

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-5415-N-21]

HUD's Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 NOFA for the Capital Fund Education and Community Facilities Program--Technical Correction and Extension of Deadline Date

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing

ACTION: Notice of technical correction and extension of deadline.

SUMMARY: On October 18, 2010, HUD posted a NOFA for the Capital Fund Education and Community Facilities (CFCF) Program. This notice describes changes to the NOFA and sets February 3, 2011 as the new deadline date.

DATE: The new deadline is **February 3, 2011**.

FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT: For questions concerning CFCF, please contact Mr. Jeffrey Riddel, Office of Public Housing Investments, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW, Washington DC, 20410-5000; telephone: (202) 708-1640 (this is not a toll-free number); email: Jeffrey.Riddel@hud.gov. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access these numbers via TTY by calling the Federal Information Relay Service at (800) 877-8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

On October, 18, 2010, HUD posted on www.Grants.gov its Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for HUD's FY2010 Capital Fund Education and Training Community Facilities (CFCF) Program. The NOFA made available approximately \$35 million in assistance for development of facilities to provide early childhood education, adult education, and/or job training programs for public housing residents, an initiative funded through the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2010 (Pub. L. No. 111-117, approved December 16, 2009). Today's publication corrects certain sections of that NOFA.

Among other requirements, the FY 2010 CFCF NOFA required that PHAs submit only one application and that each application be limited to only one site. Under this correction, PHAs may submit multiple applications, but each application must include only one site. The sum of the CFCF funds requested by a PHA may not exceed \$5 million. If a PHA exceeds this limit, then all of the applications from that PHA will be rejected. Each application submitted by a PHA will stand on its own, and a PHA can receive funding for any or all of its applications.

Also, HUD has added tiebreaking criteria to the NOFA under the technical correction.

Potential applicants should review carefully this technical correction notice and determine how this notice impacts the application they were preparing before issuance of this notice and, thus, whether they choose to submit an updated application which incorporates the corrections and clarifications related to this notice. Applicants who submitted their applications prior to this technical correction notice can choose to submit an updated application that reflects

the corrections and clarifications, but are not required to if they determine no changes are needed for their application. Applicants are reminded that if they submitted any portion of their application by fax with their initial application submission and choose to submit a revised application, then they will have to refax the materials after they submit the revised application to HUD. Please refer to the General Section for instructions regarding materials that are faxed and how HUD matches faxes to applications. For each site for which a PHA submits an application, the last version of the application received by Grants.gov by the deadline date, in accordance with the timely receipt requirements, will be the application that is reviewed and rated.

I. Technical Corrections

A. Deadline date.

1. On page 1, in the Dates paragraph, the first sentence is revised to read, “The application deadline is 11:59:59 p.m. eastern time on **February 3, 2011.**”
2. On page 3, in the Overview Information, paragraph F entitled Dates, the first sentence is revised to read, “The application deadline date is 11:59:59 p.m. eastern time on **February 3, 2011.**”

B. Applications.


1. On Page 4, in the Award Amounts, in the first subsection, add the following sentences after the third sentence, “PHAs may submit multiple applications, but each application must include only one site. The sum of the CFCF funds requested by a PHA may not exceed \$5 million. If a PHA submits multiple applications and the sum of the CFCF amounts requested is more than \$5 million, then all the applications from that PHA will be rejected. If a PHA submits multiple applications, then each application must have its own source of leverage. If a PHA submits multiple applications, it must include at the conclusion of the narrative of each application, a priority order for all of its applications so HUD will know how to prioritize the applications in the event that they receive identical scores.”
2. On Page 12, in the Funding Restrictions section, add the following sentence, “If a PHA submits applications for separate sites, HUD will only review the last application received for each site that meets HUD’s timely receipt requirements.”

C. Rating and Ranking

1. On Page 25, add subsection c. “If applications receive the identical score after rating and ranking and funds are not available for those applications that are tied, then HUD will fund the applications in the order of their score in V.2.c. Soundness of Strategy and Approach. If applications are still tied, then HUD will fund the applications in order of their score in V.2.a. Capacity of the Applicant. If applications are still tied, then HUD will fund the applications in order of their score in V.2.b. Need. If applications are still tied, then HUD will fund the applications in order of their score in V.2.d. Leveraging. If applications are still tied, then HUD will fund the applications in order of their score in V.2.f. Occupancy. If applications are still tied, then HUD will fund the applications in order of their score in V.2.e. Achieving Results and Program Evaluation. If applications are still tied, then HUD will fund the

applications in order of their score in V.2.g. Bonus Point. If after using the tie-breaking criteria above, there still remain applications of equal standing and there are insufficient funds to fund all of them, HUD will conduct a lottery among those applications to determine which application(s) will be funded unless the applications that are tied are from the same PHA, in which case HUD will apply the priority order supplied by the PHA.”

Date: 1/4/11

for 
Sandra B. Henriquez, Assistant Secretary for
Public and Indian Housing

[FR-5415-N-21]

Internal HUD Distribution:						
Identification Lines:						
<p>J:/PCR/NOFA/21 Cap Fund CFCF-TC #1 12-23 Revised (2)</p>						
Correspondence Code	Originator PIC	Concurrence PIC	Concurrence OGC	Concurrence PI	Concurrence P	Concurrence P
Name	A. Kaufmann	J. Riddel	V. Longosz	D. Blom	M. Martin	D. Bolton
Date			See email			
Correspondence Code	Originator P	Concurrence P	Concurrence	Concurrence	Concurrence	Concurrence
Name	D. Hernandez	S. Henriquez				
Date						