) Fiscal Management. (3 Points) The soundness of your proposed fiscal management. To receive a high score you must provide a comprehensive description of the fiscal management structure, including, but not limited to, budgeting, fiscal controls, and accounting. The application must identify the staff responsible for fiscal management, and the processes and timetable for implementation during the

proposed grant period.

(3) Applicant/Administrator Track Record. (6 Points) To receive a high score, you must demonstrate your (or your proposed Administrator's) program compliance and successful implementation of any resident selfsufficiency, technology-oriented training, community-based job training, telecommunications, or other computer services (including those listed below) awarded to you or overseen by your Administrator. If you or your Administrator has no prior experience in operating programs that foster resident use of technology, computer instruction, or other computer services vou will receive a score of 0 on this factor. Your past experience may include, but is not limited to, administering the following grants or programs: Family Self Sufficiency; Family Investment Center Program; Youth Development Initiative under Family Investment Center Program; Youth Apprenticeship Program; Apprenticeship Demonstration in the Construction Trades Program; Urban Youth Corps Program; HOPE I Program; **Public Housing Service Coordinator** Program; housing choice voucher homeownership program; Public Housing Drug Elimination Program; Tenant Opportunities Program; Economic Development and Supportive Services; and Youth Sports Program.

Rating Factor 2: Need/Extent of the Problem (20 Points)

This factor addresses the extent to which there is a need for funding your proposed program activities to address the need of public housing residents for computer technology. You will be evaluated on the extent to which you document your proposed activities in this area. In responding to this factor, you will be evaluated on:

(1) A Needs/Goals Assessment Document. (18 Points) HUD will award up to 18 points based on the quality and comprehensiveness of the needs assessment document. To obtain maximum points for NN applications, this document must contain statistical data that provides:

(a) A profile of the eligible residents to be served by your NN center and its

programs;

(b) Specific information on activities and programs to be undertaken as part of the NN center including job training, adult education, using the Internet to make linkages with health care providers, health services, and health information, youth and afterschool programs and other proposed activities;

(c) An assessment of the needs of the target population, including the number and type of services, the location of services, and community facilities

currently in use;

(d) A description of the goals and objectives of the NN center.

(2) Level of Priority in Consolidated Plan. (2 Points) Documentation of the level of priority the locality's, or in the case of small cities, the State's, Consolidated Plan has placed on addressing the needs. You may also address needs in terms of fulfilling the requirements of court actions or other legal decisions or which expand upon the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI) to further fair housing. If you address needs that are in your community's Consolidated Plan, AI, or a court decision, or identify and substantiate needs in addition to those in the AI, you will receive a greater number of points than applicants who do not relate their proposed program to the approved Consolidated Plan or AI or court action. There must be a clear relationship between your proposed activities, community needs and the purpose of the program funding for you to receive points for this factor.

Rating Factor 3: Soundness of Approach (40 Points)

This factor addresses the quality and cost-effectiveness of your proposed work plan. In rating this factor HUD will consider: the viability and comprehensiveness of your strategies to address the needs of residents; budget appropriateness/efficient use of grant; the speed at which you can realistically accomplish the goals of the proposed NN program; the soundness of your plan to evaluate the success of your proposed NN program at completion and during program implementation; and resident and other partnerships; and policy priorities.

(1) Viability and comprehensiveness of the strategies to address Neighborhood Networks needs in the proposed area. (10 Points) The score under this subfactor will be based on the viability and comprehensiveness of your strategies to address electronic information needs.

Your application must describe how the Neighborhood Networks Center or its conversion to Neighborhood Networks will address job training, adult education, using the Internet to make linkages with health care providers, health services, and health information, and youth and after-school

(2) Budget Appropriateness/Efficient Use of Grant. (10 Points) The score in this factor will be based on the

following:

(a) Detailed Budget Break-Out. The extent to which your application includes a detailed budget breakout for each budget category in the SF-424A. Your application must include Chart C: Summary of Budget Line Items, for your proposed activities, and Chart D: Budget Work Plan Summary.

(b) Reasonable Administrative Costs. The extent to which your application includes administrative costs at or below the 15 percent administrative cost

(c) Budget Efficiency. The extent to which your application requests funds commensurate with the level of effort necessary to accomplish your goals and

anticipated results.

(d) Reasonableness of the Timetable. The score in this factor will be based on a reasonable response that you can accomplish the goals of your proposed NN program. To receive a high score, you must demonstrate that it will make substantial program implementation progress within the first six months after grant execution, including putting staff in place, finalizing partnership arrangements, completing the development of requests for proposals, and achieving other milestones that are prerequisites for implementation of the program. In addition, you must demonstrate that your proposed timetable for all components of the proposed program is feasible considering the size of your award and activities and results that can be accomplished within the 36-month time limit.

(3) Program Assessment. (10 Points) The score in this factor will be based on the soundness of your plan to evaluate the success and sustainability of your proposed NN program both at the completion of your program and during program implementation. At a minimum, you must track the goals and objectives of your proposed work plan program. Your application should track specific measurable achievements for the use of program funds, such as

number of residents using proposed computer related services/programs being offered by the NN. Each applicant must describe how the existing computer center or proposed computer center will become a Neighborhood Networks Center and be self-sustaining after the proposed grant period has been completed.

(4) Resident and Other Partnerships

(10 Points).

(a) Resident Involvement in NN Program Activities (4 Points) The score in this factor will be based on the extent of resident involvement in developing and planning for your proposed NN program as well as the extent of proposed resident involvement in implementing your proposed NN program. To receive a high score on this factor, you must describe the involvement of residents in the planning phase for this program, and a commitment to provide continued involvement in grant implementation. For applicants to receive the maximum number of points, a work plan, must be included.

(b) Other Partnerships. (3 Points) The score in this factor will be based on the successful integration of partners into implementation of the proposed NN program. To receive a high score, you must provide a signed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) or other equivalent signed documentation that delineates the roles and responsibilities of each of the parties in your program and the benefits they will receive. Your application should include local partnerships in support of NN activities such as job training, adult education, using the Internet to make linkages with health care providers, health services, and health information, and youth and after school programs. In assessing this subfactor, HUD will examine a number of aspects of the proposed partnership, including:

(i) The division of responsibilities/ management structure of your proposed partnership relative to the expertise and

resources of your partners;

(ii) The extent to which the partnership as a whole addresses the unmet resident needs; and (iii) The extent to which the addition of the partners provides the ability to meet needs that the applicant could not meet

without the partner(s).

(c) Overall Relationship/Coordination. (3 Points) For NN applicants, the score in this factor will be based on the extent of coordination between your proposed NN program and any existing or proposed programs within your jurisdiction. To receive points, at a minimum, you must have a narrative description of this collaboration.

Rating Factor 4: Leveraging Resources (10 Points)

This factor addresses your ability to secure community resources (note: financing is a community resource) that can be combined with HUD's program resources to achieve program purposes. You must have at least a 25 percent cash or in-kind match to receive points under this rating factor. Leveraging in excess of the 25 percent of the grant amount will receive a higher point value.

In evaluating this factor HUD will consider the extent to which you have partnered with other entities to secure additional resources to increase the effectiveness of your proposed program activities. The budget, the work plan, and commitments for additional resources and services, other than the grant, must show that these resources are firmly committed, will support the proposed grant activities and will, in combined amount (including in-kind contributions of personnel, space and/or equipment, and monetary contributions) equal at least 25 percent of the NN grant amount proposed in this application. "Firmly committed" means there must be a written agreement with the provider of resources, signed by an official legally able to make commitments on behalf of the organization. The signed, written agreement may be contingent upon you receiving a grant award. Other resources and services may include: the value of in-kind services, contributions or administrative costs provided to the applicant; funds from Federal sources (not including NN funds); funds from any State or local government sources; and funds from private contributions. You may also partner with other program funding recipients to coordinate the use of resources in your target area.

You must provide evidence of leveraging/partnerships by including in the application letters of firm commitments, Memoranda of Understanding, or agreements to participate from those entities identified as partners in the application. To be firmly committed there must be a written agreement with the provider of resources signed by an official legally able to make commitments on behalf of the organization. This agreement may be contingent upon you receiving a grant award. Each letter of commitment, Memorandum of Understanding, or agreement to participate must include the organization's name, proposed level of commitment and responsibilities as they relate to the proposed program.

Rating Factor 5: Coordination, Self-Sufficiency and Sustainability (10

This factor addresses the extent to which your program reflects a coordinated, community-based process of identifying needs and building a system to address the needs by using available HUD funding resources and other resources available to the community.

In evaluating this factor HUD will consider the extent to which your application addresses:

(1) Coordination with the Consolidated Plan (2 points). Demonstrates the applicant has reviewed the community's Consolidated Plan and/or Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice, and has proposed activities that address the priorities, needs, goals or objectives in those documents; or substantially furthers fair housing choice in the community.

(2) For NN Program Applications, Coordination with the State and/or Local Welfare Plan (4 Points). Provides evidence that your proposed NN program has been coordinated with and supports the PHA's efforts to reduce welfare dependency coordinated and consistent with the State, or local

Welfare Plan.

(3) Coordination with Other Activities (4 Points). Demonstrates that in carrying out your program activities, you will develop linkages with: other HUDfunded program activities proposed or on-going in the community; or other State, Federal or locally funded activities proposed or on-going in the community which, taken as a whole, support and sustain a comprehensive system to address the technology needs of public housing residents.

(F) Application Selection Process for

Service Coordinators. **Applicants for Service Coordinators**

are required to address application submission requirements but are not required to address selection factors. Eligibility will be determined by applications that meet the threshold requirements of Section IV of this program section of the SuperNOFA.

If all funds are not awarded in RMBD, CB, HSS, or SC funding categories, funds will be transferred to RSDM funding categories in this competition.

VI. Application Submission Requirements

(A) All Applications. All applications for assistance under the ROSS competition for all funding categories must include the forms, certifications and assurances listed in Section IV of the General Section of the SuperNOFA

(collectively referred to as the "standard forms"). These forms are:

SF-424, Application Federal Assistance;

HUD-424M, Federal Assistance Funding Matrix;

SF-424A, Federal Assistance Budget Information—Non Construction; SF-424B, Assurances for Non-

Construction Programs;

HUD Form 50070, Drug-Free Workplace Certification;

HUD Form 50071, Certification of Payments to Influence Federal Transactions, and if applicable SF-LLL, Disclosure of Lobbying Activities;

HUD Form 2880, Applicant/Recipient Disclosure/Update Report;

HUD Form 2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan; HUD Form 2992, Certification of Debarment and Suspension;

HUD Form 2993, Acknowledgment of

Application Receipt.

The standard forms can be found in Appendix B to the General Section of the SuperNOFA. The remaining application items that are forms (i.e., excluding such items as narratives), referred to as the "non-standard forms" can be found as Appendix A to this program section of the SuperNOFA.

All applicants must include the following information regardless of the category under which they are applying

for funds.

(1) ROSS Application Cover Sheet;

(2) ROSS Fact Sheet;

(3) ROSS Program Summary;

(4) Certification of Consistency and Compliance with General SuperNOFA

Program Requirements;

(5) Match Requirement. (a) You must supplement grant funds with an in-kind and/or cash match of not less than 25 percent of the grant amount. This match does not have to be a cash match. The match may include: the value of in-kind services, contributions or administrative costs provided to the applicant; funds from Federal sources (but not ROSS funds); funds from any State or local government sources; and funds from private contributions. Any services, such as childcare or mentoring, conducted by elderly or persons with disabilities residents who are not TANF participants, will not be counted toward your match requirement. You may also satisfy the match requirement by establishing the in-kind value of computer and office equipment, software and space used for training in computer technology, education/ employment and skills development for self-sufficiency training programs such as Twenty/20 Education Communities (TEC Centers) or Neighborhood Networks Centers.

(b) You must demonstrate that the cash or in-kind resources and services, which you will use as match amounts (including resources from a Comprehensive Grant, other governmental units/agencies of any type, and/or private sources, whether for-profit or not-for-profit), are firmly committed and will support the proposed grant activities. "Firmly committed" means there must be a written agreement to provide the resources and services signed by an official legally able to make commitments on behalf of the organization that specifies the cash and/ or in-kind assistance to be provided. If offering in-kind assistance, the letter must provide an estimated dollar value for the in-kind services. The written agreement may be contingent upon your receiving a grant award. The following are guidelines for valuing certain types of in-kind contributions:

(i) The value of volunteer time and services shall be computed at a rate of six dollars per hour except that the value of volunteer time and services involving professional and other special skills shall be computed on the basis of the usual and customary hourly rate paid for the service in the community where the activity is located; and

(ii) The value of any donated material, equipment, building, or lease shall be computed based on the fair market value at time of donation. Such value shall be documented by bills of sale, advertised prices, appraisals, or other information for comparable property similarly situated not more than oneyear old taken from the community where the item or activity is located, as appropriate. You may also satisfy the match requirement by establishing the in-kind value of computer and office equipment, software and space used for training in computer technology, education/employment and skills development for sufficiency training programs such as Twenty/20 Education Communities (TEC Centers) or Neighborhood Networks Centers.

(B) RMBD Applications. Applicants for Resident Management and Business Development grants are required to address application submission requirements, but are not required to address selection factors. A threshold review, and not application submission requirements, will be used for determining eligibility for first-come

first serve funding.

All applications for funding under this funding category must contain the following documents and information (Please note that items 1-9 are threshold requirements used to determine awards for this category; item 10 and its

subsections will be used for grant administration):

(1) Your application must contain a written certification that at least 51 percent of the public housing residents to be included in the proposed program are currently eligible to receive, are currently receiving, or have received within the preceding five years, assistance or services funded under the TANF, SSI, food stamp programs, or

tribal welfare programs.

(2) Your application must contain a signed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the RA and the PHA or the RO/RMC and the Tribe/TDHE which describes the specific roles, responsibilities and activities to be undertaken by all parties to the MOU. Your MOU, at a minimum must identify the principal parties (i.e. the name of the PHA or tribe/TDHE and RA or RO, the terms of agreement), expectations or terms for each party, and indicate that the agreement pertains to the support of your grant application. This document is the basis for the foundation of the relationship between the RA or RO and PHA or tribe/TDHE. The MOU must be precise and outline the specific duties and objectives to be accomplished under the grant. All MOUs must be finalized, dated and signed by duly authorized officials of both the RA or RO and PHA or tribe/TDHE upon submission of the application.

(3) Accessible Community Facility. You must provide written evidence (e.g. through an executed use agreement if the facility is to be provided by an entity other than the PHA or tribe/TDHE) that a majority of the proposed activities will be administered at community facilities within easy transportation access (i.e., walking or by direct (no transfers required)), convenient, inexpensive and reliable transportation of the property represented by the PHA or tribe/TDHE. The written agreement must certify that community facilities meet the structural accessibility requirement of section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990. If a tribe/TDHE is the applicant and is using its own property as a community facility, it is not exempt from this requirement. The tribe/TDHE is still required to provide a narrative to address all details requested for this threshold requirement.

(4) Your application must contain letter(s) of support indicating supplemental grant funds of not less than 25 percent of the grant amount. See Section VI(A)(5) of the program section of this SuperNOFA.

(5) For applicants other than Tribes/ TDHEs, you must provide either a signed certification from HUD or an

Independent Public Accountant that your financial management system and procurement procedures fully comply with 24 CFR part 84, or your application must contain a signed Contract Administrator Partnership Agreement that you will use the services of a Contract Administrator in administering your grant. Applicants that are troubled PHAs are required to provide written agreement that a Contract Administrator has been retained for the term of the grant. Those tribes/TDHEs that HUD has determined to have difficulty in managing grants will be required to provide a written agreement that a Contract Administrator has been retained for the term of the grant. In cases where the Contract Administrator is the PHA or tribe/TDHE, the Contract Administration responsibilities can be incorporated into the MOU discussed above.

- (6) Except for Tribes/TDHEs, if you are a RA/RC/RMC/CWRO you must include evidence that your organization is registered with the State as a nonprofit corporation at the time of application submission or has section 501(c) status with the United States Internal Revenue Service at the time of application. Evidence of State registration shall be a copy of the certificate of incorporation or certificate of good standing from the State Government (i.e. Secretary of State or Secretary of Corporations). Evidence of 501(c) status shall be a copy of the IRS 501(c) designation.
- (7) Certification of Resident Council Board Elections. If you are a Resident Organization, you must submit certifications of the RA/ROs board election as required by HUD, signed by the local PHA or tribe/TDHE and/or an independent third party monitor and notarized.
- (8) List of RAs, ROs or Indian housing residents participating with the City-Wide Resident Organization (CWRO) or tribe/TDHE. You must list in your application, the name(s) of RAs or ROs that will receive services and you must submit letters of support from each RA or RO identified in your application. Your application must describe the Indian housing residents to be served by proposed grant activities.
- (9) Physical Improvements. You must submit a description of the renovation or conversion to be conducted along with a budget and timetable for those activities. You must demonstrate a firm commitment of assistance from one or more sources ensuring that supportive services will be provided for not less that 2 years following the completion of renovation, conversion, or repair

activities funded under this ROSS competition.

(10) The following are application submission requirements and will not be used for determining eligibility for first-come first serve funding:

(a) Explanations for proposed grant activities must be provided by narrative

statements or descriptions;

(b) Resident Management and Business Development grant applications must include a narrative description (two page limit) describing the activities that you will carry out with RMBD grant funds. Your description must include specific goals, objectives and program strategies that will result in successful transition of residents from welfare to work or other proposed grant activities;

(c) Your RMBD application must provide information about the RA or RO, including its history, staff qualifications, and its previous experience (two page limit). For proposed grant staffing, you must include a resume or summary of qualifications for all proposed grant

staff:

Chart A—RMBD Program Staffing Chart B—RMBD Applicant/ Administrator Track Record Chart C—Summary RMBD Budget line Items

- (d) You must provide a summary of a proposed work plan to carry out proposed grant activities. This work plan must include tasks, budgeted amounts, and dates for all activities during the grant period.
- Chart D—Budget Workplan Summary.
- (C) Applications for Capacity Building. All applications for funding under this funding category must contain the following documents and information. Only threshold requirements, and not application submission requirements, will be used for determining eligibility for funding. (Please note that items 1–6 are threshold requirements for a threshold review that will be used to determine awards for this category; items 7–11 will not be used to select awardees):
- (1) Except for Tribes/TDHEs applicants, you must provide evidence that your organization has registered with the State as a nonprofit corporation or has 501(c) nonprofit corporation status with the United States Internal Revenue Service at the time of application submission.

(2) Your application must contain letter(s) of support indicating supplemental grant funds of not less than 25 percent of the grant amount. See Section VI(A)(5) of this program section of the SuperNOFA.

(3) In CB applications, you must list in your application the name of the RAs or ROs that will receive training, technical assistance and/or coordinated supportive services and you must submit letters of support from each entity identified in your application.

(4) For applicants other than Tribes/ TDHEs, you must provide either a signed certification from HUD or an Independent Public Accountant that vour financial management system and procurement procedures fully comply with 24 CFR part 84 or part 85, or your application must contain a signed Contract Administrator Partnership Agreement that you will use the services of a Contract Administrator in administering your grant. Applicants that are troubled PHAs are required to provide written agreement that a Contract Administrator has been retained for the term of the grant. In cases where the Contract Administrator is the PHA the Contract Administration responsibilities can be incorporated into the MOU discussed below.

(5) Your application must contain a signed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the RA or RO and PHA or tribe/TDHE which describes the specific roles, responsibilities and activities to be undertaken by all parties to the MOU. Your MOU, at a minimum, must identify the principal parties (i.e. the name of the PHA or tribe/TDHE and IRO/nonprofit, the terms of agreement), expectations or terms for each party, and indicate that the agreement pertains to the support of your grant application. This document is the basis for the foundation of the relationship between the IRO/nonprofit and PHA or tribe/ TDHE. The MOU must be precise and outline the specific duties and objectives to be accomplished under the grant. All MOUs must be finalized, dated and signed by duly authorized officials of both the IRO/nonprofit and PHA or tribe/TDHE upon submission of the application.

(6) You must provide written evidence (e.g. through an executed space use agreement if the facility is to be provided by an entity other than the PHA or tribe/TDHE) that proposed CB activities or training will take place. The community facilities must be within easy transportation access (i.e., walking or by direct (no transfers required)), convenient, inexpensive and reliable transportation of the property represented by the PHA or tribe/TDHE. The written agreement must certify that community facilities meet the structural accessibility requirement of section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990. If a tribe/TDHE is the applicant

and is using its own property as a community facility, it is not exempt from this requirement. The tribe/TDHE is still required to provide a narrative to address all details requested for this threshold requirement.

The following are application submission requirements. Only threshold requirements, and not application submission requirements, will be used to determine eligibility for first-come first serve funding.

(7) Explanations for proposed grant activities must be provided by narrative statements or descriptions as well as the

forms indicated below.

(8) Needs Assessment. For the CB grant applications you must provide a narrative description of proposed activities that addresses the following information:

(a) A description of the geographic boundaries of the RAs, ROs, RMCs, or tribes/TDHEs included in the application;

(b) A description of the public or Indian housing community:

(c) A detailed description of the issues or problems involved with each RA or RO to be served by the grant; and

(d) The resources that are currently being devoted to the problem or issue

under consideration.

(9) Proposed Program Activities. CB grant applications must include a narrative description describing the activities that you will carry out with CB grant funds. Your description must include specific goals, objectives and program strategies that will result in successful proposed grant activities;

(10) Experience and Staffing. Your CB grant application must provide information about the your organization, including its history, staff qualifications, and its previous experience (two page limit). For proposed grant staffing, you must include a resume or summary of qualifications for all proposed grant staff:

Chart A—CB Program Staffing Chart B—CB Applicant/Administrator Track Record

Chart C—Summary CB Budget Line

(11) Budget and Cost Information. You must provide a summary of your proposed work plan to carry out your proposed grant activities. The work plan must include tasks/activities, budgeted amounts, and start and end dates for all activities during the grant period.

Chart D—Budget Workplan Summary

(D) Application Submission Requirements for Resident Service Delivery Models. All applications for funding under this funding category must contain the following documents

and information (Please note that items 1-10 are threshold requirements for a threshold review and responses to factors of award will be used to determine scoring of rating and ranking factors for this category):

(1) Your application must contain a written certification that at least 51 percent of the public housing residents to be included in the proposed program are currently eligible to receive, are currently receiving, or have received within the preceding five years, assistance or services funded under the TANF, SSI, food stamp programs or tribal welfare programs.

(2) Elderly and/or Persons with Disabilities Housing Development Certification. A certification that at least 25 percent of the residents of the

development(s) proposed for grant activities are elderly and/or non-elderly people with disabilities at the time of

application.

(3) Accessible Community Facility. You must provide evidence (e.g. through an executed use agreement if the facility is to be provided by an entity other than the PHA or tribe/TDHE) that a majority of the proposed activities will be administered at community facilities within easy transportation access (i.e., walking or by direct (no transfers required)), convenient, inexpensive and reliable transportation) of the property represented by the PHA or tribe/TDHE. The written agreement must certify that the community facilities meet the structural accessibility requirements of section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990. If a tribe/TDHE is the applicant and is using its own property as a community facility, it is not exempt from this requirement. The tribe/TDHE is still required to provide a narrative to address all details requested for this threshold requirement.

(4) Your application must contain letter(s) of support indicating supplemental grant funds of not less than 25 percent of the grant amount. See Section VI(A)(5) of this program section

of the SuperNOFA.

(5) Physical Improvements. You must submit a description of the renovation or conversion to be conducted along with a budget and timetable for those activities. You must demonstrate a firm commitment of assistance from one or more sources ensuring that supportive services will be provided for not less that 2 years following the completion of renovation, conversion, or repair activities funded under this ROSS competition.

(6) Except for PHA's or tribes/TDHEs, you must provide either a signed certification from HUD or an

Independent Public Accountant that your financial management system and procurement procedures fully comply with 24 CFR part 84 or 85, or your application must contain a signed Contract Administrator Partnership Agreement that you will use the services of a Contract Administrator in administering your grant. Applicants that are troubled PHAs are required to provide evidence that a Contract Administrator has been retained for the term of the grant. In cases where the Contract Administrator is the PHA, the Contract Administration responsibilities can be incorporated into the MOU discussed below.

(7) Applicant Non-Profit Status. Except for PHAs or tribes/TDHEs, you must provide evidence that the applicant is registered with the State as a nonprofit corporation or has 501(c) status with the United States Internal Revenue Service at the time of application submission. Evidence of State registration shall be a copy of the certificate of incorporation or certificate of good standing from the State Government (i.e. Secretary of State or Secretary of Corporations). Evidence of 501(c) status shall be a copy of the IRS 501(c) designation.

(8) Certification of Resident Council Board Elections.

(9) List of RAs or ROs Receiving Support. In RSDM applications you must list in your application the name of the RAs or ROs that will receive training, technical assistance and/or coordinated supportive services and must submit letters of support from each entity identified in your application.

(10) Responses to Factors of Award may be narrative statements or descriptions and the forms indicated below:

Factor 1—Capacity of the Applicant and Relevant Organizational Experience; Chart A—Program Staffing

Chart B—Applicant/Administrator

Track Record

Factor 2—Need/Extent of the Problem; Chart C—Summary Budget line Items

Chart D—Budget Workplan Summary Factor 3—Soundness of Approach;

Factor 4—Leveraging Resources; and

Factor 5—Coordination, Self-Sufficiency and Sustainability.

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

Bonus Points

Certification of Consistency with the RC/EZ/EC Strategic Plan

(E) Application Submission Requirements for Homeownership Supportive Services. All applications for funding under this funding category must contain the following documents

and information (Please note that items 1-5 are threshold requirements for a threshold review and responses to factors of award will be used to determine scoring of rating and ranking factors for this category):

(1) Your application must contain a written certification that at least 51 percent of the public housing residents to be included in the proposed program are currently eligible to receive, are currently receiving, or have received within the preceding five years, assistance or services funded under the TANF, SSI, or food stamp programs.

(2) Your application must provide evidence that the targeted population of public housing residents to be served by the proposed grant (a) were beneficiaries of a previously awarded ROSS grant between FY 1999 and FY 2000, or a state or local self-sufficiency program, (b) participates in a public housing family self-sufficiency program funded from operating subsidies, (c) had increases in earned income of at least 35% over a base year, and (d) achieved a level of income that is within the acceptable range of the local minimum income required for the purchase of a home in the local area.

(3) You must provide evidence (e.g. through an executed use agreement if the facility is to be provided by an entity other than the PHA) that a majority of the proposed activities will be administered at accessible community facilities within easy transportation access (i.e., walking or by direct (no transfers required)), convenient, inexpensive and reliable transportation) of the property represented by the PHA. The written agreement must certify that the community facilities meet the structural accessibility requirements of section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990.

(4) Physical Improvements. You must submit a description of the renovation or conversion to be conducted along with a budget and timetable for those activities. You must demonstrate a firm commitment of assistance from one or more sources ensuring that supportive services will be provided for not less that 2 years following the completion of renovation, conversion, or repair activities funded under this ROSS competition.

(5) Your application must contain letter(s) of support indicating supplemental grant funds of not less than 25 percent of the grant amount. See Section $\tilde{V}I(A)(5)$ of this program section of the SuperNOFA.

(6) Responses to Factors of Award may be narrative statements or

descriptions and the forms indicated below:

Factor 1—Capacity of the Applicant and Relevant Organizational Experience;

Chart A—Program Staffing. Chart B—Applicant/Administrator Track Record.

Factor 2—Need/Extent of the Problem; Factor 3—Soundness of Approach;

Chart C—Summary Budget line Items.

Chart D—Budget Work plan Summary. Factor 4—Leveraging Resources; and

Factor 5—Coordination, Self-Sufficiency and Sustainability.

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

Bonus Points

Certification of Consistency with the RC/EZ/EC Strategic Plan.

(F) Application Submission Requirements for Neighborhood *Networks Centers.* All applications for funding under this funding category must contain the following documents and information (Please note that items 1-5 are threshold requirements that will be used for a threshold review and responses to factors of award will be used to determine scores for rating and ranking for this category;);

(1) Your application must contain a written certification that at least 51 percent of the public housing residents to be included in the proposed program are currently eligible to receive, are currently receiving, or have received within the preceding five years, assistance or services funded under the TANF, SSI, or food stamp programs.

(2) Accessible Community Facility. You must provide written evidence (e.g. through an executed use agreement if the facility is to be provided by an entity other than the PHA that a majority of the proposed activities will be administered at community facilities within easy transportation access (i.e., walking or by direct (no transfers required)), convenient, inexpensive and reliable transportation) of the property represented by the PHA. The written agreement must certify that community facilities meet the structural accessibility requirement of section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and the Americans With Disabilities Act of

(3) Your application must contain letter(s) of support indicating supplemental grant funds of not less than 25 percent of the grant amount. See Section VI(A)(5) of the program section of this SuperNOFA.

(4) You must have an approved financial management system and procurement procedures. As evidence of your approved financial management or procurement system, you must provide

a copy of your latest audit report indicating that there are no deficiencies in either area and that your financial management system and procurement procedures fully comply with 24 CFR part 85. Applicants that are troubled PHAs are required to provide written agreement that a Contract Administrator has been retained for the term of the grant.

(5) Physical Improvements. You must submit a description of the renovation or conversion to be conducted along with a budget and timetable for those activities. You must demonstrate a firm commitment of assistance from one or more sources ensuring that supportive services will be provided for not less than 2 years following the completion of renovation, conversion, or repair activities funded under this ROSS competition. The following are application submission requirements and will not be used for determining eligibility for funding.

(6) Responses to Factors of Award may be narrative statements or descriptions and the forms indicated

Factor 1—Capacity of the Applicant and Relevant Organizational Experience; Chart A—Program Staffing

Chart B—Applicant/Administrator

Track Record
Factor 2—Need/Extent of the Problem;
Factor 3—Soundness of Approach;
Chart C—Summary Budget line Items
Chart D—Budget Work plan Summary
Factor 4—Leveraging Resources; and
Factor 5—Coordination, Self-Sufficiency
and Sustainability.

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

Bonus Points

Certification of Consistency with the RC/EZ/EC Strategic Plan.

- (G) Application Submission Requirements for Public Housing Service Coordinators for the Elderly and Persons with Disabilities. All applications for funding under this funding category must contain the following documents and information (Please note that items 1–2 are threshold requirements for a threshold review to be used to determine renewal funding for this category):
- (1) Your application must contain letter(s) of support indicating supplemental grant funds of not less than 25 percent of the grant amount. See Section VI(A)(5) of this program section of the SuperNOFA.
 - (2) SC Request Letter Format.

The following are application submission requirements. Only threshold requirements, and not application submission requirements, will be used for determining eligibility for renewal funding.

- (3) Evidence of comparable salaries in local area; and
- (4) Lead Agency letter format (if appropriate);

VII. Corrections to Deficient Applications

The General Section of the SuperNOFA provides the procedures for corrections to deficient applications.

VIII. Environmental Requirements

It is anticipated that most activities under this ROSS funding will be categorically excluded under 24 CFR 58.34(a)(3) or (a)(9), 58.35(b)(2) or (b)(4), 50.19(b)(3), (b)(9), (b)(12), or (b)(14). An applicant proposing any long-term leasing, or physical development activities is prohibited from rehabilitating, converting, leasing, repairing or constructing property, or committing or expending HUD or non-HUD funds for these types of program activities, until one of the following has occurred:

- (1) If the grantee is not a PHA or tribe/TDHE, HUD has completed an environmental review to the extent required by 24 CFR part 50, prior to grant award.
- (2) If the grantee is a PHA or tribe/
 TDHE, HUD has approved the grantee's
 Request for Release of Funds (HUD
 Form 7015.15) following a Responsible
 Entity's completion of an environmental
 review under 24 CFR part 58, where
 required, or if HUD has determined in
 accordance with § 58.11 to perform the
 environmental review itself under part
 50, HUD has completed the
 environmental review.

IX. Authority

Section 34 of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 and 24 CFR 964.

Appendix B

The non-standard forms, which follow, are required for the ROSS application.

BILLING CODE 4210-32-P

APPENDIX A

ROSS PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR THE FY 2002 ROSS NOFA

| ROSS Funding Category | OUTPUTS | PERFORMANCE MEASURE (S) | OUTCOMES |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--|
| RMBD | Deliver training sessions | Number of residents enrolled or recruited; Number of residents completing training; Number of training sessions completed | Increased capacity and skills |
| , | Develop Business Plans | • Number of business plans completed | Generate new business opportunities |
| | Deliver technical assistance | • Number of technical assistance sessions completed | Increased management and leadership skills |
| | Deliver Supportive Services | Number of new services provided by the ROSS grant; Number of residents served | Increased resident opportunities in local welfare to work and other self sufficiency programs |
| СВ | Complete training sessions | Number of residents enrolled or recruited; Number of residents completing training; Number of training sessions completed | Increase resident participation and involvement |
| RSDM | Deliver training sessions | Number of residents enrolled or recruited; Number of residents completing training; Number of training sessions completed | Increased capacity and skills |
| | Complete training related to entrepreneurship | Number of training sessions completed Number of Business plans completed | Increase knowledge, skills or capacity |
| ` | Establish a Revolving Loan Fund | Number of loans made Status of loans made (i.e., current, outstanding, paid-off, delinquent) | Increased access to financing and resources |
| | Deliver Supportive Services | Number of new services provided by the ROSS grant; Number of residents served | Increased resident opportunities in local welfare to work and other self sufficiency programs |

| ROSS Funding Category | OUTPUTS | PERFORMANCE MEASURE (S) | OUTCOMES |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| HSS | Deliver Homeownership Counseling | Number of residents recruited or enrolled; Number of homeownership counseling sessions held; Number of residents completing homeownership counseling; Number of residents moving from renting to homeownership. | Movement from self sufficiency programs to homeownership |
| NN | Deliver Computer Technology and Support | Number of computer technology centers established; Number of computer technology centers upgraded; Number of residents using technology center. | |
| SC | Deliver Supportive Services | Number of new services provided by the ROSS grant; Number of residents served | Improved quality of life for elderly residents and persons with disabilities |

RESIDENT OPPORTUNITIES AND SELF SUFFICIENCY PROGRAM

APPLICATION FOR FY 2002 FUNDING COVER SHEET

| Funding Cate | egory (Check ONE): |
|----------------------|--|
| | Resident Management and Business Development Capacity Building Resident Service Delivery Models – Family Resident Service Delivery Models –Elderly/Disabled Homeownership Supportive Services Neighborhood Networks Service Coordinators |
| Submitted By: _ | (Applicant Name) |
| Contact Person: _ | |
| Telephone: | () |
| Delivered To | : (HUD Field Office) |
| Date: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | PLEASE USE THIS PAGE AS COVER PAGE |

HUD-2002-ROSS (02/02)

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

FACT SHEET

Applicant Information

| Applicant: |
|---|
| Applicant Type:PHARAIRONONPROFITTRIBE/TDHE |
| HUD Region: State: HUD Field Office: |
| Contact |
| Name/Title |
| Street Address |
| City/State/Zip |
| Telephone No. () |
| Fax No. () |
| Assistance for which the applicant is applying: |
| Resident Management and Business Development Capacity Building Resident Service Delivery Models Homeownership Supportive Services Neighborhood Networks Service Coordinator Renewal |
| Unit Count |
| Total number of conventional public housing units under management** (excluding any Section 8) |
| Total number of family-occupied conventional public housing units. |
| Total number of elderly/disabled-occupied conventional public housing units. |
| **Tribal or TDHE applicants should use the unit count described in the NOFA Section I (F). |

HUD-2002-ROSS (02/02)

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

FACT SHEET (continued)

| applicants) Name of Board Member | Title | Appointment | Term | Date |
|--|---------------|-----------------|-------------|---|
| | THIC . | 7.000.111110111 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | ····· | | | |
| Date of Last Board Electio | n: | | | |
| Does the organization have | e block capta | iins? | Yes | No |
| Does the organization have an operating committee? | | | Yes | No |
| For any previous ROSS (Category (RSDM, RMBD, | | | st note the | Fiscal Year, RO |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | *************************************** |
| · . | | | | |
| • | | | | |
| | | | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

FACT SHEET (continued)

Name(s) of public housing development(s) targeted for ROSS Activities (Use additional pages if necessary.)

| me of Public Housing De | PIH Project # | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| • | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| ned this | day of | , 2002. | |
| | | | |
| Applicant Executive Di | rector or Other Authorized Re | epresentative | |
| | | | |
| Applicant Name | | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

PROGRAM SUMMARY

| Applicant: | Date: |
|--|---|
| Applicant type:PHARAIRO | NONPROFITTRIBE/TDHE |
| Funding Category: | |
| This narrative will be used for congressional r summary. | notification and will serve as the official progran |
| A. Please provide a brief summary of the propless) including a brief description of key program | gram proposed in your application (100 words o m components. |

HUD-2002-ROSS (02/02)

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

PROGRAM SUMMARY (continued)

| B. Please provide a list of persons to be served additional space is need | ting of all partners involved, their d by each partner using the form led.) | in-kind/cash contribution, and below. (You may copy | and number this page if |
|--|---|---|----------------------------|
| Partner's Name | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | # Persons Served | |
| Partner's Address | | | |
| Partner's Name | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | # Persons Served | |
| | III-Kiiid/Casii Condibution | | |
| Partner's Address | | | |
| Partner's Name | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | | |
| Partner's Address | | | |
| Partner's Name | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | # Persons Served | |
| Partner's Address | | | |
| TOTAL | | | |

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

| Applicant Name: | 10.1141707 | | | | | · | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Project Name: | * | | | | | | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | | | | |
| cation of the Project: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | *************************************** | | , | |
| ********** | | | | | | • | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | , | | | | | |
| Name of the Federal | | | | | | | |
| Program to which the applicant is applying: | | | | | | | |
| | | | , | | | | |
| Name of | | | | | | | |
| ertifying Jurisdiction: | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | parties of the same of the sam | Page 4 Try | Manufacture of the Control of the Co | | |
| Certifying Official | • | | | | | | |
| of the Jurisdiction Name: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Title | - Agr. 45.00 | | | | | | |
| x title. | A31.44.24. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Signature: | | | | | | | |
| Date: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | - | | | | • | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING RESIDENT MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

APPLICATION CHECKLIST

| I CERTIFY that the following application checklist is complete and that it accurately reflects the contents of my application. | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Signed this day of, 2002. | | | | | | | |
| Ву: | oplicant Chief Executive Officer or Other Au | thorized Penres | entative | | | | |
| • | • | • | citative | | | | |
| For Applicant: | | | | | | | |
| SUBMISS | BION | APPLICANT USE ONLY | HUD USE ONLY | | | | |
| | · | OGE GIVET | J.L. | | | | |
| COVER | MATERIALS (See Part II of this application ki | t for forms in th | is tab.) | | | | |
| | Table of Contents | | *************************************** | | | | |
| | Application Checklist | , | | | | | |
| | Application for Federal Assistance (Form SF-424) | | | | | | |
| | Federal Assistance Funding Matrix (Form HUD-424M) | <u>_</u> | | | | | |
| | Standard Form for Budget Information— Non-Construction Programs (Form SF-424A) | | | | | | |
| ٥ | Assurances—Non-Construction Programs (Form SF-424B) | | | | | | |
| ٥ | ROSS Fact Sheet | | | | | | |
| 0 | ROSS Program Summary | | | | | | |
| | Certification of Consistency and Compliance with General SuperNOFA Threshold Require | nents | | | | | |
| TAB 1: Threshold Requirements | | | | | | | |
| | Threshold Checklist | | | | | | |
| | RMBD Certification on Residents Affected by Welfare Reform | 1 | | | | | |

HUD-2002-ROSS (02/02)

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING RESIDENT MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

APPLICATION CHECK LIST (Continued)

| SUBMISS | SION | APPLICANT | HUD USE |
|----------|---|--|---------------------|
| | | USE ONLY | ONLY |
| | Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for Partnership between Applicant and PHA/tril | pe/TDHE | |
| a | Accessible Community Facility - Executed Use Agreement | | |
| | Match Agreements – Not less than 25% of grant requested | | |
| or | Certification of Compliance with 24 CFR Part 8 Contract Administrator Signed Statement (not t | | |
| | Proof of Applicant Nonprofit Status – Copy of Certification of Incorporation or Good Standing from the State or Copy of IRS 501 (c) designation | | HEs) |
| ٥ | Certification of Resident Council Board Election | n | · |
| Ō | List of RAs To Receive Support and Letters of Support from RAs | F | |
| <i>F</i> | Physical Improvements (Only for applicants proposing physical improvements) A description of the renovation or conversion to latinetable for those activities. A firm commitment of assistance from one or moservices will be provided for not less than 2 ye renovation, conversion, or repair activities fund | ore sources ensur ars following the o | ing that supportive |
| ٥ | Certification of Consistency and Compliance with General SuperNOFA Threshold Requirem | ents | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING RESIDENT MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

APPLICATION CHECK LIST (Continued)

| SUBI | VIISS | SION | APPLICANT | HUD USE |
|------|-------|--|---|-------------|
| | | | USE ONLY | ONLY |
| ТАВ | 2: F | Program Description and Budget | | |
| | | Program Activities Description—Narrative | | |
| | ٥ | Your Resident Organization and it's History—Narrative Chart A: RMBD Program Staffing | | |
| | | Resumes or summary of proposed staff's qualifications | *************************************** | |
| | ۵ | Chart B: RMBD Applicant/Administrator Track Record | · | |
| | ٥ | Chart C: RMBD Summary Budget Line Items | | |
| | 0 | Summary of Proposed Work Plan | | |
| | | Chart D: RMBD Budget Work Plan Summary, Parts I and II | | |
| | | Other Certifications and Assurances t VII of this Application Kit for all forms in | n this tab.) | |
| | ۵ | Certification for a Drug-Free Workplace (Form HUD–50070) | | |
| | | Certification of Payments to Influence Federal Transactions (Form HUD-50071), and if applicable, Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (Form SF- LLL) and Disclosure of Lobbying Activities Continuation Sheet (Form SF-LLL-A) | | |
| | | Applicant/Recipient Disclosure/Update Report (Form HUD-2880) | | |
| | ٥ | Certification Regarding Debarment and Suspension (Form HUD–2992) | · | |
| | ۵ | Acknowledgment of Application Receipt (Form HUD-2993) | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING RESIDENT MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

THRESHOLD CHECKLIST

| Ap | plicant: | Date | · |
|------------|--|---|--|
| and app | u must address the following threshold requirements for acceptable for rating and ranking. You can verify the blication kit by using a check mark in the space providerify that information is included appropriately. (See NO perNOFA, General Section II.) Note: Items marked with | hat information ed. Please note DFA, Section VI | is included in your that HUD will also (A) & (B) (1-9) and |
| TH | RESHOLD REQUIREMENT | APPLICANT USE ONLY | HUD USE ONLY |
| 1. | Certification on Residents Affected by Welfare Reform | | |
| 2. | Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for Partnership between Applicant and PHA/tribe/TDH | <u> </u> | |
| 3. | Accessible Community Facility - Executed Use Agreement or MOU | | |
| 4. | Match Agreements | | |
| 5. | *Certification of Compliance with 24 CFR Part 84 or Contract Administrator Signed Statement | | |
| 6. | *Proof of Applicant Nonprofit Status (State or IRS) | | |
| 7. | Certification of Resident Council Board Election | | - |
| 8. | List of RAs to Receive Support and Letters of Support From RAs (CWRO applicants only) | | |
| 9. | Physical ImprovementsDescription, Budget, Timetable, and Firm Commitments for Service Provision (Only for applicants proposing physical improvements) | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING RESIDENT MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

| Applicant: | Date: |
|---|--|
| THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS | |
| 1. Focus on Residents Affected by Welfare Reffollowing written certification that at least 51% of program are affected by welfare reform. | orm. Your RMBD application must contain the fresidents to be included in your proposed |
| RMBD CERTIFICATION ON BY WELFAR | |
| I certify that a total of people reside in the are targeted for activities during the proposed F | ne housing developments listed below, which MBD grant. |
| | |
| | |
| This proposed RMBD grant will serve a total of to be served are residents affected by welfare r | |
| (1) Currently eligible to receive, are currently repreceding 4 years assistance or services funder Families (TANF), SSI, or Food Stamps. | ceiving, or shall have received within the d under Temporary Assistance for Needy |
| (2) Elderly or disabled, otherwise not affected be such as child care or mentoring to families affected | y welfare reform, who will provide services cted by welfare reform. |
| I certify that% of the residents to be ser defined above. At least 51% of residents must application to meet the threshold requirement | st be affected by welfare reform for your |
| Signed thisday of | ,2002. |
| By: | ther Authorized Representative |
| For:Applicant Name | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING RESIDENT MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

| Appl | icant: | | Date: | |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 7. | Resident Organ | Resident Council Board nization board election a pendent third-party monit | d Election. You must submit certification as required by HUD, signed by the litter and notarized. | ion of the |
| | CERTIFICATI | ON OF RESIDENT | T COUNCIL BOARD ELECTI | ON |
| I CEF | RTIFY that | | | _ |
| | (| name of organization) | | |
| locate | ed in | (city & state) | has duly elected | 1 |
| all of Urba | the Resident Cou n Development, 2 | ncil Officers as required 4 Code of Federal Regu | d by the U.S. Department of Housing a ulations, Part 964. | and |
| Date | of Last Resident | Council Board Election: | | _• |
| (Nam | ne and Title of Cel | tifying Housing Agency | Official) | |
| (Sign | ature) | (D | Pate) | |
| (Nam | ne and Title of Ind | ependent Third-Party M | onitor) | |
| (Sign | ature) | (D | Date) | *************************************** |
| NOT | ARY (Signature & | Date) | | |

| | щ) | PHA/Tribe | | e en a de la composição d | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| dent Organization | Need to Complete and Submit this Cha | Address, City & State | | | | | | | | | · | |
| ticipating with the City-Wide Resi | (Only City-Wide Resident Organization Applicants Need to Complete and Submit this Chart) | Resident Contact Person | | | | | | | | | | |
| List of Resident Associations Participating with the City-Wide Resident Organization | (Only Cit) | Name of the Resident Association | | | | | | | | | | |

FY 2002 Resident Management and Business Development Applicant:

HUD-2002-ROSS (02/02)

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING RESIDENT MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

| Chart A: RMBD Program Staffing I. Applicant (RA or CWRO) | n Staffing CWRO) | | Applicant Name: | me: | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| Name of Staff Person | Organization and Position | nd Position | Role in | Role in Grant Program | Percent of Time on Grant | Cost to Grant |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| CONTRACT ADMII | INISTRATOR | | | | | |
| Contract Administrator to be | be Solicited | Role in Grant Program | Program | Estimated O | Estimated Cost to Grant Program | am |
| III.CONSULTANT(S)/TRAINERS/ PROVIDERS/OTHER EXPERTS | RAINERS/SVC. | | 20 A TOTAL AND A T | | | |
| Consultants/Trainers to be Solicited | Solicited | Role in Grant Program | Program | Estimated (| Estimated Cost to Grant Program | ram |
| | | | *************************************** | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Chart B: RMBD Applicant/Administrator Track Record

| _ | - 1 |
|---------------|-----|
| _ | |
| | 3 |
| | - 1 |
| - | - 1 |
| w | - 3 |
| - : - | - 1 |
| 13 | . 1 |
| $\mathbf{-}$ | |
| - | - 1 |
| | - 1 |
| | |
| _ | - 1 |
| | _ |
| | _ |
| $\overline{}$ | . 1 |
| | _ |
| - | - |
| 1 | - 1 |
| • 1 | - 1 |
| - | - 1 |
| | - 3 |
| | |
| | |

| Program Project % of Term % of Funds Major Goal #1 % Complete Drawn Down Down Down | | - | _ | | | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Project % of Ferm % of Funds Major Goal #1 % No. Complete Down Down | % Complete | | | | | | |
| No. Complete Drawn Down Down | | | | | | | |
| Project % of Term No. Complete | % | Complete | | | | | |
| Project % of Term No. Complete | Major Goal #1 | • | | | | | |
| Project % of Term No. Complete | % of Funds | Drawn Down | | | | | |
| | % of Term | Complete | | | | | |
| Program | Project | Vo. | | | | | |
| | Program | | | | | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING RESIDENT MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

CHART C RMBD BUDGET LINE ITEM SUMMARY

| Applicant Na | ıme: Date: | |
|--|--|--|
| Please check the | following as appropriate for your proposed program. | |
| Resident Manag | ement and Business Development | |
| 1010 | Physical Improvements | |
| 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025 1026 | Resident Business Development Develop Business Plan Conduct Market Analysis Licensing, Insurance Bonding Training Related to Resident Owned Business Establishment of Resident Managed Business Development Technical Assistance | |
| 1030 1031 1032 1033 1034 1035 1036 | Resident Organization Development Activities Organize Community Operating Procedures Develop MOU Develop Plan for Technical Assistance Consultant Contracts Self Sufficiency Programs | |
| 1040 1041 1042 1043 1044 1045 1046 | Resident Management Conduct Feasibility Study Secure Training/Skills/Expertise Develop MOU Secure T/A to Draft Contract Negotiate Contract with PHA Conduct Resident Training/Preparation | |
| 1050 1051 1052 1053 1054 1055 | Self Sufficiency Program Employment and Job Readiness Job Training Management Related Employment Training Vocational Training Technical Assistance | |
| 1060 9100 9200 9300 9400 | Supportive Services Travel Costs Other Resident Costs (Stipends, Reimbursements) Contract Administrator Administrative and Other Costs | |

See Appendix X for Narrative Descriptions

| Chart D: RMBD Budget Workplan Summary - Part | an Summary - Part I Applicant: | | OMB Approva | OMB Approval No. 2577-0221 |
|---|---|--|-------------------------------|--|
| BUDGET LINE ITEM For each bolded line item, enter total grant funds | ACTIVITIES (Identify lead agency: housing authority, contractor, subgrantee, etc) | RMBD GRANT FUNDS | NON-RMBD/ PARTNER FUNDS | ACTIVITY START/END DATES |
| 1010 Physical Improvements TOTAL: \$ | | 7 | | A PARTIE AND A PAR |
| 1020 Resident Business Dev. TOTAL: 8 | | | | |
| 1021 Develop Business Plan | | | | |
| | | | | 100 mm m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m |
| 1022 Conduct Market Analysis | | | | |
| | | | | (1) |
| 1023 | | | | |
| Bonding | | | | |
| 1024 | | | | |
| Training Related to Resident | | | | |
| | | All the second s | | |
| 1025 Establishment of Resident | | | | |
| Managed Business Dev. | | | | Holdstewn and the second secon |
| 1026 | | | | |
| l echnical Assistance | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| /02 | |
|-----|--|
| (02 | |
| SS | |
| ROS | |
| 2-F | |
| 8 | |
| 7 | |
| ⊒ | |
| | |

| Chart D: RMBD Budget Workplan Summary – Part I (cont'd) | Summary – Part I (cont'd) Applicant: | | OMB Approva | OMB Approval No. 2577-0221 |
|---|---|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| BUDGET LINE ITEM For each bolded line item, enter total grant funds | ACTIVITIES (Identify lead agency: housing authority, contractor, subgrantee, etc) | RMBD GRANT FUNDS | NON-RMBD/ PARTNER FUNDS | ACTIVITY START/END DATES |
| 1030 Resident Org. Dev. Activities TOTAL: 8 | | | | |
| 1031 Organize Community | | | | |
| 1032 Operating Procedures | | | | |
| 1033 Develop MOU | | | | |
| 1034 Develop Plan for Technical Assistance | | | | |
| 1035 Consultant Contracts | | | | |
| 1036 Self Sufficiency Programs | | | | |
| 1040 Resident Management TOTAL: \$ | | | | |

| (02/02) | |
|---------------|--|
| HUD-2002-ROSS | |

| Chart D: RMBD Budget Workplan Summary - Part I (cont'd) | mmary - Part I (cont'd) Applicant: | | OMB Approva | OMB Approval No. 2577-0221 |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| BUDGET LINE ITEM For each bolded line item, enter total grant funds | TIVITIES g authority, cc | RMBD GRANT FUNDS | NON-RMBD/ PARTNER FUNDS | ACTIVITY START/END DATES |
| 1041 | | | | |
| Conduct Feasibility Study | | | | warren taken dadakan ini da sana da sa |
| .` | | | A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH | |
| | | | - | The state of the s |
| 1042 | | | | |
| Secure Training/Skills/Expertise | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1043 | | | | |
| Develop MOU | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | the second confidence of the second confidence | | | |
| 1044 | | | | |
| Secure T/A to Draft Contract | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1045 | | | | |
| Negotiate Contract with PHA | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1047 | | | | |
| Conduct Besident Training/ | We will be the second of the s | The state of the s | | |
| Preparation | | | | The second secon |
| • | | constraint in a speciment of the speciment | The same of the sa | |
| | | | Annual Control of the | |
| | | | | |
| 1050 Self Sufficiency Program TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A | | Andreas de la company de la co | | |

| | _ |
|------|---|
| 5 | |
| 60 | 2 |
| U | |
| č |) |
| מ-כר | 1 |
| 5 | 5 |
| ~ | |
| 5 | 1 |
| TIP? | |

| Chart D: RMBD Budget Workplan Summary - Part I (cont'd) | ו Summary - Part I (cont'd) Applicant: | | OMB Approva | OMB Approval No. 2577-0221 |
|--|--|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| BUDGET LINE ITEM For each bolded line item, enter total grant funds | IVITIES authority, contr | RMBD GRANT FUNDS | NON-RMBD/ PARTNER FUNDS | ACTIVITY START/END DATES |
| 1051 Employment and Job Readiness | | | | |
| 1052 Job Training | | | | |
| 1053 Management Related Employment Training | | | | |
| 1054 Vocational Training | | | | |
| 1055 Technical Assistance | | | | |
| 1060 Supportive Services TOTAL: \$ | | - | | |
| 9100 Travel Costs TOTAL: \$ 9200 Other Resident Costs (Stipends, Reimbursements) | | | | |
| TOTAL: \$ | | | | |

| • | | - | | OMB Approva | OMB Approval No. 2577-0221 |
|--|--|--------------------------|-------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Chart D: RMBD Budget Work | Chart D: RMBD Budget Workplan Summary - Part I (cont'd) | Applicant: | | | |
| BUDGET LINE ITEM | ACTIVITIES | | RMBD | NON-RMBD/ | ACTIVITY |
| For each bolded line item, enter total | (Identify lead agency: housing authority, contractor, subgrantee, etc) | ractor, subgrantee, etc) | GRANT | | START/END |
| grant funds | | | FUNDS | FUNDS | DATES |
| 9300 Contract Administrator | | | | | |
| TOTAL: \$ | | | | - | |
| 9400 Admin. and Other Costs | | | | | |
| TOTAL: \$ | | | | | |

Chart D: RMBD Budget Workplan Summary - Part II

Please insert below the totals for each Summary Budget Line Item to be included in your grant.

These totals can be found in the far left column on Part I of the chart above.

| SUMMARY BUDGET LINE ITEMS | RMBD GRANT TOTAL |
|--|------------------|
| 1010 Physical Improvements | 8 |
| 1020 Resident Business Development | \$ |
| 1030 Resident Organization Development Activities | 8 |
| 1040 Resident Management | \$ |
| 1050 Self Sufficiency Program | ₩. |
| 1060 Supportive Services | \$ |
| 9100 Travel Costs | 49 |
| 9200 Other Resident Costs (Stipends, Reimbursements, etc.) | \$ |
| 9300 Contract Administrator | ₩ |
| 9400 Administrative and Other Costs | \$ |
| TOTAL of all RMBD Funds Requested | ₩ |
| | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

Certification of Consistency and Compliance with General SuperNOFA Program Requirements

I CERTIFY that the proposed ROSS activities will be consistent with the following and comply with all statutes, regulations, and U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development guidance related to the following:

- Compliance with Fair Housing and Civil Rights laws. Compliance with all Fair Housing and Civil Rights laws, statues, regulations, and Executive order as enumerated in 24 CFR 5.105(a). Federally recognized Indian tribes must comply with nondiscrimination provisions in 24 CFR 1003.601.
- 2. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing. Affirmative duty to further fair housing, including elimination of impediments to fair housing; the local jurisdiction or regional Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice; and the affirmative duty to carry out activities proposed specifically in your application to address the furtherance of fair housing. Section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974.
- 3. <u>Economic Opportunities for Low and Very Low-Income Persons.</u> Section 3 of the *Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968*, 12 U.S.C. sec. 1791u, Economic Opportunities for Low and Very Low-Income Persons; HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 135, including but not limited to subpart E and G reporting requirements; and any Section 3 employment, housing opportunity, or other plan adopted by the Housing Agency.
- 4. Conducting Business In Accordance With Core Values and Ethical Standards.

 Documentation of a written code of conduct as contained in 24 CFR 84.42 and 85.36(b)(3)
- Ensuring the Participation of Small Business, Small disadvantaged Businesses, and Women-Owned Businesses. Compliance with 24 CFR 84.44(b) and 85.36(e) in contracting for purchasing of goods and services.
- 6. <u>Uniform Relocation.</u> Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended (URA) and implementing regulations at 49 CFR part 24.
- 7. Nondiscrimination. The Americans with Disabilities Act, Title IX of the Education Amendments Act of 1972, Fair Housing Act, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974.
- 8. <u>Cost Principles.</u> OMB Circular No. A-122 (Cost Principles for Nonprofit Organizations) or OMB Circular No. A-87 (Cost Principles for Local Units of Government), as appropriate.

| Sigi | ned this day of, 2002. | |
|------|--|--|
| Ву: | | |
| | Applicant Chief Executive Officer or Other Authorized Representative | |
| For | | |

RESIDENT OPPORTUNITIES AND SELF SUFFICIENCY PROGRAM

APPLICATION FOR FY 2002 FUNDING COVER SHEET

| Funding Cat | tegory (Check ONE): |
|--------------------|--|
| | Resident Management and Business Development Capacity Building Resident Service Delivery Models – Family Resident Service Delivery Models –Elderly/Disabled Homeownership Supportive Services Neighborhood Networks Service Coordinators |
| Submitted By: | (Applicant Name) |
| | (Аррисані Наше) |
| Contact Person: | · |
| Telephone: | () |
| Delivered To | O:(HUD Field Office) |
| Date: | |

PLEASE USE THIS PAGE AS COVER PAGE

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

FACT SHEET

| Applicant Information |
|---|
| Applicant: |
| Applicant Type:PHARAIRONONPROFITTRIBE/TDHE |
| HUD Region: State: HUD Field Office: |
| Contact |
| Name/Title |
| Street Address |
| City/State/Zip |
| Telephone No. () |
| Fax No. () |
| Assistance for which the applicant is applying: |
| Resident Management and Business Development Capacity Building Resident Service Delivery Models Homeownership Supportive Services Neighborhood Networks Service Coordinator Renewal |
| Unit Count |
| Total number of conventional public housing units under management** (excluding any Section 8) |
| Total number of family-occupied conventional public housing units. |

**Tribal or TDHE applicants should use the unit count described in the NOFA Section II (F).

Total number of elderly/disabled-occupied conventional public housing units.

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

FACT SHEET (continued)

| SITE-BASED RESIDENT A applicants) | SSOCIATIO | ON BOARD INFORMA | TION (Does | not apply to \$ | SC |
|--|--|--|--|--|------|
| | Title | Appointment | Term | Date | |
| | | | | | |
| | AND STATE OF THE S | | | | |
| | | 10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-1 | | | |
| Date of Last Board Election | • | | | | |
| Does the organization have | block capta | iins? | Yes | No | |
| Does the organization have | an operatin | g committee? | Yes | No | |
| For any previous ROSS g Category (RSDM, RMBD, o | | | st note the | Fiscal Year, R | ≀oss |
| | - 1944-1-144 (STUDIO) - 1944-148 (STUDIO) | | | The state of the s | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | Samuel Community of the | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

FACT SHEET (continued)

Name(s) of public housing development(s) targeted for ROSS Activities (Use additional pages if necessary.)

| Name of Public Housing Deve | elopment | F | PIH Project # | |
|--|--|---|-----------------------------|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | - | | |
| | A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR | | | |
| | | | | |
| · | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| The state of the s | | | : | |
| | | 1 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MERRONICAL | |
| · | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Signed this | day of | | 2002 | |
| | | | | |
| By: | | | W | |
| | | | | |
| or: | | | and at a supplementation of | |
| Applicant Name | | | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

PROGRAM SUMMARY

| Applicant: | Date: |
|---|---|
| Applicant type:PHARAIRO | NONPROFITTRIBE/TDHE |
| Funding Category: | |
| This narrative will be used for congressional n summary. | otification and will serve as the official program |
| A. Please provide a brief summary of the progless) including a brief description of key progran | gram proposed in your application (100 words or n components |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

PROGRAM SUMMARY (continued)

| In-Kind/Cash Contribution | # Persons Served | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| and the second s | The second secon | |
| In-Kind/Cash Contribution | # Persons Served | |
| | | |
| In-Kind/Cash Contribution | # Persons Served | |
| | | |
| | In-Kind/Cash Contribution In-Kind/Cash Contribution In-Kind/Cash Contribution In-Kind/Cash Contribution | In-Kind/Cash Contribution # Persons Served In-Kind/Cash Contribution # Persons Served In-Kind/Cash Contribution # Persons Served |

TOTAL

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

| I certify that the proposed | activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's cur | rent, approved Consolidated Plan. |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| (Type or clearly print the foll | owing information:) | |
| Applicant Name: | | |
| Project Name: | | |
| Location of the Project: | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying: | | |
| Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: | | |
| Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name: | | |
| Title: | | |
| Signature: | | |
| Date: | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

CAPACITY BUILDING

APPLICATION CHECKLIST

| | Y that the following application checkling the contents of my applications of my applications. | | and that it | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Signed t | his, day of, 20 | 02. | | |
| Ву: | oplicant Chief Executive Officer or Othe | Men Podomin (common et e | | |
| Αţ | oplicant Chief Executive Officer or Othe | r Authorized Re | presentative | |
| For Appl | icant: | | water to a supply the supply to the supply the supply to t | |
| SUBMISS | SION | APPLICANT USE ONLY | HUD USE ONLY | |
| Cover M (See Par | aterials t II of this application kit for forms in thi | s tab.) | ÷ | |
| | Table of Contents | | | |
| ٥ | Application Checklist | | | |
| ۵ | Application Cover Sheet | And passage of the control of the co | <u> </u> | |
| ٥ | Application for Federal Assistance (Standard Form SF-424) | | | |
| ٥ | Federal Assistance Funding Matrix (Form HUD-424M) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| ٥ | Budget Information —Non-Construction Programs (Standard Form SF-424A) | | ************************************** | |
| | Assurances—Non-Construction Programs (Standard Form SF-424B) | | | |
| ۵ | ROSS Fact Sheet | | *** | |
| | ROSS Program Summary | | | |
| О | Certification of Consistency and Compliance with General SuperNOFA Threshold | - Harris and the second second | | |

Requirements

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

CAPACITY BUILDING

APPLICATION CHECKLIST (continued)

| SUBMIS | SION | APPLICANT | HUD USE | | | |
|--------|---|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| | USE ONLY | | | | | |
| TAB 1: | Threshold Requirements | | | | | |
| Ε | Threshold Checklist | *************************************** | | | | |
| ξ | Proof of Applicant Nonprofit Status Copy of Certification of Incorporation or Good Standing from the State or Copy of IRS 501(c) designa (not for tribes/TDHEs) | | . | | | |
| C | Match Agreements Not less than 25% of grant requested | - Annual Control of the Control of t | | | | |
| | List of RAs to Receive Support and Letters of Support from RAs | , | | | | |
| C | Certificate of Compliance with either 24 CFR Part 84 or Contract Administrator Signed Stat (not for tribes/TDHEs) | ement | | | | |
| C | MOU between Applicant and PHA/tribe/TDHE | Management of the Confession o | | | | |
| · | Accessible Community Facility – Description of Executed Use Agreement | r | | | | |
| TAB 2: | Program Description and Budget | | | | | |
| C | Needs Assessment Report | | | | | |
| C | Proposed Program Activities Description | | | | | |
| | Experience and Staffing—Narrative | Water A - 1 May Happen - 1 Market - 1 May | | | | |
| | Chart A: CB Program Staffing | | | | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

CAPACITY BUILDING

APPLICATION CHECKLIST (continued)

| SUBMISS | SION | APPLICANT USE ONLY | HUD USE ONLY | |
|---------|---|---|--|--|
| 0 | Resumes or Summary of Proposed Staff's Qualifications | | | |
| ٥ | Chart B: Applicant Track Record | VIII. | | |
| ٥ | Work Plan Summary | eventure | | |
| ٥ | Chart C: Summary Budget Line Items | | When the state of | |
| ū | Chart D: Budget Workplan Summary, Parts I a | and II | Make the translated of the second of the sec | |
| | Other Certifications and Assurances t VII of this Application Kit for all forms i | n this tab.) | | |
| ٥ | Certification for a Drug-Free Workplace (Form HUD-50070) | | With the second | |
| ū | Certification of Payments to Influence Federal Transactions (Form HUD-50071), and if applicable, Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (Form SF- LLL) and Disclosure of Lobbying Activities Continuation Sheet (Form SF-LLL-A | | | |
| ۵ | Applicant/Recipient Disclosure/Update Report (Form HUD-2880) | *************************************** | All the second s | |
| ū | Certification Regarding Debarment and Suspension (Form HUD–2992) | *************************************** | MANAGER OF STREET, MANAGER CO. | |
| 0 | Acknowledgement of Application Receipt | ······································ | to describe or a fortil Management reprint. | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING CAPACITY BUILDING

THRESHOLD CHECKLIST

Applicant:

Date:____

| ac kit inf | the must address the following threshold requirements for ceptable for rating and ranking. You can verify that information is check mark in the space provided. Pleatormation is included appropriately. (See ROSS NOT uperNOFA Section II). Note: Items marked with an * do | ormation is includes se note that HUFA, Section VI (A | led in your application D will also verify that A) & (C) and General |
|------------------|--|--|--|
| Tŀ | HRESHOLD REQUIREMENT | APPLICANT USE ONLY | HUD USE ONLY |
| ٠. | *Proof of Applicant Nonprofit Status Copy of Certification of Incorporation or Good Standing from the State or Copy of IRS 501(c) designation | | |
| 2. | Match Agreements—Not less than 25% of grant requested | and the second s | |
| 3. | List of RAs to Receive Support and Letters of Support from RAs | | |
| 4. | *Certificate of Compliance with either 24 CFR Part 84 or Contract Administrator Signed Statement | | · |
| 5. | MOU between Applicant and PHA/tribe/TDHE | Note the control of t | Working and Williams |
| 6. | Accessible Community Facility – Description or Executed Use Agreement | *************************************** | California de Ca |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING CAPACITY BUILDING

| Applicant: | | | |
|--|--|--|---------------|
| THRESHOLD REQUIR | REMENTS | | |
| program if it serves the elder | ly or persons with d the certification pro | orm. This requirement is not applications. Your Family CB applications of reprised below) that at least 51% of reprised by welfare reform. | ition must |
| CB CERTI | | RESIDENTS AFFECTED RE REFORM | |
| I certify that a total of are targeted for activities dur | | the housing developments listed b B grant. | elow, which |
| | Andrew - And | | |
| This proposed CB grant will s served are residents affected | | residents. Included in the rec | ipients to be |
| | or services funded | ceiving, or shall have received with d under Temporary Assistance for | |
| | | fected by welfare reform, who will lies affected by welfare reform. | provide |
| | dents must be affe | ed are affected by welfare reform, a ected by welfare reform for your PFA. | |
| Signed this | day of | , 2002. | |
| By: | notes | thorized Representative | ye-ar-a |
| | niecioi oi oinei Aul | пописи пергевенаціче | |
| For: | AAAAAAA AAAAAAA AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA | ANABINA ANABANA ANABAN | |

4-21

| FY 2002 Capacity Building | | Applicant: | |
|--|--|-----------------------|--|
| List of Resident Associations Participating | ĵing . | | |
| Name of the Resident Association | Contact Person | Address, City & State | Housing Authority/Tribe |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | POPP TO ONI NO NOTE AND A SECOND TO THE SECO |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| To the state of th | - Constitution of the Cons | | |
| | | | |
| *************************************** | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| A CANADA | | | |
| | | | |
| | The second secon | | |
| | | | |

4-39

Chart B: CB Applicant Track Record Applicant:

| 0.5000,2000 | | T | T | T | I | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| Percent Complete | | | | | | | | | |
| Major Goal #2 | | | | | | | · | | |
| Percent Complete | | | | | | | | | |
| .Major Goal #1 | | | | | | | | | |
| % of Funds Drawn Down | | | | | | | | | |
| % of Term Complete | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Number | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | ,000,000 pp. 11. | | | | |
| | Program Project Number % of Term % of Funds Major Goal #1 Percent Complete Drawn Down | Project Number: %, of Term %, of Funds Major Goal # Complete Drawn Down Complete | Project Number % of Term % of Funds Major Goal#2 Complete Drawn Down Complete | Project Number: %, of Term %, of Funds Major Goal#2 Complete Drawn Down Complete | Project Number % of Term % of Funds Major Goal #1 Complete Complete | Project Number % of Term % of Funds Wajor Goal #f Percent Major Goal #f | Project Number % of Funds Wajor Goal #1 Percent Major Goal #2 Complete Drawn Down Complete Complete | Project Number % of Team. % of Fauris Major Goal ##! Percent Computer Computer | Project Number % of Term 2% of Tunds Major Goal #F Percent Complete Drawn Down Down |

HUD-2002-ROSS (02/02)

CHART D: BUDGET WORK PLAN SUMMARY -PART I (Capacity Building) Applicant Name:

| BUDGET LINE ITEM | ACTIVITIES | CB | NON-CB | ACTIVITY |
|---|--|-------|---------|-----------|
| Include grant funding totals in each bolded | (Identify lead agency: housing authority, contractor, subgrantee, etc) | GRANT | PARTNER | START/END |
| line item. | | FUNDS | | DATE |
| 3000 Capacity Building Activities TOTAL: 8 | | | | |
| 3010 | | | | |
| Training | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3011 | | | | |
| Consultants | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3012 | | | | |
| Other | | | | |
| | | | | |
| , | | | | |
| 9100 Travel Costs TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 9300 Contract Administrator TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 9400 Administrative Costs TOTAL: \$ | | | | |

HUD-2002-ROSS (02/02)

CHART D: BUDGET WORK PLAN SUMMARY -PART I (Capacity Building) Applicant Name:

| RUDGET LINE ITEM | ACTIVITIES | CB | NON-CB | ACTIVITY |
|---|--|--|---------|--|
| Include grant funding totals in each bolded | (Identify lead agency: housing authority, contractor, subgrantee, etc) | GRANT | PARTNER | START/END |
| line item. | | FUNDS | FUNDS | DATE |
| 3000 Capacity Building Activities TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 3010 | | | | |
| Training | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3011 | | | | |
| Consultants | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 3012 | | | | |
| Other | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 9100 Travel Costs TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 9300 Contract Administrator TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 9400 Administrative Costs TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| | TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT | The second secon | - | WHITE THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY |

HUD-2002-ROSS (02/02)

CHART D: BUDGET WORK PLAN SUMMARY-PART! (Continued)

OMB Approval No. 2577-0221

| BUDGET LINE ITEM | ACTIVITIES | CB | NON-CB | ACTIVITY |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------|----------|----------|
| Include grant funding totals in each | (Identify lead agency: housing authority, contractor, subgrantee, etc) | GRANT | PARTINER | |
| bolded line item. | | FUNDS | FUNDS | DATE |
| 9100 Travel Costs | | | | |
| TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 9300 Contract Admin. | | | | |
| TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 9400 Administrative Costs | | | | |
| TOTAL: \$ | | | | |

Chart D: CB Budget Work Plan Summary - Part II (Capacity Building)

Please insert below the totals for each Summary Budget Line Item to be included in your Capacity

Building grant. These totals can be found in the far left column on Part I of the chart above.

| Daniel grant. These totals can be total at the total att of all the chair above. | כן נווכ כוומון מססיכ. |
|--|-----------------------|
| SUMMARY BUDGET LINE ITEMS | CB GRANT TOTAL |
| 3000 Capacity Building Activities | \$ |
| 9100 Travel Costs | € |
| 9300 Contract Administrator | ₩ |
| 9400 Administrative and Other Costs | ↔ |
| TOTAL of all Capacity Building Funds Requested | ↔ |
| | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

Certification of Consistency and Compliance with General SuperNOFA Program Requirements

I CERTIFY that the proposed ROSS activities will be consistent with the following and comply with all statutes, regulations, and U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development guidance related to the following:

- Compliance with Fair Housing and Civil Rights laws. Compliance with all Fair Housing and Civil Rights laws, statues, regulations, and Executive order as enumerated in 24 CFR 5.105(a). Federally recognized Indian tribes must comply with nondiscrimination provisions in 24 CFR 1003.601.
- 2. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing. Affirmative duty to further fair housing, including elimination of impediments to fair housing; the local jurisdiction or regional Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice; and the affirmative duty to carry out activities proposed specifically in your application to address the furtherance of fair housing. Section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974.
- 3. Economic Opportunities for Low and Very Low-Income Persons. Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, 12 U.S.C. sec. 1791u, Economic Opportunities for Low and Very Low-Income Persons; HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 135, including but not limited to subpart E and G reporting requirements; and any Section 3 employment, housing opportunity, or other plan adopted by the Housing Agency.
- 4. Conducting Business In Accordance With Core Values and Ethical Standards.

 Documentation of a written code of conduct as contained in 24 CFR 84.42 and 85.36(b)(3).
- 5. Ensuring the Participation of Small Business, Small disadvantaged Businesses, and Women-Owned Businesses. Compliance with 24 CFR 84.44(b) and 85.36(e) in contracting for purchasing of goods and services.
- 6. <u>Uniform Relocation.</u> Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended (URA) and implementing regulations at 49 CFR part 24.
- 7. Nondiscrimination. The Americans with Disabilities Act, Title IX of the Education Amendments Act of 1972, Fair Housing Act, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974.
- 8. <u>Cost Principles.</u> OMB Circular No. A-122 (Cost Principles for Nonprofit Organizations) or OMB Circular No. A-87 (Cost Principles for Local Units of Government), as appropriate.

| Signed this | day of | , 2002. | | |
|--------------|----------------------|---|----------------|--|
| By: | | | | |
| Applicant Ch | nief Executive Offic | er or Other Authorized i | Representative | |
| For: | · | CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF | | |

RESIDENT OPPORTUNITIES AND SELF SUFFICIENCY PROGRAM

APPLICATION FOR FY 2002 FUNDING COVER SHEET

| Funding Cat | egory (Check ONE): |
|--------------------|--|
| | Resident Management and Business Development Capacity Building Resident Service Delivery Models – Family Resident Service Delivery Models –Elderly/Disabled Homeownership Supportive Services Neighborhood Networks Service Coordinators |
| Submitted By: | |
| | (Applicant Name) |
| Contact Person: | |
| Telephone: | () |
| Delivered To | : (HUD Field Office) |
| Date: | |
| | PLEASE USE THIS PAGE AS COVER PAGE |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

FACT SHEET

Applicant Information

| Applicant: |
|---|
| Applicant Type:PHARAIRONONPROFIT TRIBE/TDHE |
| HUD Region: State: HUD Field Office: |
| Contact |
| Name/Title |
| Street Address |
| City/State/Zip |
| Telephone No. () |
| Fax No. () |
| Assistance for which the applicant is applying: |
| Resident Management and Business Development Capacity Building Resident Service Delivery Models Homeownership Supportive Services Neighborhood Networks Service Coordinator Renewal |
| Unit Count |
| Total number of conventional public housing units under management** (excluding any Section 8) |
| Total number of family-occupied conventional public housing units. |
| Total number of elderly/disabled-occupied conventional public housing units. |
| **Tribal or TDHE applicants should use the unit count described in the NOFA Section II (F). |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

FACT SHEET (continued)

| SITE-BASED RESIDENT A applicants) | ASSOCIATIO | ON BOARD INFORMA | TION (Does | not apply to SC |
|--|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|---|
| Name of Board Member | Title | Appointment | Term | Date |
| MBC | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Date of Last Board Election | າ: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Does the organization have | e block capta | ins? | Yes | No |
| Does the organization have an operating committee? | | | Yes | No |
| For any previous ROSS g Category (RSDM, RMBD, | | | st note the | Fiscal Year, ROS |
| | | | | |
| - | | | 101 W 101 W 1 | 1000 |
| out of the second of the secon | | | , | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | 111111111111111111111111111111111111111 |
| | | | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

FACT SHEET (continued)

Name(s) of public housing development(s) targeted for ROSS Activities (Use additional pages if necessary.)

| ame of Public Housing Development | PIH | H Project# |
|--|--|--|
| | | , |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | - Commence (Control of Control of |
| | | |
| | - And the state of | 10. pla de la composition della composition dell |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | galaka a galaka sagar erang ang ang ang ang Manasana. |
| | | |
| | The state of the s | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | ************************************** |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | <u> </u> |
| | | |
| gned this day | of | , 2002. |
| | | |
| : Applicant Executive Director or Other | Authorized Representative | to the same of |
| | | |
| r: Applicant Name | ANNUAL TURNO (11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

PROGRAM SUMMARY

| Applicant: | Date: | |
|--|--|--|
| Applicant type:PHARAIRO | NONPROFITTRIBE/TDHE | |
| Funding Category: | · | |
| This narrative will be used for congressional no summary. | otification and will serve as the official program | |
| A. Please provide a brief summary of the program proposed in your application (100 words or ess) including a brief description of key program components. | | |

ROSS FY 2001 FUNDING

PROGRAM SUMMARY (continued)

| | g of all partners involved, their y each partner using the forn) | | |
|-------------------|---|------------------|--|
| Partner's Name | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | # Persons Served | |
| Partner's Address | - | | |
| Partner's Name | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | # Persons Served | |
| Partner's Address | | | |
| Partner's Name | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | # Persons Served | |
| Partner's Address | - | | |
| Partner's Name | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | # Persons Served | |
| Partner's Address | | | |
| TOTAL | | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

Certification of Consistency and Compliance with General SuperNOFA Program Requirements

I CERTIFY that the proposed ROSS activities will be consistent with the following and comply with all statutes, regulations, and U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development guidance related to the following:

- Compliance with Fair Housing and Civil Rights laws. Compliance with all Fair Housing and Civil Rights laws, statues, regulations, and Executive order as enumerated in 24 CFR 5.105(a). Federally recognized Indian tribes must comply with nondiscrimination provisions in 24 CFR 1003.601.
- 2. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing. Affirmative duty to further fair housing, including elimination of impediments to fair housing; the local jurisdiction or regional Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice; and the affirmative duty to carry out activities proposed specifically in your application to address the furtherance of fair housing. Section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974.
- 3. Economic Opportunities for Low and Very Low-Income Persons. Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, 12 U.S.C. sec. 1791u, Economic Opportunities for Low and Very Low-Income Persons; HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 135, including but not limited to subpart E and G reporting requirements; and any Section 3 employment, housing opportunity, or other plan adopted by the Housing Agency.
- 4. Conducting Business In Accordance With Core Values and Ethical Standards.

 Documentation of a written code of conduct as contained in 24 CFR 84.42 and 85.36(b)(3).
- Ensuring the Participation of Small Business, Small disadvantaged Businesses, and Women-Owned Businesses. Compliance with 24 CFR 84.44(b) and 85.36(e) in contracting for purchasing of goods and services.
- 6. <u>Uniform Relocation.</u> Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended (URA) and implementing regulations at 49 CFR part 24.
- 7. Nondiscrimination. The Americans with Disabilities Act, Title IX of the Education Amendments Act of 1972, Fair Housing Act, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974.
- 8. <u>Cost Principles.</u> OMB Circular No. A-122 (Cost Principles for Nonprofit Organizations) or OMB Circular No. A-87 (Cost Principles for Local Units of Government), as appropriate.

| Signed this | day of | , 2002. | |
|--------------|-----------------------|---|------------------|
| Ву: | | | |
| Applicant Ci | hief Executive Office | er or Other Authorize | d Representative |
| For: | | . 7.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000.0000. | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING RESIDENT SERVICE DELIVERY MODELS

APPLICATION CHECKLIST

| I CERTIFY that the following application checklist is complete and that it accurately reflects the contents of my application. | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | gned this day of, 2002. | | | |
| В | Applicant Chief Executive Officer or Other Authorized | d Representativ | e | |
| | r Applicant: | | | |
| | | oupa. | | |
| SU | JBMISSION | APPLICANT | HUD USE | |
| | | USE ONLY | ONLY | |
| C | OVER MATERIALS (See Part II of this application kit for | forms in this tal | b.) | |
| ū | Application Checklist | | Windowski (Market Market Marke | |
| ۵ | Application Cover Sheet | | | |
| ٥ | Application for Federal Assistance (Standard Form SF-424) | *************************************** | | |
| | Federal Assistance Funding Matrix (Form HUD-424M) | words (A State of Sta | | |
| ۵ | Standard Form for Budget Information Non-Construction Programs (SF-424A) | | annahiri Mayaran aya sabar | |
| ٥ | Assurances – Non-Construction Programs (Form SF-424B) | white the state of | | |
| | ROSS Fact Sheet | | Walter Committee | |
| | ROSS Program Summary | | *************************************** | |
| Certification of Consistency and Compliance with General SuperNOFA Threshold Requirements Certification | | | | |
| TA | AB 1: Threshold Requirements | | | |
| | Threshold Checklist | | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING RESIDENT SERVICE DELIVERY MODELS

APPLICATION CHECKLIST (Continued)

| SUBMISSION | | APPLICANT | HUD USE |
|------------|--|--|--|
| | | USE ONLY | ONLY |
| | Certification on Resident Affected by Welfare Reform (Family RSDM Applicants Only) | | |
| Q | Elderly Housing Development Certification (Elderly RSDM Applicants Only) | | |
| | Accessible Community Facility –Description or Executed Use Agreement (if facility provided is not a PHA) | Address of the Addres | MACON DE 1100 PROPRIO DE 1100 |
| a | Match Agreements-Not less than 25% of grant requested | makin ilipian panadilili kalabani | |
| <u> </u> | Physical Improvements (Only for applicants proposing physical improvements) | | and the same of th |
| 0 | Certificate of Compliance with either 24 CFR Part 84 or 85 or Contract Administrator Signed Statement (not for Tribes/TDHEs or non-troubled PHA | s) | |
| | Proof of Nonprofit Status (RA, IRO, and Nonprofit Applicants Only). IROs must have 501 (c) status. | Wilderson and American | |
| | Certification of Resident Council Board Election (RA Applicants Only) | | |
| a | List of RAs to Be Served and Letters of Support from RAs | , or the account of the state o | e delimoyro esse andrellolistici regionnelina. |
| | B 2: Capacity of the Applicant and Relevant Organizate (ctor 1) | tional Experie | nce |
| Q | Chart A: Program Staffing | | |
| ۵ | Narrative on proposed staffing and coordination | | dengan and the Child Management of the State |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING RESIDENT SERVICE DELIVERY MODELS

APPLICATION CHECKLIST (Continued)

| SUBMISSION | | APPLICANT | HUD USE | | |
|------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | USE ONLY | ONLY | | |
| 0 | Chart B: Applicant/Administrator Track Record | | | | |
| | Organization Chart | | , | | |
| | Staff Position Descriptions | | - PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF | | |
| | Staff Resumes or Background/ Experience Descriptions | AMERICA CONTRACTOR AND | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| □ | Narrative on program administration and fiscal management structure | | | | |
| TA | B 3: Needs/Extent of Problem (Factor 2) | | | | |
| ū | Needs Assessment Report | | May represent the state of the | | |
| | Narrative on level of priority in Consolidated Plan/IHP | Property Management (1984) | Personal Assessment Company of the C | | |
| TA | B 4: Soundness of Approach (Factor 3) | | | | |
| o. | Narrative describing proposed services | | begreyen | | |
| | Narrative on resident contracting and employment | | SSECTOR CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP | | |
| a | Chart C: Summary Budget Line Items | e-verse verse distance verse distance | | | |
| ū | Chart D: Budget Work Plan Summary, Parts I and II | | | | |
| | Narrative on program assessment | The state of the s | | | |
| a | Narrative on resident involvement and other partnerships | | nny ilidaga ay ay antara mana ilidaga ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa ahaa | | |
| 0 | Narrative on relationship coordination | | anno de maio de la companio de la Carlo de La companio de La compa | | |
| TA | TAB 5: Leveraging Resources (Factor 4) | | | | |
| <u> </u> | Narrative on the contributions, roles, and responsibilities of each partner | e-nyyeleksin-anananikesialah | - | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING RESIDENT SERVICE DELIVERY MODELS

APPLICATION CHECKLIST (Continued)

| SU | BMISSION | APPLICANT USE ONLY | HUD USE ONLY | | |
|----|--|--|---|--|--|
| TA | TAB 6: Coordination, Self-sufficiency, and Sustainability (Factor 5) | | | | |
| a | Narrative demonstrating review of Consolidated Plan/IHP | | | | |
| u | Narrative on coordination w/ State/local welfare plan | | | | |
| | Narrative on coordination with other activities | - Walter Company | | | |
| | Certification of Consistency w/ the Consolidated Plan | | | | |
| | Certification of Consistency w/ the Indian Housing Plan (Tribes/TDHEs only) | | | | |
| ΤA | B 7: Bonus Points | | | | |
| ۵ | EZ/EC Certification | | | | |
| | AB 8: Other Certifications and Assurances bee Section VII of this Application Kit for all forms in this tab.) | | | | |
| | Certification for a Drug-Free Workplace (Form HUD–50070) | | · | | |
| | Certification of Payments to Influence Federal Transactions (Form HUD 50071), and if applicable, Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (Form SF- LLL) and Disclosure of Lobbying Activities Continuation Sheet (Form SF-LLL-A) | | | | |
| | Applicant/Recipient Disclosure/Update Report (Form HUD-2880) | And the second s | - | | |
| | Certification Regarding Debarment and Suspension (Form HUD–2992) | | | | |
| 0 | Acknowledgment of Application Receipt (Form HUD-2993) | | *************************************** | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING RESIDENT SERVICE DELIVERY MODELS

THRESHOLD CHECKLIST

| Α | pplicant: | Date: | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| You must address the following threshold requirements for your application to be complete acceptable for rating and ranking. You can verify that information is included in your application by using a check mark in the space provided. Please note that HUD will also verify information is included appropriately. (See NOFA, Section VI (A) & (D) and Ger SuperNOFA Section II). | | ided in your application UD will also verify that | | |
| T | HRESHOLD REQUIREMENT | APPLICANT USE ONLY | HUD USE ONLY | |
| 1. | Certification on Residents Affected by Welfare Reform (Family applicants only) | | | |
| 2. | Elderly Housing Development Certification (Elderly applicants only) | year-Mannishidayyer-up- | | |
| 3. | Accessible Community Facility Description or Executed Use Agreement (if facility provided is not a PHA) | | - | |
| 4. | Match Agreements | *************************************** | NAME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR | |
| 5. | Physical Improvements (Only for applicants proposing physical improvements) | APP-PARAMETERS & SAN TO THE SAN THE SA | | |
| 6. | Certification of Compliance with either 24 CFR Part 84 or 85 or Contract Administrator Signed Statement (not for Tribes/TDHE or non-troubled PHAs) | September States and April 1985 | | |
| 7. | Proof of Applicant Nonprofit Status (RA, IROs, and non- profit applicants only) | · · | | |
| 8. | Certification of Resident Board Election (RA applicants only) | were the state of | | |
| 9. | List of RAs to be Served and Letters of Support from RAs | and the second second | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING RESIDENT SERVICE DELIVERY MODELS

| Applicant: | ************************************** | Date: | |
|---|---|---|--|
| THRESHOLD RE | <i>EQUIREMENTS</i> | | |
| program if it serves th contain a certification | e elderly or persons with d | orm. This requirement is not applicable isabilities. Your Family RSDM applica vided below) that at least 51% of resided welfare reform. □ | ition must |
| RSDM | CERTIFICATION OF BY WELFAR | N RESIDENTS AFFECTED RE REFORM | |
| | people reside in ties during the proposed R | he housing developments listed below SDM grant. | v, which |
| | | | |
| This proposed RSDM be served are residen | grant will serve a total of _ ts affected by welfare refo | residents. Included in the recm who are either: | ipients to |
| | istance or services funded | eiving, or shall have received within th I under Temporary Assistance for Nee | |
| | | fected by welfare reform, who will provies affected by welfare reform. | vide |
| above. At least 51% | % of residents to be serve of residents must be affe d requirement of this NO | ed are affected by welfare reform, as o ected by welfare reform for your ap FA. | lefined plication |
| Signed this | day of | , 2002. | |
| Ву | | | |
| Applicant Exec | cutive Director or other Aut | horized Representative | |
| For: | Mark 1977 | | WHILE THE PARTY OF |
| Applicant Nam | e | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING RESIDENT SERVICE DELIVERY MODELS

| Applic | eant: | Date: |
|--------|--|---|
| resid | | n. You must certify that at least 25% of the or the grant activities are elderly and/or non- |
| | RSDM ELDERI DEVELOPMENT (| |
| á | | in the development(s) proposed for the grant people with disabilities at the time of the the 25% requirement. |
| Signed | this day of | , 2002. |
| Ву: | | |
| , | Applicant Executive Director or other Auth | orized Representative |
| For: | | |
| - | Applicant Name | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING RESIDENT SERVICE DELIVERY MODELS

| Applicant: | | Date: |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| | s required by HUD, signed by | RA applicants must submit certification of the I y the local PHA and/or an independent third-pa |
| | Certification of Reside | nt Council Board Election |
| | (name of organization) | |
| located in | / -: (| has duly elected all |
| of Resident Coul Development, 24 | ncil Officers as required by the 4 Code of Federal Regulations | e U.S. Department of Housing and Urban |
| (Name and Title | of Certifying Housing Agency | Official) |
| (Signature) | ([| Date) |
| (Name and Title | of Independent Third-Party M | lonitor) |
| (Signature) | (I | Date) |
| NOTARY (Signa | ture & Date) | |

| Chart A: RSDM PROGRAM STAFFING | GRAM STAFFING | Applicant Name: | Carolina Arphona and |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| L APPLICANT STAFF | | | |
| Name of Staff Person | Organization and Position | Role in Grant Program | Percent of Time on Grant Cost to Grant |
| Budget line item 2005 Program Coordination | | , | · |
| | | | |
| - | | | |
| | | | |
| II.CONTRACTOR/CONSULTANT ROLE | ULTANT ROLE | | |
| Type of Contractor to be Solicited | olicited Role in Grant Program | | Estimated Cost to Grant Program |
| | | | |
| III. Contract Administrator Budget Line Item 9300 Contract Administrator | | | |

Chart B: RSDM Applicant/Administrator Track Record Applicant:

| Complete | ٠ | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | | |
| Major Goal#2 | | | | | |
| | - | | | - | |
| . Complete | | | | | |
| | | | | - | |
| Major Goal #I | | | | | |
| N. | - | | | | |
| % of Funds Draw Down | | | - | | |
| % of Term Complete | | | | | |
| Project No. | | | | | |
| | | | | | anana kangan |
| Program | | | | | |
| | | | | , | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING RESIDENT SERVICE DELIVERY MODELS

Chart C RSDM SUMMARY BUDGET LINE ITEMS

| Applicant Name | | Date: |
|--|--|--------|
| amounts will be | ecific budget amounts for each line item. programmed into HUD's Line of Credit. acking uses of grant drawdowns. | |
| BUDGET L | INE ITEM | AMOUNT |
| 2005 | Program Coordinator (family or elderly) | |
| 2010 | Physical Improvements | |
| 2020 2021 2022 | Entrepreneur Business Development Establishing A Revolving Loan Fund Developing a Credit Union | |
| 2030 2031 2032 2033 2034 2035 | Business Development Develop Business Plan Conduct Market Analysis Secure Licensing, Insurance, Bonding Training Related to Resident Owned Business Establishment of Resident Managed Business Development | |
| 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 | Resident Organization Development Activities Organize Community Operating Procedures Develop MOU Develop Plan for Technical Assistance Consultant Contracts Self Sufficiency Programs | |
| 2050 2051 2052 2053 2054 2055 2056 | Resident Management Conduct Feasibility Study Secure Training/Skills/Expertise Develop MOU Consultant Secure T/A to Draft Contract Negotiate Contract with PHA | |

Conduct Resident Training Preparation

2057

SUMMARY BUDGET INFORMATION (continued)

| 2060 2061 2062 2063 2064 2065 2066 2067 | Self Sufficiency Program Program Coordinator Physical Improvements Employment and Job Readiness Job Training Management Related Employment Training Vocational Training Technical Assistance | |
|--|--|---|
| 2070 | Family Supportive Services | |
| 2870 | Elderly Supportive Services | |
| 9100 9200 | Travel Costs Other Resident Costs (Stipends, Reimbursements) | |
| 9300 9400 | Contract Administrator Administrative and Other Costs | *************************************** |

Applicant Name:

CHART D: RSDM Budget Work Plan Summary - Part I

ACTIVITY START/END DATE

NON-RSDM/ PARTNER FUNDS RSDM GRANT FUNDS ACTIVITIES (Identify lead agency: housing authority, contractor, subgrantee, etc) BUDGET LINE ITEM
For each bolded line item, enter total 2020 Entrepreneur Bus. Dev. TOTAL: \$ 2030 Business Development TOTAL: \$ 2010 Physical Improvements 2032 Conduct Market Analysis 2022 Develop a Credit Union grant funds 2031 Develop Business Plan Establish a Revolving TOTAL: \$ Loan Fund 2021

HUD-2002-ROSS (02/02)

Secure Licensing, Insurance, Bonding

2033

CHART D: RSDM Budget Work Plan Summary - Part I (continued)

| BUDGET LINE ITEM For each bolded line item, enter total | ACTIVITIES (Identify lead agency: Housing Authority, Contractor, Subgrantee, or other partners) | RSDM GRANT FUNDS | NON-RSDM/ PARTNER ETMDS | ACTIVITY START/END |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| grant tunds | | | LOMES | Date |
| 2034 | | | | |
| Training Related to | The second secon | A ALCOHOLOGY CANADA CONTRACTOR CO | | |
| Resident Owned Business | | - commissional distribution of the commission of the commissional distribution of the commission of the commiss | ALL DEPTH DESIGNATION OF THE PARTY OF THE PA | A CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON OF |
| | | POWER TO THE TAXABLE PORT OF TAXABLE P | | |
| 2035 | | | | |
| Establishment of Resident | The state of the s | | | |
| Managed Business | | | | |
| Development | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2040 Resident Organization | | | | |
| Development Activities TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 2041 | | p spilling of the state of the | | |
| Organize Community | | | | |
| | | | | The filtre of th |
| | | | | |
| 2042 | | | | |
| Operating Procedures | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2043 | | | | |
| Develop MOU | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2044 | | | | |
| Develop Plan for | The state of the s | | | |
| Technical Assistance | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

OMB Approval No. 2577-0221

CHART D: RSDM Budget Work Plan Summary - Part I (continued)

| NON-RSDM/ ACTIVITY PARTNER START/END FUNDS DATE | | | - | | | | 1114 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|----------------------|---|------|------------------|----------|------|------------------------------------|------|---------------------------|--|------|---|-----------|--------------|------|-------------|--|------|------------|--|
| RSDM GRANT NO FUNDS FUI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ACTIVITIES (Identify lead agency: housing authority, contractor, subgrantee, etc) | | | | | | | | | | | A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A. | | Addition to the second | | And the very | | | | | | |
| BUDGET LINE ITEM For each bolded line item, enter total grant funds | 2045 | Consultant Contracts | | 2046 | Self Sufficiency | Programs | | 2050 Resident Management TOTAL: \$ | 2051 | Conduct Feasibility Study | | 2052 | Secure Training/Skills/ | Expertise | | 2053 | Develop MOU | | 2054 | Consultant | |

CHART D: RSDM Budget Work Plan Summary – Part I (continued)

| BUDGET LINE ITEM For each bolded line item, enter total grant funds | ACTIVITIES (Identify lead agency: housing authority, contractor, subgrantee, etc) | RSDM GRANT FUNDS | NON-RSDM/ PARTNER FUNDS | ACTIVITY START/END DATE |
|---|---|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2055 Secure T/A to Draft Contract | | | | |
| 2056 Negotiate Contract with PHA | | | | |
| 2057 Conduct Resident Training Preparation | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2060 Self Sufficiency Program TOTAL: S 2061 Program Coordinator | | | | |
| 2062 Physical Improvements | | | | |
| 2063 Employment and Job Readiness | | | | |

OMB Approval No. 2577-0221

CHART D: RSDM Budget Work Plan Summary -- Part I (continued)

| BUDGET LINE ITEM For each bolded line item, enter total | ACTIVITIES (Identify lead agency: housing authority, contractor, subgrantee, etc) | RSDM GRANT FUNDS | NON-RSDM/ PARTNER FINDS | ACTIVITY START/END DATE |
|---|--|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| grant tunds | | | agric i | 2111 |
| 2064 | entered the second seco | - | | |
| Job Training | | | | |
|) | | | | |
| | | | 112 | |
| 2065 | | | | |
| Management Related | | | | |
| Employment Training | | | | |
|) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2066 | | | | |
| Vocational Training | | | | |
| Angelegen | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2067 | | | | |
| Technical Assistance | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | - | | |
| • | | | | |
| 2070 Family Supportive Svs TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 2005 Program Coordinator TOTAL: S | | | | |
| 2870 Elderly Supportive Svs TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 9100 Travel Costs TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 9200 Other Resident Costs | | | | |
| (Stipends, Reimbursements) TOTAL: 8 | | | | |
| | | | | |

HUD-2002-ROSS (02/02)

CHART D: RSDM Budget Work Plan Summary -- Part I (continued)

| DYTHE I WIE THEM | ACTIVITIES | RSDM GRANT NON-RSDM/ ACTIVITY | NON-RSDM/ | ACTIVITY |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| BODGEL LINE LIEM From 2001 holded line item enter total | (Identify lead agency: housing authority, contractor, subgrantee, etc) | FUNDS | PARTNER | START/END |
| FOI each bonded fine form, circa com | | | FUNDS | DATE |
| grant tantas | | | | |
| 9300 Contract Administrator | | | | |
| TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 9400 Admin. & Other Costs | | | | |
| TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| | | | | |

Chart D: RSDM Budget Work Plan Summary - Part II

Please insert below the totals for each Summary Budget Line Item to be included in your grant.

These totals can be found in the far left column on Part I of the chart above.

| וופספ וסומוס כמון מכן במוומ ווי מוס נמי יכו ככי יכו יכו יכו יכו יכו יכו יכו יכ | |
|--|------------------|
| SUMMARY BUDGET LINE ITEMS | RSDM GRANT TOTAL |
| 2005 Program Coordinator | € |
| 2010 Physical Improvements | \$ |
| 2020 Entrepreneur Business Development | € |
| 2030 Business Development | 8 |
| 2040 Resident Organization Development Activities | € |
| 2050 Resident Management | \$ |
| 2060 Self Sufficiency Programs | \$ |
| 2070 Family Supportive Services | ↔ |
| 2870 Elderly Supportive Services | \$ |
| 9100 Travel Costs | \$ |
| 9200 Other Resident Costs (Stipends, Reimbursements, etc.) | \$ |
| 1060 Supportive Services | \$ |
| 9100 Travel Costs | \$ |
| 9300 Contract Administrator | \$ |
| 9400 Administrative and Other Costs | ₩ |
| TOTAL of all RSDM Funds Requested | € |
| | |

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

| I certify that the proposed | activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's cur | rent, approved Consolidated Plan. |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| (Type or clearly print the following | lowing information:) | |
| Applicant Name: | | |
| Project Name: | | |
| Location of the Project: | | |
| | | |
| Name of the Federal Program to which the | | |
| applicant is applying: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: | | |
| of the Jurisdiction | | |
| Title: | | |
| Signature: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Date: | | |

CERTIFICATION OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE INDIAN HOUSING PLAN

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

| I certify that the proposed activities current, approved Indian Housing I | s/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's Plan. |
|---|--|
| Applicant Name: | |
| Project Name: | |
| Location of the Project: | |
| | |
| Name of the Federal Program(s) to which the applicant is applying: | |
| Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: | |
| Title: | |
| Signature: | |
| Dote | |

Certification of Consistency with the RC/EZ/EC Strategic Plan

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in this application are consistent with the Strategic Plan of a Federally-designated Empowerment Zone (EZ), Enterprise Community (EC), an Urban Enhanced Enterprise Community, Strategic Planning Community or Renewal Community.

| (Type or clearly print the fo | ollowing information) | |
|--|--|--|
| Applicant Name | | |
| Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying | · | |
| Name of RC/EZ/EC | | |
| | roposed activities/projects will be located within the RC/EZ/EC/Urban Enhanced EC, Strategic Planning Community reside | |
| Name of the Official Authorized to Certify the RC/EZ/EC | | |
| Title | | |
| Signature | | |
| Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | | |

RESIDENT OPPORTUNITIES AND SELF SUFFICIENCY PROGRAM

APPLICATION FOR FY 2002 FUNDING COVER SHEET

| Funding Cat | tegory (Check ONE): |
|--------------------|--|
| | Resident Management and Business Development Capacity Building Resident Service Delivery Models – Family Resident Service Delivery Models –Elderly/Disabled Homeownership Supportive Services Neighborhood Networks Service Coordinators |
| Submitted By: | (Applicant Name) |
| Contact Person: | |
| Telephone: | () |
| Delivered To | O:(HUD Field Office) |
| Date: | |

PLEASE USE THIS PAGE AS COVER PAGE

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

FACT SHEET

| Applicant Information |
|---|
| Applicant: |
| Applicant Type:PHARAIRONONPROFITTRIBE/TDHE |
| HUD Region: State: HUD Field Office: |
| Contact |
| Name/Title |
| Street Address |
| City/State/Zip |
| Telephone No. () |
| Fax No. () |
| Assistance for which the applicant is applying: |
| Resident Management and Business Development Capacity Building Resident Service Delivery Models Homeownership Supportive Services Neighborhood Networks Service Coordinator Renewal |
| Unit Count |
| Total number of conventional public housing units under management** (excluding any Section 8) |
| Total number of family-occupied conventional public housing units. |
| Total number of elderly/disabled-occupied conventional public housing units |

**Tribal or TDHE applicants should use the unit count described in the NOFA Section II (F).

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

FACT SHEET (continued)

| SITE-BASED RESIDENT Applicants) | ASSOCIATIO | ON BOARD INFORMA | TION (Does | not apply to SC |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|-------------|------------------|
| Name of Board Member | Title | Appointment | Term | n Date |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Date of Last Board Election | n: | ALL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE | | |
| Does the organization have | e block capta | ins? | Yes | No |
| Does the organization have | e an operatin | g committee? | Yes | No |
| For any previous ROSS g Category (RSDM, RMBD, | | | st note the | Fiscal Year, ROS |
| | | | | |
| | | | | · |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

FACT SHEET (continued)

Name(s) of public housing development(s) targeted for ROSS Activities (Use additional pages if necessary.)

| Name of Public Housing | Development | PIH Project # |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | · |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Signed this | day of | 2002 |
| | | |
| y: | Director or Other Authorized Re | |
| Applicant Executive I | Director or Other Authorized Re | epresentative |
| or: | | |
| Applicant Name | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

PROGRAM SUMMARY

| Applicant: | Date: |
|--|---|
| Applicant type:PHARAIRO | NONPROFITTRIBE/TDHE |
| Funding Category: | |
| This narrative will be used for congressional not summary. | tification and will serve as the official program |
| A. Please provide a brief summary of the programs | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

PROGRAM SUMMARY (continued)

| B. Please provide a lis of persons to be serve additional space is need | d by each partner using the forr | in-kind/cash contribution, and number nat below. (You may copy this page if |
|--|----------------------------------|--|
| Partner's Name | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | # Persons Served |
| Partner's Address | | |
| Partner's Name | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | # Persons Served |
| Partner's Address | | |
| Partner's Name | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | # Persons Served |
| Partner's Address | | |
| Partner's Name | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | # Persons Served |
| Partner's Address | | |
| TOTAL | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

Certification of Consistency and Compliance with General SuperNOFA Program Requirements

I CERTIFY that the proposed ROSS activities will be consistent with the following and comply with all statutes, regulations, and U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development guidance related to the following:

- Compliance with Fair Housing and Civil Rights laws. Compliance with all Fair Housing and Civil Rights laws, statues, regulations, and Executive order as enumerated in 24 CFR 5.105(a). Federally recognized Indian tribes must comply with nondiscrimination provisions in 24 CFR 1003.601.
- 2. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing. Affirmative duty to further fair housing, including elimination of impediments to fair housing; the local jurisdiction or regional Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice; and the affirmative duty to carry out activities proposed specifically in your application to address the furtherance of fair housing. Section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974.
- 3. <u>Economic Opportunities for Low and Very Low-Income Persons.</u> Section 3 of the *Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968*, 12 U.S.C. sec. 1791u, Economic Opportunities for Low and Very Low-Income Persons; HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 135, including but not limited to subpart E and G reporting requirements; and any Section 3 employment, housing opportunity, or other plan adopted by the Housing Agency.
- 4. Conducting Business In Accordance With Core Values and Ethical Standards.

 Documentation of a written code of conduct as contained in 24 CFR 84.42 and 85.36(b)(3).
- 5. Ensuring the Participation of Small Business, Small disadvantaged Businesses, and Women-Owned Businesses. Compliance with 24 CFR 84.44(b) and 85.36(e) in contracting for purchasing of goods and services.
- 6. <u>Uniform Relocation</u>. *Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970*, as amended (URA) and implementing regulations at 49 CFR part 24.
- 7. Nondiscrimination. The Americans with Disabilities Act, Title IX of the Education Amendments Act of 1972, Fair Housing Act, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974.
- 8. <u>Cost Principles.</u> OMB Circular No. A-122 (Cost Principles for Nonprofit Organizations) or OMB Circular No. A-87 (Cost Principles for Local Units of Government), as appropriate.

| Signed this day of, 2002. | |
|---------------------------|---|
| By: | - |
| -or: | _ |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING SERVICE COORDINATORS

APPLICATION CHECKLIST

| | ERTIFY that the following application check lects the contents of my application. | list is complete an | d that it accurately |
|-----------|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Siç | ned this day of | , 2002. | |
| Ву | : | I Representative | |
| Fo | r Applicant: | | |
| Us inc | e this checklist to review your package and insur- luded. Submit a copy of this form with your ap | e all materials are proplication. | operly completed and |
| SU | BMISSION | APPLICANT USE ONLY | HUD USE ONLY |
| | over Materials see Part II of this application kit for some forms | in this tab.) | |
| | Application Checklist | | |
| | Application Cover Sheet | | |
| | Lead agency letter form (if applicable) | | |
| | Evidence of Comparable Salaries in Local Area | | |
| | Application for Federal Assistance (Standard Form SF-424) | | |
| ū | Federal Assistance Funding Matrix (Form HUD-424-M) | | |
| | Assurances—Non-Construction Programs (Standard Form SF-424B) | · | · |
| | ROSS Fact Sheet | | |
| | Chart A: Summary Budget Line Items | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING SERVICE COORDINATORS

| SL | JBMISSION | APPLICANT USE ONLY | HUD USE ONLY |
|----|--|--------------------|-----------------|
| TA | B 1: Threshold Requirements | | |
| | Request Letter | | |
| | Match Agreements – Not less than 25% of the grant requested | | <u> </u> |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING SERVICE COORDINATORS

REQUEST LETTER FORMAT

| Dear | ar Date: | |
|------|---|--------------|
| | ar Date: Director, Public Housing | |
| | e following is my request for a one-year Service Coordinator renewal grant. I a otal grant amount of \$ | m requesting |
| l. | APPLICANT AND DEVELOPMENT(S) | |
| 1. | PHA name and address: | |
| 2. | Development name(s) and address(s): | |
| | | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| 3. | Development number(s) and Congressional District(s): | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 4. C | Did you have a FY 1995 Elderly Service Coordinator grant?YesNo. | |
| li. | DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION | |
| 1. | Total Number of Units Occupied by the Elderly and/or Persons with Disabilitie | s: |
| 2. | Total Number of Residents: | |
| 3. | Estimated Number Frail Elderly and Persons with Disabilities: | |
| 4. | Estimated Number At-Risk Elderly: | |
| 5. | Applicant will contract out for a Service Coordinator. Yes No | |

| 6. | | relopment will share a Service Coordinator with er development(s) or applicant(s)YesNo |
|-------------|-----------------------|---|
| | If ye | es, please give name and address of the development(s) and applicant(s), if different. |
| | | |
| | | |
| _ | | |
| 7. | lota | al number of Service Coordinators to be funded by the grant funds: |
| III. | CO | STS |
| app incr | roved ease uest | award amounts cannot be higher than the applicant's highest funding and staffing level for a one-year period for the applicant's last funded Service Coordinator grant. An of up to 2% will be allowed if supported by a narrative justification attached to this Letter. Ary Rate |
| | a. | Determine the base salary level, looking at comparable positions (modified by number of hours worked). |
| | | Base salary level \$ |
| | b. | Fringe benefits |
| | | % of base salary% |
| | | Dollar value \$ |
| | C. | Salary rate |
| | | \$+ \$= \$ |
| | | base salary fringe benefits salary rate |

| 111. | COSTS (continued) | | | | |
|-------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------|
| 2. | Administrative Costs | | | | |
| | Any administrative costs may amount to no more than 20% of the total grant. | | | | |
| | Item | | | Amount | |
| | | | | \$ | |
| | | | ···· | \$ | |
| | | **** | | \$ | |
| | • | Total: | | \$ | |
| 3. | Total Cost | | | | |
| | One-year total costs: | | | | |
| | Allowable administra Annual salary rate | ative costs | \$ \$ | + = | |
| • | Total Cost | | \$ | | |
| Plea | se distribute the TOTAL A | mount Reques | ted by the fo | llowing Budget Lir | ne Items: |
| Serv | rice Coordinators | | | | |
| | 9810 9820 9830 9840 9850 | | | | |
| | | TOTAL | | | |
| | appreciate your considerat | | | | |
| Since | erely, | | | | |
| Sign | ature | Typed Name | | Title | · |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING SERVICE COORDINATORS

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FORMAT FOR DESIGNATED LEAD AGENCY

If more than one public housing agency is proposing to share a service coordinator, one agency **must** designate itself the "lead." This agency must submit a letter following this format on organization letterhead, signed by an authorized person.

| Dear | |
|---|---|
| Director, Public Housing | Date |
| The following PHAs are jointly submitting a single | Service Coordinator application: |
| | |
| This request includes (specify the numb Coordinator. The developments are: | per) developments, which will share a Service |
| Development Name and Address | Amount Requested (\$) |
| | <u> </u> |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| The completed requests from each of these | (specify number) applicants are attached |
| | Sincerely, |
| | Signature |
| | Typed Name |
| | Title |

RESIDENT OPPORTUNITIES AND SELF SUFFICIENCY PROGRAM

APPLICATION FOR FY 2002 FUNDING COVER SHEET

| Funding Cat | tegory (Check ONE): |
|--------------------|--|
| | Resident Management and Business Development Capacity Building Resident Service Delivery Models – Family Resident Service Delivery Models –Elderly/Disabled Homeownership Supportive Services Neighborhood Networks Service Coordinators |
| Submitted By: | (Applicant Name) |
| Contact Person: | |
| Telephone: | () |
| Delivered To | O:(HUD Field Office) |
| Date: | |

PLEASE USE THIS PAGE AS COVER PAGE

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

FACT SHEET

| Applicant Information |
|---|
| Applicant: |
| Applicant Type:PHARAIRONONPROFITTRIBE/TDHE |
| HUD Region: State: HUD Field Office: |
| Contact |
| Name/Title |
| Street Address |
| City/State/Zip |
| Telephone No. () |
| Fax No. () |
| Assistance for which the applicant is applying: |
| Resident Management and Business Development Capacity Building Resident Service Delivery Models Homeownership Supportive Services Neighborhood Networks Service Coordinator Renewal Unit Count |
| |
| Total number of conventional public housing units under management** (excluding any Section 8) |
| Total number of family-occupied conventional public housing units. |
| Total number of elderly/disabled-occupied conventional public housing units. |

**Tribal or TDHE applicants should use the unit count described in the NOFA Section II (F).

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

FACT SHEET (continued)

| SITE-BASED RESIDENT A applicants) | SSOCIATIO | ON BOARD INFORMA | TION (Does | not apply to | SC |
|--|-------------|------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name of Board Member | Title | Appointment | Term | Date | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Date of Last Board Election | : | · | | | |
| Does the organization have | block capta | ins? | Yes | _ No | |
| Does the organization have | an operatin | g committee? | Yes | No | |
| For any previous ROSS g Category (RSDM, RMBD, | - | · - | st note the | Fiscal Year, F | ROSS |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

FACT SHEET (continued)

Name(s) of public housing development(s) targeted for ROSS Activities (Use additional pages if necessary.)

| Name of Public Housing De | evelopment | PIH Project # |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| , | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| igned this | day of | , 2002. |
| v. | | |
| Applicant Executive Dir | ector or Other Authorized Re | epresentative |
| or: | | |
| Applicant Name | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

PROGRAM SUMMARY

| Applicant: | Date: |
|--|--|
| Applicant type:PHA | |
| Funding Category: | *** |
| This narrative will be used for congressional notification summary. | and will serve as the official program |
| A. Please provide a brief summary of the program propoless) including a brief description of key program compone | , ,, |

TOTAL

OMB Approval No. 2577-0221

ROSS FY 2001 FUNDING

PROGRAM SUMMARY (continued)

| | ting of all partners involved, their d by each partner using the forn led.) | | |
|-------------------|--|------------------|--|
| Partner's Name | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | # Persons Served | |
| Partner's Address | The second section of the second section of the second section | | |
| : | | | |
| Partner's Name | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | | |
| Partner's Address | | | |
| Partner's Name | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | | |
| Partner's Address | | | |
| Partner's Name | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | | |
| Partner's Address | | | |
| | | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING NEIGHBORHOOD NETWORKS

APPLICATION CHECKLIST

| I CERTIFY that the following application checklist is complete and that it accurately reflects the contents of my application. | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Sig | gned this day of, 2002. | | | | |
| Ву | :Applicant Chief Executive Officer or Other Authorized | | | | |
| | | | • | | |
| Fo | r Applicant: | | ······································ | | |
| SU | BMISSION | APPLICANT | HUD USE | | |
| | | USE ONLY | ONLY | | |
| CC | OVER MATERIALS (See Part II of this application kit for f | orms in this tal | o.) | | |
| | Application Checklist | | | | |
| ۵ | Application Cover Sheet | 1 | | | |
| | Application for Federal Assistance (Standard Form SF-424) | | | | |
| ۵ | Federal Assistance Funding Matrix (Form HUD-424M) | Address/monocological controller | | | |
| ۵ | Standard Form for Budget Information Non-Construction Programs (SF-424A) | | | | |
| ٥ | Assurances – Non-Construction Programs (Form SF-424B) | | | | |
| ۵ | ROSS Fact Sheet | | | | |
| Q | ROSS Program Summary | | , | | |
| ۵ | Certification of Consistency and Compliance with General SuperNOFA Threshold Requirements Certification | | | | |
| TΔ | TAB 1: Threshold Requirements | | | | |

□ Threshold Checklist

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING NEIGHBORHOOD NETWORKS

APPLICATION CHECKLIST (Continued)

| SU | BMISSION | APPLICANT | HUD USE |
|----|---|---|-------------|
| | | USE ONLY | ONLY |
| 0 | Certification of Residents Affected by Welfare Reform | | |
| | Accessible Community Facility –Description or Executed Use Agreement | | |
| 0 | Match Agreements-Not less than 25% of grant requested | | |
| 0 | Certification of Compliance with 24 CFR Part 85 | | |
| a | Physical Improvements (Only for applicants proposing physical improvements) | | |
| | B 2: Capacity of the Applicant and Relevant Organiza actor 1) | tional Experie | ence |
| | Chart A: Program Staffing | | |
| | Narrative on proposed staffing and coordination among service providers | | |
| | Chart B: Applicant/Administrator Track Record | | |
| | Organization Chart | | |
| | Staff Position Descriptions | Andrew State Control of the Control | <u></u> |
| ū | Staff Resumes or Background/ Experience Descriptions | | |
| | Narrative on program administration and fiscal management structure | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING NEIGHBORHOOD NETWORKS

APPLICATION CHECKLIST (Continued)

| SU | BMISSION | APPLICANT | HUD USE |
|----------|---|--------------|---------|
| | | USE ONLY | ONLY |
| TΑ | B 3: Needs/Extent of Problem (Factor 2) | | |
| | Needs Assessment Report | | |
| | Narrative on level of priority in Consolidated Plan | | |
| TA | B 4: Soundness of Approach (Factor 3) | | |
| | Narrative describing proposed services | | |
| | Chart C: Summary Budget Line Items | | |
| | Chart D: Budget Work Plan Summary, Parts I and II | | |
| | Narrative on program assessment | *** | |
| Q | Narrative on resident involvement and other partnerships | | |
| | Narrative on relationship coordination | | |
| T/ | AB 5: Leveraging Resources (Factor 4) | | |
| ū | Narrative on the contributions, roles, and responsibilities of each partner | | |
| T. | AB 6: Coordination, Self-Sufficiency, and Sustainability | y (Factor 5) | |
| ۵ | Narrative demonstrating review of Consolidated Plan | | |
| | Narrative on coordination w/ State/local welfare plan | | |
| ۵ | Narrative on coordination with other activities | | |
| ۵ | Certification of Consistency w/ the Consolidated Plan | | |
| T | AB 7: Bonus Points | | |
| Q | EZ/EC Certification | | - |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING NEIGHBORHOOD NETWORKS

APPLICATION CHECKLIST (Continued)

| SU | BMISSION | APPLICANT | HUD USE |
|-------------|--|-----------|---------|
| | | USE ONLY | ONLY |
| | | | |
| | AB 8: Other Certifications and Assurances ee Section VII of this Application Kit for all forms in this tab.) | | |
| | Certification for a Drug-Free Workplace (Form HUD–50070) | | |
| • · · · · · | Certification of Payments to Influence Federal Transactions (Form HUD 50071), and if applicable, Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (Form SF- LLL) and Disclosure of Lobbying Activities Continuation Sheet (Form SF-LLL-A) | | |
| 0 | Applicant/Recipient Disclosure/Update Report (Form HUD-2880) | · | |
| | Certification Regarding Debarment and Suspension (Form HUD–2992) | | |
| ū | Acknowledgment of Application Receipt (Form HUD-2993) | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING NEIGHBORHOOD NETWORKS

THRESHOLD CHECKLIST

| А | ppilcant: | Date: | |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| ac kit int | ou must address the following threshold requirements for ceptable for rating and ranking. You can verify that infect by using a check mark in the space provided. Plea formation is included appropriately. (See NOFA, uperNOFA Section II). | ormation is included se note that HU | ded in your application JD will also verify that |
| Tŀ | HRESHOLD REQUIREMENT | APPLICANT USE ONLY | HUD USE ONLY |
| 1. | Certification on Residents Affected by Welfare Reform | · | |
| 2. | Accessible Community Facility Description or Executed Use Agreement | | <u> </u> |
| 3. | Match Agreements | - | |
| 4. | Certification of Compliance with 24 CFR Part 85 | | |
| 5. | Physical Improvements (Only for applicants proposing physical improvements) | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING NEIGHBORHOOD NETWORKS

| Applicant: | Date: |
|--|--|
| THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS | |
| 1. Focus on Residents Affected by Welfare Reference program if it serves the elderly or persons with a certification (using the certification provided below in your proposed program are affected by welfarence program are affec | disabilities. Your NN application must contain a bw) that at least 51% of residents to be included |
| | RESIDENTS AFFECTED RE REFORM |
| I certify that a total of people reside in are targeted for activities during the proposed N | |
| | |
| This proposed NN grant will serve a total ofserved are residents affected by welfare reform | |
| (1) Currently eligible to receive, are currently recorded by a years assistance or services funded Families (TANF), SSI, or Food Stamps. | |
| (2) Elderly or disabled persons, otherwise not at services such as child care or mentoring to family | |
| I certify that% of residents to be serve above. At least 51% of residents must be aff to meet the threshold requirement of this NC | ected by welfare reform for your application |
| Signed this day of | , 2002. |
| By: Applicant Executive Director or other Au | thorized Representative |
| For: | • |
| Applicant Namo | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

Certification of Consistency and Compliance with General SuperNOFA Program Requirements

I CERTIFY that the proposed ROSS activities will be consistent with the following and comply with all statutes, regulations, and U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development guidance related to the following:

- Compliance with Fair Housing and Civil Rights laws. Compliance with all Fair Housing and Civil Rights laws, statues, regulations, and Executive order as enumerated in 24 CFR 5.105(a). Federally recognized Indian tribes must comply with nondiscrimination provisions in 24 CFR 1003.601.
- 2. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing. Affirmative duty to further fair housing, including elimination of impediments to fair housing; the local jurisdiction or regional Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice; and the affirmative duty to carry out activities proposed specifically in your application to address the furtherance of fair housing. Section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974.
- 3. <u>Economic Opportunities for Low and Very Low-Income Persons.</u> Section 3 of the *Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968*, 12 U.S.C. sec. 1791u, Economic Opportunities for Low and Very Low-Income Persons; HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 135, including but not limited to subpart E and G reporting requirements; and any Section 3 employment, housing opportunity, or other plan adopted by the Housing Agency.
- 4. Conducting Business In Accordance With Core Values and Ethical Standards.

 Documentation of a written code of conduct as contained in 24 CFR 84.42 and 85.36(b)(3).
- 5. <u>Ensuring the Participation of Small Business, Small disadvantaged Businesses, and Women-Owned Businesses.</u> Compliance with 24 CFR 84.44(b) and 85.36(e) in contracting for purchasing of goods and services.
- 6. <u>Uniform Relocation.</u> Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended (URA) and implementing regulations at 49 CFR part 24
- 7. Nondiscrimination. The Americans with Disabilities Act, Title IX of the Education Amendments Act of 1972, Fair Housing Act, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974.
- 8. <u>Cost Principles.</u> OMB Circular No. A-122 (Cost Principles for Nonprofit Organizations) or OMB Circular No. A-87 (Cost Principles for Local Units of Government), as appropriate.

| Sig | ned this day of, 2002. |
|-----|--|
| Ву | |
| • | Applicant Chief Executive Officer or Other Authorized Representative |
| Fo | rc |

| Chart A: NN PROGRAM STAF | RAM STAFFING | Applicant Name: |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| I. APPLICANT STAFF | | |
| Name of Staff Person | Organization and Position | Percent of Role in Grant Program Time on Grant Cost to Grant |
| Budget line item 2005 Program Coordination | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| II.CONTRACTOR/CONSULTANT ROLE | SULTANT ROLE | |
| Type of Contractor to be Solicited | olicited Role in Grant Program | m Estimated Cost to Grant Program |
| | | |
| | | |
| III.Contract Administrator | tor | |
| Budget Line Item 9300 Contract Administrator | | |

HUD-2002-ROSS (02/02)

Chart B: NN Applicant/Administrator Track Record Applicant:

| % Complete | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Major Goal #2 | | | | | | |
| % Complete | (8) | | | | | |
| Major Gool#I | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| % of Funds Draw | | | | | | |
| % of Term Complete | | | | | | |
| Project No. | | | · | 1 | | |
| | 18 s action 1 | | | | | |
| Program | | | | | | |

9400

OMB Approval No. 2577-0221

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING NEIGHBORHOOD NETWORKS

Chart C NN SUMMARY BUDGET LINE ITEMS

| Applicant Name: | | Date: |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------|
| amounts will be p | cific budget amounts for each line item. programmed into HUD's Line of Credit cking uses of grant drawdowns. | |
| BUDGET LII | NE ITEM | AMOUNT |
| 2005 | Program Coordinator | |
| 2010 | Physical Improvements | |
| 3000 3010 3011 3012 | Computer Equipment and Software Computer Hardware Computer Software Other Equipment | |
| 3020 | Training | |
| 3030 3031 3032 | Insurance and Security Insurance Security | |
| 3040 | Maintenance | |
| 3050 | NN Business Plan | |
| 9100 9200 9300 | Travel Costs Other Resident Costs (Stipends, Reimbursements) Contract Administrator | |

Administrative and Other Costs

| T.LINE ITEM | CHAK! D. NN Budget Work Plan | K Plan Summary – Part I | | | |
|--|---|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2010 Physical Improvements 2010 Physical Improvements TOTAL: \$ 3000 Computer Equip & Sffwre TOTAL: \$ 3010 Computer Hardware 3011 Computer Software 3012 Other Equipment 3012 302 Training TOTAL: \$ 3030 Insurance and Security 1030 Insurance 3031 Insurance 3032 Security 3032 Security 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3050 NN Business Plan | BUDGET LINE ITEM For each bolded line item, enter total grant funds | ACTIVITIES (Identify lead agency: housing authority, contractor, subgrantee, etc) | NN GRANT FUNDS | NON-NN/ PARTNER FUNDS | ACTIVITY START/END DATE |
| 3000 Computer Equip & Stwre TOTAL: S 3010 Computer Flatdware 8010 Computer Software 8011 Computer Software 8011 Computer Software 8012 Other Equipment 8020 Training TOTAL: S 3030 TOTAL: S 3031 Insurance and Security 8031 3032 Security 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: S 8050 NN Business Plan | 2010 Physical Improvements TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 3010 Computer Hardware 3011 Computer Software 3012 Other Equipment 3020 Trating TOTAL: \$ 3031 Insurance and Security 3032 Security 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3050 NN Business Plan | 3000 Computer Equip & Sftwre TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| Computer Hardware 3011 Computer Software 3012 Other Equipment 3020 Training TOTAL: \$ 3031 Insurance and Security 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3050 NN Business Plan | 3010 | | | | |
| 3011 Computer Software 3012 Other Equipment 3020 Training TOTAL: \$ 3031 Insurance and Security 3032 Security 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3050 NN Business Plan | Computer Hardware | | | | |
| 3011 Computer Software 3012 Other Equipment 3020 Training TOTAL: \$ 3030 Insurance and Security Insurance 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3050 NN Business Plan | | | | | |
| Computer Software 3012 Other Equipment 3020 Training | 3011 | | | | |
| 3020 Training TOTAL: \$ 3030 Insurance and Security TOTAL: \$ 3031 Security 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3050 NN Business Plan | Computer Software | | | | |
| 3020 Training TOTAL: \$ 3020 Insurance and Security TOTAL: \$ 3031 Insurance 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3030 Security 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3050 NN Business Plan | | | | | |
| Other Equipment 3020 Training TOTAL: \$ 3030 Insurance and Security TOTAL: \$ 3031 Insurance 3032 Security 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3050 NN Business Plan | 3012 | | | | |
| 3020 Training TOTAL: \$ 3030 Insurance and Security TOTAL: \$ 3031 Insurance 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3050 NN Business Plan | Other Equipment | | | | |
| 3020 Training TOTAL: \$ 3030 Insurance and Security 1030 Insurance and Security 1032 3032 Security 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ TOTAL: \$ 3050 NN Business Plan | | | | | |
| 3030 Insurance and Security 3030 Insurance and Security 3031 Insurance 3032 Security 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3050 NN Business Plan | 2030 Training | | | | |
| 3030 Insurance and Security 3031 Insurance 6 3032 8 Security 9 3040 Maintenance 6 TOTAL: \$ 8 3050 NN Business Plan 8 | 3020 Training TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 3031 Insurance 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 <t< td=""><td>3030 Insurance and Security TOTAL: \$</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | 3030 Insurance and Security TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| Insurance | 3031 | | | | |
| 3032 Security 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3050 NN Business Plan | Insurance | | | | |
| 3032 Security 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3050 NN Business Plan | | | | | |
| Security 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3050 NN Business Plan | | | | | |
| 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3050 NN Business Plan | Sociality | | | | |
| 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3050 NN Business Plan | | | | | |
| 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ 3050 NN Business Plan | | | | | |
| 3050 NN Business Plan | 3040 Maintenance TOTAL: \$ | | | - | |
| TOTAL: \$ | 3050 NN Business Plan TOTAL: \$ | | | - | |

CHART D: NN Budget Work Plan Summary – Part I (continued)

| BUDGET LINE ITEM For each bolded line item, enter total grant funds | ACTIVITIES (Identify lead agency: Housing Authority, Contractor, Subgrantee, or other partners) | NN GRANT FUNDS | NON-NN/ PARTNER FUNDS | ACTIVITY START/END DATE |
|---|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2005 Program Coordinator TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 9100 Travel Costs TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| (Stipends, Reimbursements) | | | | |
| 9300 Contract Administrator TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 9400 Admin. & Other Costs TOTAL: \$ | | | | |

Chart D: NN Budget Work Plan Summary - Part II

Please insert below the totals for each Summary Budget Line Item to be included in your grant.

These totals can be found in the far left column on Part I of the chart above.

| SUMMARY BUDGET LINE ITEMS | NN GRANT TOTAL |
|--|----------------|
| 2005 Program Coordinator | ₩ |
| 2010 Physical Improvements | ₩ |
| 3000 Computer Equipment & Software | \$ |
| 3020 Training | \$ |
| 3030 Insurance & Security | \$ |
| 3040 Maintenance | ↔ |
| 3050 NN Business Plan | \$ |
| 9100 Travel Costs | \$ |
| 9200 Other Resident Costs (Stipends, Reimbursements, etc.) | \$ |
| 9300 Contract Administrator | ↔ |
| 9400 Administrative and Other Costs | \$ |
| TOTAL of all NN Funds Requested | \$ |

CERTIFICATION OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE CONSOLIDATED PLAN

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

| I certify that the proposed activities current, approved Consolidated Pla | es/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's an. |
|---|---|
| Applicant Name: | |
| Project Name: | |
| Location of the Project: | |
| | |
| Name of the Federal Program(s) to which the applicant is applying: | |
| Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: | |
| Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Named: | |
| Title: | |
| Signature: | |
| Dota | |

Certification of Consistency with the EZ/EC Strategic Plan

Signature _

Date (mm/dd/yyyy) ___

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in this application are consistent with the Strategic Plan of a Federally-designated Empowerment Zone (EZ), Enterprise Community (EC), an Urban Enhanced Enterprise Community, Strategic Planning Community or Renewal Community.

(Type or clearly print the following information)

Applicant Name

Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying

Name of EZ/EC

I further certify that the proposed activities/projects will be located within the EZ/EC/Urban Enhanced EC or Strategic Planning Community and will serve the EZ/EC/Urban Enhanced EC, Strategic Planning Community residents, or Renewal Community. (2 points)

Name of the Official Authorized to Certify the EZ/EC

RESIDENT OPPORTUNITIES AND SELF SUFFICIENCY PROGRAM

APPLICATION FOR FY 2002 FUNDING COVER SHEET

| Funding Ca | tegory (Check ONE): |
|--------------------|--|
| | Resident Management and Business Development Capacity Building Resident Service Delivery Models – Family Resident Service Delivery Models –Elderly/Disabled Homeownership Supportive Services Neighborhood Networks Service Coordinators |
| Submitted By: | (Applicant Name) |
| | (Applicant Name) |
| Contact Person: | |
| Telephone: | () |
| Delivered To | o: (HUD Field Office) |
| | (HOD Fleid Office) |
| Date: | |
| | |

PLEASE USE THIS PAGE AS COVER PAGE

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

FACT SHEET

| Applicant Information |
|---|
| Applicant: |
| Applicant Type:PHARAIRONONPROFITTRIBE/TDHE |
| HUD Region: State: HUD Field Office: |
| Contact |
| Name/Title |
| Street Address |
| City/State/Zip |
| Telephone No. () |
| Fax No. () |
| Assistance for which the applicant is applying: |
| Resident Management and Business Development Capacity Building Resident Service Delivery Models Homeownership Supportive Services Neighborhood Networks Service Coordinator Renewal |
| Unit Count |
| Total number of conventional public housing units under management** (excluding any Section 8) |
| Total number of family-occupied conventional public housing units. |
| Total number of elderly/disabled-occupied conventional public housing units |

**Tribal or TDHE applicants should use the unit count described in the NOFA Section II (F).

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

FACT SHEET (continued)

| applicants) | Title | Annaintmart | Tamas | Data |
|--|---------------|--------------|--|----------------|
| Name of Board Member | Title | Appointment | Term | Date |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Date of Last Board Election | n ; | | | |
| Does the organization have | e block capta | ins? | Yes | No |
| Does the organization have | e an operatin | g committee? | Yes | No |
| For any previous ROSS g Category (RSDM, RMBD, | | | st note the | Fiscal Year, R |
| category (RSDIM, RIMIDD, | etc.j, and A | ward Amount. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | www. | | ************************************** | |
| 1 | | | | |
| | | | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

FACT SHEET (continued)

Name(s) of public housing development(s) targeted for ROSS Activities (Use additional pages if necessary.)

| Name of Public Housing Development | PIH Project# |
|------------------------------------|----------------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | · |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Signed this day of | , 2002. |
| Rv⁻ | |
| By: | Representative |
| | |
| For: Applicant Name | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

PROGRAM SUMMARY

| Applicant: | Date: |
|---|--|
| Applicant type:PHARAIRO | NONPROFITTRIBE/TDHE |
| Funding Category: | managanan managan mahay ma |
| This narrative will be used for congressional no summary. | otification and will serve as the official progran |
| A. Please provide a brief summary of the progress) including a brief description of key program | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

PROGRAM SUMMARY (continued)

B. Please provide a listing of all partners involved, their in-kind/cash contribution, and number

| of persons to be served by additional space is needed.) | | nat below. (You may copy this page if |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | |
| Partner's Address | | |
| | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | |
| Partner's Address | | |
| Partner's Name | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | # Persons Served |
| Partner's Address | | |
| Partner's Name | In-Kind/Cash Contribution | # Persons Served |
| Partner's Address | | |
| TOTAL | 1997 | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING

Certification of Consistency and Compliance with General SuperNOFA Program Requirements

I CERTIFY that the proposed ROSS activities will be consistent with the following and comply with all statutes, regulations, and U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development guidance related to the following:

- Compliance with Fair Housing and Civil Rights laws. Compliance with all Fair Housing and Civil Rights laws, statues, regulations, and Executive order as enumerated in 24 CFR 5.105(a). Federally recognized Indian tribes must comply with nondiscrimination provisions in 24 CFR 1003.601.
- 2. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing. Affirmative duty to further fair housing, including elimination of impediments to fair housing; the local jurisdiction or regional Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice; and the affirmative duty to carry out activities proposed specifically in your application to address the furtherance of fair housing. Section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974.
- 3. Economic Opportunities for Low and Very Low-Income Persons. Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, 12 U.S.C. sec. 1791u, Economic Opportunities for Low and Very Low-Income Persons; HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 135, including but not limited to subpart E and G reporting requirements; and any Section 3 employment, housing opportunity, or other plan adopted by the Housing Agency.
- Conducting Business In Accordance With Core Values and Ethical Standards.
 Documentation of a written code of conduct as contained in 24 CFR 84.42 and 85.36(b)(3).
- Ensuring the Participation of Small Business, Small disadvantaged Businesses, and Women-Owned Businesses. Compliance with 24 CFR 84.44(b) and 85.36(e) in contracting for purchasing of goods and services.
- Uniform Relocation. Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended (URA) and implementing regulations at 49 CFR part 24.
- 7. Nondiscrimination. The Americans with Disabilities Act, Title IX of the Education Amendments Act of 1972, Fair Housing Act, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and section 109 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974.
- Cost Principles. OMB Circular No. A-122 (Cost Principles for Nonprofit Organizations) or OMB Circular No. A-87 (Cost Principles for Local Units of Government), as appropriate.

| Signed this | day of, 2002. | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Ву: | W. C. | |
| Аррисапт С | thief Executive Officer or Other Authorized Represental | ive |
| For: | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING HOMEOWNERSHIP SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

APPLICATION CHECKLIST

| U .; | gned this day of | _, 2002. | | |
|-------------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| By: | | | | |
| | Applicant Chief Executive Officer or Other | · Authorized Representativ | re | |
| Fo | r Applicant: | | | |
| sι | BMISSION | APPLICANT | HUD US | |
| | | USE ONLY | ONLY | |
| C | OVER MATERIALS (See Part II of this applica | tion kit for forms in this ta | b.) | |
| ۵ | Application Checklist | Horning and the second | | |
| ۵ | Application Cover Sheet | Marie Control of the | | |
| 0 | Application for Federal Assistance (Standard Form SF-424) | | **** | |
| | Federal Assistance Funding Matrix (Form HUD-424M) | | - | |
| a | Standard Form for Budget Information— Non-Construction Programs (SF-424A) | | | |
| | Assurances – Non-Construction Programs (Form SF-424B) | - | <u></u> | |
| a | ROSS Fact Sheet | | | |
| ۵ | ROSS Program Summary | AMPLICATION AND PROPERTY AND PR | | |
| 0 | Certification of Consistency and Compliance with General SuperNOFA Threshold Requirement Certification | 1 | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING HOMEOWNERSHIP SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

APPLICATION CHECKLIST (Continued)

| 5 | SUBMISSION | APPLICANT | HUD USE | | |
|--|---|--|---------|--|--|
| | | USE ONLY | ONLY | | |
| | Certification of Residents Affected by Welfare | | | | |
| C | Certification of previous self-sufficiency program participation, certification of earned income Increase, and acceptable range of local minimum income to purchase a home in the local area. | *************************************** | _ | | |
| . [| Accessible Community Facility –Description or Executed Use Agreement | | | | |
| (| Physical Improvements | Mark Mark Annual | war- | | |
| Ī | Match Agreements-Not less than 25% of grant requested | | - | | |
| - | TAB 2: Capacity of the Applicant and Relevant Organizational Experience (Factor 1) | | | | |
| (| Narrative description of experience of Project Director and Standard Allocation of staff years for activities and personnel of the pro- | aff posed HSS activ | vities | | |
| Program Administration And Fiscal Management | | | | | |
| | Description of proposed HSS Project Management Structure Description of proposed HSS Fiscal Management Structure | | | | |
| | Applicant/Administrator Track Record | | | | |
| | Narrative description of previous experience in implementing Homeownership Programs | Self-Sufficiency | and | | |
| | Chart B - Applicant track record | | | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING HOMEOWNERSHIP SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

APPLICATION CHECKLIST (Continued)

| SUBMISSION | | APPLICANT | HUD US |
|------------|---|--|---------------|
| | | USE ONLY | ONLY |
| TA | AB 3: Needs/Extent of Problem (Factor 2) | | |
| 0 | Needs Assessment Report | | |
| 0 | Narrative on level of priority in Consolidated Plan | | . |
| TA | AB 4: Soundness of Approach (Factor 3) | | |
| | Narrative describing proposed homeownership counseling and supportive services | - Alexander - | |
| | Narrative on budget and implementation timetable | | |
| | Chart C: Summary Budget Line Items | | |
| ۵ | Chart D: Budget Work Plan Summary, Parts I and II | | |
| ۵ | Narrative on program assessment | | |
| ۵ | Narrative on resident involvement and other partnerships | | antipolisie , |
| 0 | Narrative on coordination and collaboration | | |
| T | AB 5: Leveraging Resources (Factor 4) | | |
| a | Narrative on the contributions, roles, and responsibilities of each partner | | |
| T | AB 6: Coordination, Self Sufficiency, and Sustainabilit | y (Factor 5) | |
| 0 | Narrative demonstrating review of Cons. Plan Narrative on coordination w/ State/local welfare plan | And the same of th | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING HOMEOWNERSHIP SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

APPLICATION CHECKLIST (Continued)

| SL | IBMISSION | APPLICANT | HUD USE | | |
|----|--|--|---------|--|--|
| | | USE ONLY | ONLY | | |
| | Narrative on coordination with other activities Certification of Consistency w/ the Consolidated Plan | | | | |
| | AB 7: Bonus Points | | | | |
| | EZ/EC Certification | | | | |
| | TAB 8: Other Certifications and Assurances (See Section VII of this Application Kit for all forms in this tab.) | | | | |
| a | Certification for a Drug-Free Workplace (Form HUD–50070) | | · | | |
| ۵ | Certification of Payments to Influence Federal Transactions (Form HUD 50071), and if applicable, Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (Form SF- LLL) and Disclosure of Lobbying Activities Continuation Sheet (Form SF-LLL-A) | Marinian en | · | | |
| ۵ | Applicant/Recipient Disclosure/Update Report (Form HUD-2880) | | | | |
| О | Certification Regarding Debarment and Suspension (Form HUD–2992) | | _ | | |
| a | Acknowledgement of Application Receipt (Form HUD-2993) | Name of the last o | | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING HOMEOWNERSHIP SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

THRESHOLD CHECKLIST

| Applicant: | | Date: | |
|--|---|--|--|
| You must address the following threshold requirements for your application to be complete and acceptable for rating and ranking. You can verify that information is included in your application kit by using a check mark in the space provided. Please note that HUD will also verify that information is included appropriately. (See NOFA, Section VI (A) & (D) and General SuperNOFA Section II). | | | |
| TH | RESHOLD REQUIREMENT | APPLICANT USE ONLY | HUD USE ONLY |
| 1. | Certification of Residents Affected by Welfare Reform (Family applicants only) | Planter-de-mandeling aggreeation | Montestallingston |
| 2. | Certification of previous self-sufficiency program participation, certification of earned income increase, and acceptable range of local minimum income to purchase a home in the local area. | | |
| 3. | Accessible Community Facility Description or Executed Use Agreement (if facility provided is not a PHA) | Manual Andrewson | |
| 4. | Physical Improvements | Waster-Group Address of Marie | Street, Street |
| 5. | Match Agreements | Major and Aller and Annie of Annie and Annie | and the second s |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING HOMEOWNERSHIP SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

| Applicant: | | Date: | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS | ; | | | | | |
| 1. Focus on Residents Affected by your program if it serves the elderly application must contain a certifical least 51% of residents to be include reform. | or persons with disabilion (using the certification | lities. Your Family HSS ion provided below) that at | | | | |
| HSS CERTIFICATION ON RESIDENTS AFFECTED | | | | | | |
| HSS CERTIFICATION ON RESIDENTS AFFECTED BY WELFARE REFORM | | | | | | |
| I certify that a total of peo which are targeted for activities dur | | | | | | |
| This proposed HSS grant will serve recipients to be served are resident | a total of residus | dents. Included in the sform who are either: | | | | |
| (1) Currently eligible to receive, are preceding 4 years assistance or se Families (TANF), SSI, or Food Star | rvices funded under Ter | shall have received within the mporary Assistance for Needy | | | | |
| (2) Elderly or disabled persons, oth provide services such as child care | | | | | | |
| I certify that% of resident defined above. At least 51% of reyour application to meet the three | sidents must be affect | ted by welfare reform for | | | | |
| Signed this day | of | , 2002. | | | | |
| Signed this day | | | | | | |
| Applicant Executive Directo | or other Authorized Re | epresentative | | | | |
| For: | | | | | | |
| Applicant Name | | | | | | |

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING HOMEOWNERSHIP SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

| 1.) For residents eligible for Homeownership, your application must provide evidence/certification that the targeted population of public housing residents to be served by the proposed grant were beneficiaries of a previously awarded ROSS grant between FY 1999 and FY 2000; eligible population group, 35% earned income and that income is within the range of the income minimum. Total number of residents (previous participants in ROSS for 1999 or 2000, or other State & Local Self Sufficiency Programs) who will participate in proposed homeownership activities. | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Proposed Targeted Residents | Minimum Local Income Level for Homeownership | Base Year | Base Year Income | Current Income | Percentage over Base Year | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| I certify that the proposed residents to be served are within the eligible population group; have an earned income increase of at least 35% and are within the minimum range of income for Homeownership in my local area. | | | | | | | |
| | day of | | | | | | |
| By:Applicant | Chief Executive Of | ficer or Other | Authorized Rep | presentative | · | | |
| Applic | ant | | | | | | |

| Chart A: HSS PROGRAM STAFFING | SRAM STAFFING | Applicant Name: | OMB Approval No. 2577-0221 |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| I. Applicant Staff | | | |
| | | Canada San | |
| Name of Staff Person | Organization and Position | Role in Grant Program | Percent of Time on Grant Cost to Grant |
| Budget line item 2005 Program Coordination | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| II.CONTRACTOR/CONSULTANT ROLE | SULTANT ROLE | | |
| Type of Contractor to be Solicited | olicited Role in Grant Program | | Estimated Cost to Grant Program |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| III.Contract Administrator | for | | |
| Contract Administrator | | | |

| Chart A: HSS PROG | SRAM STAFFING | Applicant Name: | OMB Approval No. 2577-0221 |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| I. Applicant Staff | | | |
| | | | |
| Name of Staff Person | Organization and Position | Role in Grant Program | Percent of Time on Grant Cost to Grant |
| Budget line item 2005 Program Coordination | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| II.CONTRACTOR/CONSULTANT ROLE | SULTANT ROLE | | |
| Type of Contractor to be Solicited | olicited Role in Grant Program | ım Estimated Cost to Grant Program | o Grant Program |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| III.Contract Administrato | tor | | |
| Budget Line Item 9300 Contract Administrator | | | |
| | | | |

Administrator Track Docean

| r Track Record | |
|---|------------|
| Chart B: HSS Applicant/Administrator Track Record | |
| Chart B: HSS Ap | Applicant: |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------|--|---|---------------------------------------|------|---|
| % Complete | | | | | | | |
| Major Goal#2 | | | | | | | · |
| | | | | | | | |
| % Complete | | | | | | | |
| Major Goal #1 | | | | | | | |
| % of | Draw Down | | | | | | |
| % of Term | Complete | | | | | | |
| Project No. | | | | | | | |
| Program | | | | | | | |
| - The state of the | | VIII | Common to the co | - CALL - | Additional and property of the second | | |

Date:

ROSS FY 2002 FUNDING HOMEOWNERSHIP SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

Chart C HSS SUMMARY BUDGET LINE ITEMS

| will b | e programn | ecific budget amounts for each line item. These bened into HUD's Line of Credit Control System crant drawdowns. | oudget line items and amounts (LOCCS) for designating and |
|--------|--|--|--|
| | BUDGET | LINE ITEM | AMOUNT |
| | 2005 | Program Coordinator | and the same of th |
| | 2060 2061 2062 2063 2064 2065 2066 2067 | Self Sufficiency Program Program Coordinator Physical Improvements Employment and Job Readiness Job Training Management Related Employment Training Vocational Training Technical Assistance | |
| | 2070 | Family Supportive Services | · · |
| | 2080 | Homeownership Counseling Programs | |
| | 9100 9200 | Travel Costs Other Resident Costs (Stipends, Reimbursements) | |
| | 9300 | Contract Administrator | |

Administrative and Other Costs

Applicant Name:

9400

OMB Approval No. 2577-0221

CHART D: HSS Budget Work Plan Summary - Part I (continued)

| M , enter | ACTIVITIES (Identify lead agency: housing authority, contractor, subgrantee, etc) | HSS GRANT ET INDS | NON-HSS/ PARTNER ET NIDS | NON-HSS/ ACTIVITY PARTNER START/EN FINDS |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| 2005 Program Coordinator | | | | |
| TOTAL: \$ | | AND ALCOHOLOGY AND THE COLUMN AND TH | | a the second of |
| 2060 Self Sufficiency Program TOTAL: \$ | | The state of the s | | |
| 2061 | | | | A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR |
| Frogram Coordinator | | | A COLUMN TO THE PARTY OF THE PA | and the second s |
| | | | | |
| 2062 | | | | |
| Physical Improvements | | The state of the s | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2063 | | | | |
| Employment and Job | | | | THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF |
| Readiness | | The second secon | | |
| 2064 Job Training | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 2065 | | | | |
| Management Related | | | | |
| Employment Training | | AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER | | |
| | | | | |
| 2066 | | | | |
| Vocational Training | | | | |
| | | | | |

CHART D: HSS Budget Work Plan Summary -- Part I (continued)

| out and paragraph | resimination of the second of | | | |
|--|---|-------|------------------|--|
| BUDGET LINE ITEM | ACTIVITIES | 1) | /SSH-NON | ACTIVITY |
| For each bolded line item, | (Identify lead agency: housing authority, contractor, subgrantee, etc) | GRANT | PARTNER START/EN | START/EN |
| ्राएट एएवा हाबात त्याच | | | LOINDS | U DAIE |
| 2067 | | | | |
| Technical Assistance | | | | - |
| | | | | |
| | | | | TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT |
| 2070 Family Supportive Svs TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 2080 Homeownership | | | | |
| Supportive Svs | | | | |
| 2081 Counseling Services | | | | |
| 2005 Program Coordinator TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 2870 Elderly Supportive Svs TOTAL: \$ | | | | · |
| 9100 Travel Costs | | | | |
| IOIAL: & | | | | |
| 9200 Other Resident Costs | | | | |
| (Stipends, Reimbursements) TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| + | | | _ | |

HUD-2002-ROSS (02/02)

OMB Approval No. 2577-0221

-- Part I (continued) CHART D: HSS Budget Work Plan Summary

| CHARLE. INSC Budget | CHARLE D. HOS Budget Work Flan Summary Farti (Commined) | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|-------|---------------------|-------------------|
| BUDGET LINE ITEM | ACTIVITIES | HSS | NON-HSS/ ACTIVITY | ACTIVITY |
| For each bolded line item, | (Identify lead agency: housing authority, contractor, subgrantee, etc) | GRANT | PARTNER | PARTNER START/END |
| enter total grant funds | | FUNDS | FUNDS | DATE |
| 9300 Contract Administrator | | | | |
| TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| 9400 Admin. & Other Costs | | | | |
| TOTAL: \$ | | | | |
| | | | | |

Chart D: HSS Budget Work Plan Summary - Part II

Please insert below the totals for each Summary Budget Line Item to be included in your grant. These totals can be found in the far left column on Part I of the chart above.

| | Ċ | |
|--|-----------------|---|
| SUMMARY BUDGET LINE ITEMS | HSS GRANT TOTAL | |
| 2005 Program Coordinator | 89 | · |
| 2060 Self Sufficiency Programs | €9 | |
| 2070 Family Supportive Services | & | |
| 2080 Homeownership Supportive Services | 8 | |
| 9100 Travel Costs | 8 | |
| 9200 Other Resident Costs (Stipends, Reimbursements, etc.) | € | |
| 1060 Supportive Services | ↔ | |
| 9100 Travel Costs | ₩. | |
| 9300 Contract Administrator | \$ | |
| 9400 Administrative and Other Costs | \$ | |
| TOTAL of all HSS Funds Requested | 8 | |
| | | |

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

(Type or clearly print the following information:)

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

| Applicant Name: | | |
|---|--|---|
| Project Name: | | |
| | | |
| Location of the Project: | | • |
| | | |
| Name of the Federal | | |
| Program to which the | | |
| , | | 4 |
| Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: | 497100-0077 Addition (4970-000) - 4970-0000 - 4070-0 | · |
| Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name: | | |
| | | |
| Title: | | |
| Signature: | | |
| Date: | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Page 1 of 1

form HUD-2991 (3/98)

Certification of Consistency with the RC/EZ/EC Strategic Plan

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in this application are consistent with the Strategic Plan of a Federally-designated Empowerment Zone (EZ), Enterprise Community (EC), an Urban Enhanced Enterprise Community, Strategic Planning Community or Renewal Community.

| | Type or clearly print the fol | lowing information) | ÷ |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Program to which the applying Name of RC/EZ/EC I further certify that the proposed activities/projects will be located within the RC/EZ/EC/Urban Enhanced EC or Strategic Planning Community and will serve the RC/EZ/EC/Urban Enhanced EC, Strategic Planning Community residents, or Renewal Community. (2 points) Name of the Official Authorized to Certify the RC/EZ/EC Title Signature Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Applicant Name | | |
| I further certify that the proposed activities/projects will be located within the RC/EZ/EC/Urban Enhanced EC or Strategic Planning Community and will serve the RC/EZ/EC/Urban Enhanced EC, Strategic Planning Community residents, or Renewal Community. (2 points) Name of the Official Authorized to Certify the RC/EZ/EC Title Signature Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Program to which the | | |
| Community and will serve the RC/EZ/EC/Urban Enhanced EC, Strategic Planning Community residents, or Renewal Community. (2 points) Name of the Official Authorized to Certify the RC/EZ/EC Title Signature Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Name of RC/EZ/EC | | |
| Official Authorized to Certify the RC/EZ/EC Title Signature Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Community and will serve t | oposed activities/projects will be located within the RC/EZ/EC/Urban Enha he RC/EZ/EC/Urban Enhanced EC, Strategic Planning Community resid | nced EC or Strategic Planning ents, or Renewal Community. |
| Signature Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Official Authorized | | |
| Signature Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | • | | |
| Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Title | | |
| | Signature | | |
| Page 1 of 1 | Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | | |
| Page 1 of 1 | | | |
| Page 1 of 1 | | | |
| Page 1 of 1 | | | |
| | | Page 1 of 1 | form HJ:n 2000 (2/2/02) |