OVERVIEW INFORMATION

A. **Federal Agency Name:** Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control.

B. **Funding Opportunity Title:** Healthy Homes Technical Studies.

C. **Announcement Type:** Initial announcement.

D. **Funding Opportunity Number:** FR-5600-N-07, OMB Approval Number is 2539-0015.

E. **Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Numbers:** 14.906, Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grant Program.

F. **Dates:** The application deadline is 11:59:59 eastern time on **January 31, 2012.** Applications must be received and validated by Grants.gov no later than 11:59:59 pm eastern time on the application deadline date. Applicants need to be aware that following receipt, applications go through a validation process in which the application may be accepted or rejected. Please allow time for this process to ensure that you meet the timely receipt requirements. Please see the 2012 **General Section** for instructions for timely receipt, including actions to take if the application is rejected. Applicants should carefully read the section titled “APPLICATION and SUBMISSION INFORMATION” in the 2012 **General Section,** posted to www.Grants.gov on September 19, 2011. This section contains information on using Adobe Reader, HUD’s timely receipt policies, and other application information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions regarding specific program requirements should be directed to the agency contact identified in this program NOFA. Questions regarding the FY2012 **General Section** should be directed to the Office of Strategic Planning and Management, Grants Management Division at 202-708-0667 (this is not a toll-free number). Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access these numbers via TTY by calling the Federal Relay Service at 800-877-8339.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

1. **Purpose.** To fund technical studies to improve existing methods for detecting and controlling key housing-related health and safety hazards; to develop new methods to detect and control these hazards; and to improve our knowledge of key housing-related health and safety hazards.

2. **Available Funds.** HUD anticipates that approximately $2.5 million will be available to fund awards under this NOFA. Fiscal Year 2012 funds are authorized under the Department of Housing and Urban Development Appropriations Act, 2012 (Public Law 112-55), approved on November 18, 2011.
3. **Anticipated Awards.** The maximum amount for a grant award is $700,000. Approximately 3 to 5 awards will be made for the Healthy Homes Technical Studies Program, ranging from approximately $300,000 to a maximum of $700,000 each.

4. **Type of Awards.** Cooperative agreements, with substantial involvement of the government, will be awarded (see Section II.C for a description of substantial involvement).

5. **Eligible Applicants.** Academic, not-for-profit and for-profit institutions located in the U.S., state and units of local government, and federally recognized Native American tribes are eligible to apply. For-profit firms are not allowed to earn a fee (i.e., make a profit from the project).

6. **Cost Sharing or Matching Funds.** Cost sharing or “matching” is not required; however, applicant “leveraging” contributions are encouraged (see Section V.A.3.d).

7. **Number of Applications.** There is no limit on the number of applications that each applicant may submit.

8. **Grants.gov.** The applications for these NOFAs can be found at Grants.gov. The FY2012 General Section contains information on submission requirements and procedures. Please carefully review the FY2012 General Section before reading the program section so that you understand the Grants.gov electronic application process.

FULL TEXT OF ANNOUNCEMENT

I. **FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION**

A. **PURPOSE OF THE PROGRAM.**

The overall goal of the Healthy Homes Technical Studies program is to gain knowledge to improve the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of methods for evaluation and control of housing related health and safety hazards. This also supports HUD’s Strategic Goal to utilize housing as a platform for improving the quality of life and health outcomes for those living in HUD-assisted and HUD-regulated housing, and the associated policy priority to build inclusive and sustainable communities by improving the health of community residents while reducing the impact of communities on the environment.

B. **PROGRAM DESCRIPTION.**

HUD is funding studies to improve HUD’s and the public’s knowledge of housing-related health and safety hazards, and to improve or develop new hazard assessment and control methods, with a focus on key residential health and safety hazards. Key hazards are discussed in Appendix A, *Key Residential Health and Safety Hazards*, of this NOFA. A list of references that serves as the basis for the information provided in this NOFA is provided as Appendix B, *Relevant Publications and Guidelines*.

1. **General Goals.**

The overall goals and objectives of the Healthy Homes (HH) Program (formerly the Healthy Homes Initiative), which includes the Healthy Homes Technical Studies and the Healthy Homes Production Grant Program (see the Healthy Homes Production Grant Program NOFA published separately) are to: (1) Mobilize public and private resources, involving cooperation
among all levels of government, the private sector, grassroots community-based organizations, including faith-based organizations, and other non-profit organizations, to develop and implement the most promising, cost-effective methods for identifying and controlling housing-related hazards; and, (2) Build local capacity to operate sustainable programs that will continue to prevent, minimize, and control housing-related hazards in low- and very low-income residences when HUD funding is exhausted.

The HH Program departs from the more traditional programmatic approach of focusing on single health and safety issues attempting to correct one hazard at a time (e.g., lead hazards, radon). HUD is interested in promoting approaches that are cost-effective and efficient and result in the reduction of health threats for the maximum number of residents and, in particular, children and other vulnerable populations in low income households.

In April 1999, HUD submitted a preliminary plan that described the HH Initiative to Congress. The submission (Summary and Full Report), and a description of the HH Initiative are available on the HUD website at http://www.hud.gov/offices/lead/hhi/index.cfm. This plan was updated with the publication of the Healthy Homes Strategic Plan in 2009 (see: http://www.hud.gov/offices/lead/library/hhi/DraftHHStratPlan_9.10.08.pdf).

In addition to deficiencies in basic housing conditions that may impact health, research has identified other more subtle health hazards in the residential environment (e.g., asthma triggers, volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, pesticide residues). While some hazards will be found disproportionately in housing that is substandard (e.g., structural problems, lack of adequate heating and cooling, moisture infiltration), housing-related environmental hazards may also exist in housing that is otherwise of good quality. Appendix A of this NOFA briefly describes the key housing-associated health and injury hazards HUD considers targets for intervention. HUD has also developed resource papers on a number of topics of importance under the HH Program, including mold, environmental aspects of asthma, carbon monoxide, pesticides, and unintentional injuries. These resource papers can be downloaded at http://www.hud.gov/offices/lead/hhi/hhiresources.cfm.

Applications for additional work related to ongoing HUD-funded technical studies (i.e., for work outside of the scope of the original agreement) are eligible to compete with applications for awards on new subjects. These applications will be evaluated in the same manner as new applicants. Brief descriptions of current and recently completed Healthy Homes Technical Studies projects and grantee contact information can be found on the HUD website at http://www.hud.gov/offices/lead/hhi/hhigranteeinfo.cfm.

2. Community Participation.
HUD believes that it is important for researchers to incorporate some aspect of meaningful community participation in the development and implementation of studies that are conducted in communities and/or involve significant interaction with community residents. Community participation can improve study effectiveness in various ways, including the development of more appropriate research objectives, improving recruitment and retention of study participants, improving participants’ involvement in and understanding of a study, improving ongoing communication between researchers and the affected community, and more effectively disseminating study findings. HUD encourages applicants to consider using a “community based participatory research” (CBPR) approach, where applicable, in study design and
implementation. (See, e.g., the report published by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences titled “Successful Models of Community-Based Participatory Research” at: http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/documents/huddoc?id=DOC_12485.pdf.

CBPR is characterized by substantial community input in all phases of a study (i.e., design, implementation, data interpretation, conclusions, and communication of results).

C. AUTHORITY.

The Healthy Homes Technical Studies program is authorized under sections 501 and 502 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1970 (12 U.S.C. §§ 1701z-1 and 1701z-2). Fiscal Year 2012 funds are authorized under the Department of Housing and Urban Development Appropriations Act, 2012 (Public Law 112-55), approved on November 18, 2011.

D. CHANGES IN THE FY2012 NOFA.

The following is a summary of major changes in this NOFA relative to the FY2011 Healthy Homes Technical Studies NOFA. This is not intended to be an exhaustive list, so applicants should be sure to read the entire NOFA.

1. Funding for New Applicants. Up to $700,000 is available for qualified “new applicants,” i.e., organizations that have not received a HUD Healthy Homes Technical Studies Program award as the primary grantee (note: up to $1 million was reserved for this purpose in the FY2011 Healthy Homes Technical Studies NOFA).

2. Principal Investigator (PI). The PI for the proposed study must directly represent the organization that is submitting the application and must be compensated directly by the applicant (i.e., the PI cannot be compensated for work under an award through a sub recipient). If a co-PI arrangement is proposed, the lead co-PI must directly represent and be compensated directly by the applicant.

3. Maximum Grant Awards. The maximum funding for an award under this NOFA is $700,000 for the entire period of the grant.

4. Bonus Points. Under this NOFA, HUD will not award bonus points to applicants that are working with Preferred Sustainability Status recipients of grants through the FY2010 and FY2011 HUD Sustainable Communities Regional Planning Grant Program or the FY2010 or FY2011 HUD-funded Challenge Grant Program. RC/EZ/EC-II Bonus Points are available.

5. Forms. Applicants must include forms HUD-96012 (Capacity of the Applicant and Relevant Organizational Experience) and HUD-96015 (Leveraging Resources) with your responses to rating factors 1 and 4, respectively. (note: these forms have been required under previous Healthy Homes Technical Studies NOFAs but were not required in FY 2011).

II. AWARD INFORMATION

A. FUNDING AVAILABLE

1. Cooperative Agreements. Cooperative agreements will be awarded on a competitive basis
following evaluation of all eligible proposals according to the rating factors described in Section V.A.3. HUD anticipates that approximately 3 to 5 awards will be made ranging from approximately $300,000 to a maximum of $700,000 per award.

2. New Applicants. HUD anticipates that up to $700,000 will be available for qualified “new applicants,” i.e., organizations that have not been previously funded by the Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control (OHHLHC) under the Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grant Program as the primary grantee. A “new applicant” may have previously been a subgrantee under an award to another organization. If there are not enough qualified new applicants for funding, any remaining funds will be made available to other applicants based on the final ranking.

B. Anticipated Start Date and Period of Performance for New Grants.

The start date for new awards is expected to be not later than May 30, 2012. The period of performance cannot exceed 36 months from the time of award. The proposed performance period should include adequate time for such project components as the Institutional Review Board process, if required, the recruitment of study participants and/or new staff, and the development of new instrumentation or methods (e.g., analytical methods), all of which have been found to delay projects in the past. Period of performance extensions for delays due to exceptional conditions beyond the grantee’s control will be considered for approval by HUD in accordance with 24 CFR 84.25(e)(2) or 85.30(d)(2), as applicable, and the OHHLHC Program Guide. If requested and determined to be appropriate and subsequently approved by OHHLHC, grantees will be eligible to receive a single extension of up to 12 months in length.

C. Type of Award Instrument.

Awards will be made as cooperative agreements. Anticipated substantial involvement by HUD staff for cooperative agreements may include, but will not be limited to:

1. Review and suggestion of amendments to the study design, including: study objectives; field sampling plan; data collection methods; sample handling and preparation; and sample and data analysis.

2. Review and provision of technical recommendations in response to quarterly progress reports (e.g., amendments to study design based on preliminary results).

3. Review and provision of technical recommendations on the journal article(s) and final study report.

III. ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

A. Eligible Applicants.

1. Academic and non-profit institutions located in the United States, state and units of local government and federally recognized Native American tribes are eligible under all existing authorizations. For-profit firms also are eligible; however, they are not allowed to earn a profit from the grant. Applications to supplement existing projects are eligible to compete with applications for new awards. Neither Federal agencies nor individuals are eligible to submit
applications. The 2012 **General Section** identifies threshold requirements that must be met for an organization to receive an award.

2. If your organization received an award under the FY2011 Healthy Homes Technical Studies Grant Program cycle, you are not eligible to apply, unless you apply with a different Principal Investigator.

**B. Cost Sharing or Matching.** Cost sharing or matching is not required. In rating your application, however, you will receive a higher score under Rating Factor 4 if you provide evidence of significant resource leveraging.

**C. Other:**

1. **Eligible Activities.**

   (1) HUD expects to advance the recognition and control of residential health and safety hazards and more closely examine the link between housing and health. The overall objectives of the Healthy Homes Technical Studies Program include, but are not limited to:

   (a) Development and evaluation of low-cost test methods and protocols for identification and assessment of housing-related hazards.

   (b) Development and assessment of cost-effective methods for reducing or eliminating housing-related hazards.

   (c) Evaluation of the effectiveness of housing interventions including educational interventions, and barriers and incentives affecting future use of the most cost-effective strategies.

   (d) Investigation of the epidemiology of housing-related hazards and illness and injuries associated with these hazards, with an emphasis on vulnerable populations (e.g., children, senior citizens).

   (e) Analysis of existing data or generation of new data to improve knowledge regarding the prevalence and severity of specific hazards in various classes of housing, with a focus on low-income housing.

   (f) Improved understanding of the relationship between a residential exposure and illness or injury of children or other vulnerable populations. Applicants that propose this type of study should discuss how the knowledge that is gained from the study could be used in a program to reduce these hazards in target communities.

   (g) Development of low-cost analytical techniques and instruments for the rapid, on- and off-site determination of environmental contaminants of concern (e.g., bioaerosols, pesticides, allergens). HUD’s primary interest is in the improvement of existing instruments or methods, and not in the development of new technologies or instruments. The OHHLHC has noted that research focused on the development of new technologies pose a high risk of experiencing significant delays and not achieving their objectives. Applicants seeking to develop new technologies/instruments should discuss why, if funded, their proposed project would be unlikely to experience significant delays and would be likely to meet its objectives.
(h) The effect of green construction, building renovation, rehabilitation, or maintenance on measures of indoor environmental quality (IEQ). HUD encourages applicants to consider the study of housing that has been rated using one of the existing voluntary guidelines (e.g., U.S. EPA’s Indoor Air Plus, Enterprise Community Partners Green Communities Criteria, U.S. Green Building Council’s LEED for Homes, the National Green Building Standard (ICC 700-2008, approved by the American National Standard Institute). For more information on green building guidelines, please see Appendix B.

(2) HUD is particularly interested in the following topics:

(a) Improving or assessing the efficacy of current methods for residential Integrated Pest Management (IPM). (See, for example, the Healthy Housing Reference Manual (HUD/Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 2006) and the CDC’s IPM web page, http://www.cdc.gov/nceh/ehs/Topics/VectorControl.htm.)

(b) Developing easily replicable, cost-effective methods for preventing and controlling excess moisture and mold in various types of residential buildings.

(c) Improving indoor air quality, such as through cost-effective approaches to upgrading residential ventilation or improving control/management of combustion appliances. Applicants should discuss how proposed approaches might affect residential energy consumption and/or costs (e.g., increasing air exchange rates resulting in an increase in heating and cooling costs) and how significantly increased energy consumption and/or costs can be avoided or mitigated.

(d) Rigorous evaluation of policies that contribute to the supply of affordable, healthy and energy efficient housing.

(e) Evaluating the effectiveness of education and outreach methods designed to provide at risk families (including minority families and those with limited English proficiency) with the knowledge to adopt self-protective behaviors with respect to residential health hazards. If you propose a study in this focus area you should cite and discuss the theoretical basis for the education/outreach approach that you are proposing.

(f) Conducting cost-benefit or cost-effectiveness studies on the health benefits of healthy homes interventions in high risk populations (e.g., implementation of smoke-free housing policies, reductions in the incidence of injuries among children or the elderly, reductions in asthma morbidity through improvements to indoor environmental quality). Applicants are encouraged to team with existing projects or studies through which the housing interventions are being conducted.

(g) Injury Prevention Measures: HUD is interested in demonstrating the feasibility and cost-effectiveness of incorporating injury prevention measures into residential programs, including green renovation and rehabilitation programs. Such measures (e.g., grab bars in showers, anti-scald devices, lockable medicine cabinets) are not typically included in building programs but could be incorporated to enhance the effects of the program on resident safety and health.
(h) Other Focus Areas that are Consistent with the Overall Goals of HUD’s Healthy Homes Technical Studies Program. HUD will consider funding applications for technical studies on other topics that are consistent with the overall goals and objectives of the Healthy Homes Technical Studies program, as described above. In such instances, for an applicant to receive an award, it is necessary that the applicant describe in sufficient detail how the proposed study is consistent with the overall program goals and objectives.

2. General Information. In proposing to conduct a study on a particular topic, applicants should consider:

a. The ability of the study to generate definitive results. Because the size of the awards under this NOFA limits the ability of applicants to design and implement research on health outcomes using the strongest methodology (i.e., a randomized controlled trial), applicants should consider focusing on important IEQ measures instead of health outcomes in studies where this is appropriate. A focus on environmental outcomes is generally expected to produce more definitive results as opposed to a health outcomes focus, and the impact of improvements to IEQ on health outcomes can be inferred where the evidence base is sufficient.

b. The “fit” of the proposed hazard assessment and/or control methods within the overall goal of addressing “priority” health and safety hazards in a cost-effective manner;

c. The expected efficacy of the proposed methods for hazard control and risk reduction. Questions to consider include the degree to which interventions would be accepted by occupants; ease and cost of implementation and the length of time the intervention would stay effective;

d. Where and how these methods would be applied and tested, and/or perform demonstration activities; and

e. The degree to which the study will help develop practical, widely applicable and accepted methods and protocols or improve our understanding of a residential health hazard.

Applicants should consider the efficiencies that might be gained by working cooperatively with one or more recipients of HUD’s Healthy Homes Production grants or Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control or Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration grants, which are widely distributed throughout the United States. Information on current grantees is available at http://www.hud.gov/offices/lead/assistance.cfm.

You may address one or more than one of the above technical studies topic areas within your proposal, or submit separate applications for different topic areas.

NOTE: A limited amount of hazard control activities, which involve construction rather than research, may be conducted as part of a Healthy Homes Technical Studies project (see Section IV.E.9).

3. Threshold Requirements Applicable to all Applicants. To receive an award of funds from HUD, you must meet all threshold requirements set forth in section III.C.2 of the 2012 General Section, and must be an eligible applicant under this NOFA.
4. **Program Requirements.**

a. **Program Performance.** Grantees shall take all reasonable steps to accomplish all activities within the approved period of performance. HUD reserves the right to terminate the cooperative agreement prior to the expiration of the period of performance if the grantee fails to make reasonable progress in implementing the approved program of activities or fails to comply with the terms of the cooperative agreement.

b. **Regulatory Compliance.** Grantees must comply with all relevant federal, state, and local regulations regarding exposure to and proper disposal of hazardous materials.

c. **Blood Lead Testing.** Any blood lead testing, blood lead level test results, medical referral, or follow-up for children under 6 years of age must be conducted according to the recommendations of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), *Preventing Lead Poisoning in Young Children* (see Appendix B of this NOFA).

d. **Restricted Use of Funds.** HUD technical studies grant funds will not replace existing resources dedicated to any ongoing project.

e. **Laboratory Analysis for Lead.** Laboratory analysis covered by the EPA’s National Lead Laboratory Accreditation Program (NLLAP) must be conducted by a laboratory recognized under the program, unless approved by HUD.

f. **Laboratory Analysis for Mold.** Samples to be analyzed for mold (fungi) must be submitted to a laboratory accredited through the Environmental Microbiological Laboratory Accreditation Program (EMLAP), administered by the American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA), unless approved by HUD.

g. **Human Research.** Human research subjects will be protected from research risks in conformance with Federal Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects, required by HUD at 24 CFR 60.101, which incorporates the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) Protection of Human Subjects regulation at 45 CFR part 46.

h. **OSHA Compliance.** The requirements of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) (e.g., 29 CFR part 1910 and/or 1926, as applicable) or the state or local occupational safety and health regulations, whichever are most stringent, will be met.

i. **Civil Rights.** The institution administering the grant must comply with all nondiscrimination requirements as set forth in section III.C.5 of the FY2012 *General Section*.

j. **Disclosure.** All test results and other information in pre-1978 housing related to lead-based paint or lead-based paint hazards must be provided to the owner of the unit, together with a statement describing the owner’s legal duty to disclose the knowledge of lead-based paint and its hazards to tenants (before initial leasing, or before lease renewal with changes) and buyers (before sale) (24 CFR Part 35, subpart A). Disclosure of other identified housing-related health or safety hazards to the owner of the unit, for purposes of remediation, is encouraged but not required by HUD.

k. **Privacy.** Submission of any information to databases (whether website, computer, paper, or
other format) of addresses of housing units identified, treated or cleared under these studies is subject to the protections of the Privacy Act of 1974, and shall not include any personal information that could identify any household member. You should also check to ensure you meet state and local privacy regulations.

1. Community Involvement. Applicants must incorporate meaningful community involvement into any study that requires a significant level of interaction with a community during implementation (e.g., projects being conducted within occupied dwellings or which involve surveys of community residents). The term community refers to a variety of populations comprised of persons who have commonalities that can be identified (e.g., based on geographic location, ethnicity, health condition, common interests). Applicants should identify the community that is most relevant to their particular project. Meaningful community involvement also requires that recipients ensure that information provided to the community during these activities is provided in a manner that is effective for persons with disabilities (See 24 CFR § 8.6) and gives meaningful access to persons with limited English proficiency (LEP). There are many different approaches to involving the community in the conception, design, and implementation of a study and the subsequent dissemination of findings. Examples include but are not limited to: establishing a structured approach to obtain community input and feedback (e.g., through a community advisory board); including one or more community-based organizations as study partners; employing community residents to recruit study participants and collect data; and enlisting the community in the dissemination of findings and translation of results into improved policies and/or practices. A discussion of community involvement in research involving housing-related health hazards can be found in Chapter 5 of the Institute of Medicine publication titled “Ethical Considerations for Research on Housing-Related Health Hazards Involving Children” (see Appendix B for more information on this report).

m. Economic Opportunities for Low- and Very Low-Income Persons (Section 3). Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. § 1701u) applies to this program when activities conducted pursuant to this NOFA include housing construction or rehabilitation (including reduction and abatement of lead-based paint hazards). Section 3 requires that, to the greatest extent feasible, training, employment, contracting, and other economic opportunities to low- and very low-income persons, particularly those who are recipients of government assistance for housing, and to business concerns that provide economic opportunities are directed to low- and very low-income persons in the area in which the project is located. For more information on these requirements, see 24 CFR Part 135 and section III.C.5.d of the 2012 General Section.

n. Standardized Dust Sampling Protocol and Quality Control Requirements. Grantees collecting samples of settled dust from participant homes for environmental allergen analyses (e.g., cockroach, dust mite) will be required to use a standard dust sampling protocol, unless there is a strong justification to use an alternate protocol (e.g., the study involves the development of an alternative sampling method). The HUD protocol can be found on the OHHLHC website at: http://www.hud.gov/offices/lead/hhi/hhiresources.cfm. Grantees conducting these analyses may also be required to include quality control dust samples, provided by OHHLHC at no cost to the grantee, with the samples that are submitted for laboratory analyses.

o. Requirements for peer review of scientific data in accordance with the Office of Management and Budget Information Quality Guidelines. All HUD-sponsored research is subject to the OMB
Final Information Quality Bulletin for Peer Review (70 FR 2664-2677, January 14, 2005) prior to its public dissemination. In accordance with paragraph II.2 of the Bulletin, HUD will not require further peer review conducted on information that has already been subjected to adequate peer review.

p. Principal Investigator (PI) The PI for the proposed study must directly represent and be directly employed by the applicant for the proposed role in the grant application. If the proposal includes co-PIs, the lead co-PI must represent and be directly employed by the applicant.

5. **DUNS Requirement.** Refer to the FY2012 General Section for information regarding the DUNS requirement. A DUNS number must be provided for the institution that is submitting an application. Your DUNS number must be included in your electronic application submission. Be sure to use the DUNS number that you use to register as an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) with Grants.gov. Be sure that your eBusiness Point of Contact has authorized you to submit an application on behalf of the applicant organization (see the FY2012 General Section for details about the Grants.gov registration process).

**IV. APPLICATION AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION**

If you are interested in applying for funding under this program, please review carefully the FY2012 General Section and the following additional information.

A. **ADDRESSES TO REQUEST APPLICATION PACKAGE.** All applications must be submitted electronically. The information required to submit an application is contained in the program section of this NOFA and the FY2012 General Section. Applications can be downloaded from the web at: [http://www.grants.gov/applicants/apply_for_grants.jsp](http://www.grants.gov/applicants/apply_for_grants.jsp). Grants.gov provides customer support information on its website at [http://www.grants.gov/contactus/contactus.jsp](http://www.grants.gov/contactus/contactus.jsp). Applicants having difficulty accessing the application and instructions or having technical problems can receive customer support from Grants.gov by calling (800) 518-GRANTS (this is a toll-free number) or by sending an email to support@grants.gov. (Hearing- or speech-challenged individuals may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at 800-877-8339.) The Grants.gov Help Desk can be reached twenty-four hours per day, seven days per week, except federal holidays. HUD recommends calling the Help Desk rather than emailing, because determining the basis for the problem may take some conversation with the Grants.gov Support Customer Service Representative.

B. **CONTENT AND FORM OF APPLICATION SUBMISSION.**

1. **Applicant Data.** Your application must contain the items listed in this section. These items include the standard forms contained in the FY2012 General Section that are applicable to this funding announcement (collectively referred to as the "standard forms"). Copies of these forms are available on line at [http://www.grants.gov/applicants/apply_for_grants.jsp](http://www.grants.gov/applicants/apply_for_grants.jsp). The required items are:

   a. **Application Abstract** (two page maximum). An abstract with the project title, the names and affiliations of all investigators, and a summary of the objectives, study design and expected results, and must be included in the proposal. Information contained in the abstract will not be considered in the evaluation and scoring of your application, and will not be counted towards the
25 page maximum. Any information you wish to be considered should be provided under the appropriate rating factor response.

b. **All forms as required by the FY2012 General Section.** Form HUD2991_Certification_of_Consistency_with_the_Consolidated_Plan is not required with the application for this program.

c. **Response to Rating Factors.** A project description/narrative statement addressing the rating factors for award. The narrative statement must be identified in accordance with each factor for award (Rating Factors 1 through 5). Number the pages of your narrative statement. The project description or narrative must be included in the responses to the rating factors. The response to the rating factors should not exceed a total of 25 pages, single-sided, with a minimum 12-point font and a minimum margin width of 1-inch on all sides. **Any pages in excess of this limit will not be read.** The points you receive for each rating factor will be based on the portion of your narrative statement that you submit in response to that particular factor, supplemented by any appendices that are referenced in your narrative response to the rating factor. Supporting materials that are not referenced or discussed in your responses to the individual rating factors will not be considered. Additional materials (e.g., appendices) must be submitted with your application according to the directions in the FY2012 General Section. The footer on the pages of these materials should identify the rating factor that they are supporting.

d. **Supporting Materials.** Include the resumes of the principal investigator and other key personnel and other materials that are needed in your response to the rating factors (e.g., organizational chart, letters of commitment, a list of references cited in your responses to the rating factors). Each resume shall not exceed three pages, and is limited to information that is relevant in assessing the qualifications and experience of key personnel to conduct and/or manage the proposed technical studies. This information will not be counted towards the Rating Factors narrative 25-page limit.

e. **Additional Information.** Submit other optional information provided in support of your application following the directions in the FY2012 General Section. These additional optional materials must not exceed 20 pages. Any pages in excess of this limit will not be read. Do not include additional narrative information that is an extension of or expands upon any of your rating factor responses. Such narrative will not be considered.

f. **Budget.** Include a total budget using form HUD424CBW with supporting cost justification of up to four pages, which will cover all budget categories of the federal grant request. This information will not be counted towards the Rating Factors narrative 25-page limit. Use the budget format discussed in Rating Factor 3, Section V.A.3.c, below. In completing the budget forms and justification, you should address the following elements:

   1. **Labor.** Direct Labor costs, including all full- and part-time staff required for the planning and implementation phases of the project. These costs should be based on full time equivalent (FTE) or hours per year (hours/year) (i.e., one FTE equals 2,080 hours/year);

   2. **Travel.** Allowance for one trip to HUD Headquarters in Washington, DC, for each year of your grant, planning each trip for one person. The first trip will occur shortly after grant award for a stay of two or three days, depending on your location, and the remaining trips
will have a stay of one or two days, depending on your location;

(3) **Budget Form and Justification.** A separate budget form and justification for each sub-recipient receiving more than 10 percent of the total federal budget request;

(4) **Documentation.** Supporting documentation for salaries and prices of materials and equipment, upon request; and

(5) **Indirect Cost Rates.** Organizations that have a federally negotiated indirect cost rate should use that rate and the appropriate base. The documentation will be verified during award negotiations and should not be included in this application submission. Organizations that do not have a federally negotiated rate schedule must obtain a rate from their cognizant federal agency; otherwise the organization will be required to obtain a negotiated rate through HUD.

**g. Checklist for Technical Studies Program Applicants.**

(1) Applicant Abstract (limited to 2 pages)

(2) **Rating Factor Responses (Total narrative response limited to 25 pages.)**
   
   (a) Capacity of the Applicant and Relevant Organizational Experience (20 points)
   
   (b) Need/Extent of the Problem (15 points)
   
   (c) Soundness of Approach (49 points)
   
   (d) Leveraging Resources (6 points)
   
   (e) Achieving Results and Program Evaluation (10 points)
   
   (f) Bonus Points (RC/EZ/EC-II) (2 points)

(3) **Required materials in response to rating factors (does not count towards 25-page limit)**

   (a) Resumes of Key Personnel (limited to 3 pages per resume; please do not include Social Security Numbers on resumes)

   (b) Organizational Chart

   (c) Letters of Commitment (if applicable) Letters of commitment should include language defining the activities to be performed, the contributions to be made, and the monetary value of each.

**NOTE:** HUD recommends against including letters of support that do not commit services, materials, or funds; they will not add to the consideration of your application.

   (d) **Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing Requirements (if applicable)** If the Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing requirements apply to your proposed project as described in Section V.A.3.c(5)(a), below, you must include the applicable narrative
discussed in that section in your application; failure to comply will result in the application not being considered for award.

(4) Optional material in support of the Rating Factors (20 page limit)

(5) Required Forms and Budget Material
   (a) Form SF424_Application_for_Federal_Assistance (Be sure to correctly identify the NOFA title, Funding Opportunity Number, and CFDA number. Applicants must also include the nine digit zip code (zip code plus four digits) associated to the applicant address in box 8d of the SF424.

   (b) Form HUD424CBW_Budget_Worksheet for the entire project.

   (c) Budget justification narrative for each form HUD424CBW submitted.

   (d) Form HUD96012_Capacity_of_the_Applicant_and_Relevant_Organizational_Experience).

   (e) Form HUD96015_Leveraging_Resources.

   (f) Form SF424Supplement_Survey_on_Ensuring_Equal_Opportunities_for_Applicants (Faith_Based_EEO_Survey SF424SUPP) on Grants.gov (to be completed by private nonprofit organizations only).

   (g) Form SFLLL_Disclosure_of_Lobbying_Activities (indicate “not applicable” on the form and submit the signed form if there are no lobbying activities to disclose).

   (h) Form HUD2880_Applicant/Recipient_Disclosure/Update_Report ("HUD_Applicant Recipient_Disclosure_Report" on Grants.gov)

   (i) Form HUD2990_Certification_of_Consistency_with_the_RC/EZ/ECII Strategic Plan (required only for applicants who are seeking these 2 bonus points)

   (ii) Form HUD96011_Third_Party_Documentation_Facsimile_Transmittal ("Facsimile Transmittal Form” on Grants.gov) (Used as the cover page to transmit third party documents and other information designed for each specific application for tracking purposes. HUD will not read faxes that do not use the HUD96011 as the cover page to the fax.)

C. RECEIPT DATES AND TIMES.

1. Electronic applications must be received and validated by Grants.gov on or before 11:59:59 PM eastern time on the application deadline date of January 31, 2012. Refer to the FY2012 General Section for submission requirements.

   Please allow time for this process to ensure that you meet the timely receipt requirements. Please see the 2012 General Section for instructions for timely receipt, including actions to take if the application is rejected. Applicants should carefully read the section titled “INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO DOWNLOAD AN APPLICATION PACKAGE AND APPLICATION INSTRUCTIONS”. This section contains information on using Adobe Reader, HUD’s timely receipt policies, and other application information.
D. INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVIEW. This NOFA is excluded from the requirement of an Intergovernmental Review.

E. Funding Restrictions.
1. **Administrative Costs.** There is a 10 percent maximum allowance for administrative costs. For each kind of organization, a set of Federal principles determines allowable costs. Allowable costs shall be in accordance with the cost principles applicable to the organization incurring the costs. Specifically, see 2 CFR 220 - Cost Principles for Educational Institutions, 2 CFR 225 - Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments, or 2 CFR 230 - Cost Principles for Nonprofit Organizations. (OMB relocated its cost principles Circulars A-21, regarding educational institutions, A-87, regarding governments, and A-122, regarding nonprofits, to title 2 of the Code of Federal Regulations; the regulations supersede the circulars (70 Federal Register 51880, 51910, and 51927, respectively, August 31, 2005).)


3. **Purchase of Real Property.** The purchase of real property is not an allowable cost under this program.

4. **Purchase or Lease of Equipment.** The purchase or lease of equipment having a per unit cost in excess of $5,000 is not an allowable cost, unless prior written approval is obtained from HUD.

5. **Medical Treatment.** Medical treatment costs are not allowable under this program.

6. **Profit.** For profit institutions are not allowed to earn a profit.


8. You may not conduct lead-based paint or healthy home hazard control activities or related work that constitutes construction, reconstruction, repair or improvement (as referenced in Section 3(a)(4) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (42 U.S.C. §§ 4001-4128)) of a building or mobile home which is located in an area identified by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) as having special flood hazards unless:

   a. The community in which the area is situated is participating in the National Flood Insurance Program in accordance with the applicable regulations (44 CFR parts 59-79), or less than a year has passed since FEMA notification regarding these hazards; and

   b. Where the community is participating in the National Flood Insurance Program, flood insurance on the property is obtained in accordance with section 102(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act (42 U.S.C. § 4012a(a)). You are responsible for assuring that flood insurance is obtained and maintained for the appropriate amount and term.

9. **Construction Activities.** The amount of HUD Healthy Homes Technical Studies grant funds used for construction activities may not exceed 20% of the total HUD funds awarded. Furthermore, the majority of any funds dedicated to construction activities shall be spent for
interventions not intended for lead hazard control. No funds under this grant program may be used for new construction, substantial rehabilitation, or changes in land use or unit density.

10. Costs related to animal testing are not allowable under this program.

F. Other Submission Requirements: Applicants are required to submit applications electronically via the website: http://www.grants.gov/applicants/apply_for_grants.jsp, unless they have requested and been granted a waiver from the electronic submission requirement. See sections IV.B and F of the FY2012 General Section for additional information on the electronic process requirement and how to request a waiver from the requirement if necessary. Applicants should submit their waiver requests in writing using email. Waiver requests must be submitted no later than 25 days prior to the application deadline date and should be submitted to: OHHLHCNOFAreview@hud.gov. If the applicant is granted an electronic submission waiver, the notification will provide instructions on where and to whom to submit the application, and how many copies are required. Paper applications will not be accepted from applicants that have not been granted a waiver. If an applicant is granted a waiver, the approval notice will provide instructions for submission. All applications in paper format must have received a waiver to the electronic application requirement and the application must be received by HUD on or before the application deadline date.

V. APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

A. Criteria:

1. **Threshold Requirements.** Applications that meet all of the threshold requirements will be eligible to be scored and ranked, based on the total number of points allocated for each of the rating factors described in Section V.A.3. Your application must receive a total score of at least 75 points to be considered for funding.

2. **Award Factors.** Each of the five factors is weighted as indicated by the number of points that are assigned to it. The maximum score that can be attained is 102, including a possible 2 bonus points. Applicants should be certain that each of these factors is adequately addressed in the project description and accompanying materials. To the extent feasible, include all of the needed information within your response to each rating factor. If your response to a particular rating factor cites information provided in your response to another rating factor, clearly indicate where the information is located so that the reviewer can easily locate it.

3. **Rating Factors.**

a. **Rating Factor 1: Capacity of the Applicant and Relevant Organizational Experience** (20 Points). This factor addresses the extent to which you have the ability, capacity and organizational resources necessary to successfully implement your proposed activities in a timely manner. The rating of your application will include any sub-grantees, consultants, sub-recipients, and members of consortia that are firmly committed to the project (generally, "subordinate organizations"). In rating this factor, HUD will consider the extent to which your application demonstrates:

   (1) **The capability and qualifications of key and supporting personnel** (13 points). HUD will assess the qualifications of key personnel to carry out the proposed study as evidenced by academic and professional background, publications, and recent (within the past 5 years) research experience. The proposed Principal Investigator must directly represent and be
compensated directly by the applicant for his or her role in the proposed study. Publications and/or research experience are considered relevant if they required the acquisition and use of knowledge and skills that can be applied in the planning and execution of the technical study that is proposed under this NOFA. HUD will also evaluate the qualifications of supporting personnel such as statisticians and research assistants. In responding to this rating factor, you must complete and submit Form HUD-96012 (Capacity of the Applicant and Relevant Organizational Experience). Partner organizations will also be evaluated with respect to their qualifications and capabilities to successfully implement their proposed project roles. Please do not include the Social Security Numbers (SSN) of any staff members. You must also submit an organizational chart that shows the key players in the project, their reporting relationships, and their responsibilities. The chart may be submitted as an attachment and will not count towards the 25 page maximum.

(2) Past performance of the study team in managing similar projects (7 points).
   (a) HUD will evaluate your demonstrated ability to successfully manage various aspects (e.g., personnel management, data management, quality control, reporting) of a complex technical study, as well as your overall success in completing projects on time and within budget. If applicable, provide the number and title of any past OHHLHC grants and describe the outcomes of those grants and your organization’s performance in their implementation (e.g., whether they were completed on time and within budget). Also, describe the past performance of the organization (applicant and/or partners) on other projects related to residential environmental health and safety research, or other relevant experience. Provide details about the nature of the project, the funding organization, and your performance (e.g., timely completion, achievement of desired outcomes). You should also discuss the degree to which the results from past research have been used to develop new or improved methods or tools for residential hazard assessment or control.

   (b) If your organization has an active OHHLHC grant or cooperative agreement, provide a description of the progress and outcomes achieved under that award. If you completed one or more HUD-funded Technical Studies grants, your performance will be evaluated in terms of achievements made under the previous grant(s). If your organization received a grant from OHHLHC in Fiscal Years 2006, 2007, or 2008 (i.e., the grant number ends in “-06”, “-07”, or “-08”) and you have not demonstrated a credible attempt to publish the results in a scientific or professional journal, 5 points will be deducted under this subfactor.

b. Rating Factor 2: Need/Extent of the Problem (15 Points). This factor addresses the extent to which there is a need for your proposed technical study. In responding to this factor, you should document in detail how your project will make a significant contribution towards achieving some or all of HUD’s stated goals and objectives for one or more of the topic areas described in Section I.B.1. For example, you should demonstrate how your proposed study addresses a need with respect to an important housing-related health hazard, with an emphasis on the health of children and other sensitive populations such as seniors. This is especially important for applicants that are proposing to study a healthy homes topic that is not highlighted as a priority area by HUD in section III.C. Specific topics to be addressed for this factor include:

   (1) A concise review of the research need that is addressed by your proposed study and
why it is consistent with the goals and objectives of the NOFA; identify which NOFA goals and objectives are addressed by the proposed study. Identify the knowledge gap that is addressed by the study and why it is important. For applicants that are conducting research with households in targeted communities, include available documented rates of illness or injury associated with the hazard or hazards that you are addressing within that community and compare these rates to national rates for health outcomes. Data should be provided for the relevant geographic area(s) (e.g., local, regional, state level), depending upon availability. (6 points)

(2) A discussion of how your proposed study would significantly advance the current state of scientific knowledge for your focus area. You should make clear how your proposed study would effectively build upon the current body of knowledge, especially the peer-reviewed literature. HUD will award the most points under this sub factor for the proposed research that is expected to have the greatest impact in advancing the science base on key healthy homes topics. (6 points)

(3) A discussion on how you anticipate your study findings will be used to improve current methods for assessing or mitigating the hazards under study, particularly for affordable housing. If applicable, indicate why the method/protocol that would be improved through your study would be widely adopted (e.g., low cost, easily replicated, lack of other options) or how the knowledge gained through your proposed study will help to advance greater adoption of the “healthy homes” concept. (3 points)

c. Rating Factor 3: Soundness of Approach (49 Points). This factor addresses the quality of your proposed technical study plan. Specific components include:

(1) Soundness of the study design (30 points). Clearly and thoroughly describe the design of your proposed study and identify the major objectives. If possible, your study should be designed to address testable hypotheses that are clearly stated. The study should be presented as a logical sequence of steps or phases with individual tasks described for each phase. Your narrative should reflect the relevant scientific literature, which should be thoroughly cited in your application. Your proposed study will be judged in part on the soundness of the underlying body of research upon which it is based (e.g., is it based upon well-understood or poorly-understood associations from previous epidemiological studies?) and the clarity and soundness of your interpretation and summarization of this research base. Describe the statistical basis for your study design and demonstrate that you would have adequate statistical power to test your stated hypotheses and achieve your study objectives. Discuss your plans for data management, analysis, and archiving. You should identify any important “decision points” in your study plan. You should demonstrate that it is clearly feasible to complete the study within the proposed period of performance and successfully achieve your objectives. HUD has observed that studies can miss targeted performance timelines because of delays in the IRB approval process or unexpected difficulties with recruiting study participants, and delays in developing new laboratory methods or instruments. If applicable, describe actions that you will take to minimize the possibility that your study would experience delays in these areas (e.g., understanding likely IRB requirements in advance, planning on additional avenues for recruitment of participants, initiating the development of new methods/instruments).

If you are proposing to conduct a study that includes a significant level of community interaction (e.g., studies involving participant recruitment, survey research, environmental sampling on private
property), describe your plan for meaningful involvement of the affected community in your proposed study. You should define the community of interest with respect to your proposed study and discuss why and how your proposed approach to community involvement will make a meaningful contribution to your study and to the community. For studies in which community participants must visit a facility operated by the applicant pursuant to activities conducted under this NOFA, applicants are advised that such activities must be held in facilities that are accessible to persons with disabilities as required by Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and its implementing regulations at 24 CFR Part 8.

(2) Policy Priorities (2 points). Indicate if your proposed study will address any of the FY 2012 policy priorities that are applicable to this NOFA (see the FY2012 General Section for additional details regarding these policy priorities). You will receive a maximum of two (2) points under Rating Factor 3(2) for either of the applicable FY FY2012 policy priorities that are found in the FY2012 General Section and applicable to this NOFA that are adequately addressed in your application. Policy priorities that are applicable to this Technical Studies NOFA are: (1) Capacity Building and Knowledge Sharing; and (2) Using Housing as a Platform for Improving Other Outcomes. It is up to the applicant to determine which of the policy priorities outlined below they will address in order to receive the available two points. Please refer to the FY2012 General Section, sections I.B.4 and I.B.5, respectively, to see how these policy priorities should be discussed and presented in order to receive these points.

(a) Capacity Building and Knowledge Sharing. Applicants requesting the policy priority points must demonstrate the proposed direct impact their research can have in advancing the field of healthy homes. Applicants must identify the outputs and outcomes their projects are expected to achieve related to capacity building and knowledge sharing, as well as the outcome measures they will report on. To receive the full two (2) points under this policy priority, an applicant must respond to at least one or more of the activities listed below and explain how success will be measured during the grant performance period:

(i) Activities:
- Implementation of a research dissemination plan.
- Integration of the research findings with other researchers and/or practitioners in the healthy homes field.
- Presentation of research findings at academic and/or professional conferences.

(ii) Measures of success:
- Development of at least one new activity by partner organizations as a result of the outcomes of the research to enhance current healthy homes program activities.
- Presentation of research finding at two or more appropriate academic and/or professional conferences.
- Publication of research findings in two or more scientific and/or professional journals.

(b) Using Housing as a Platform for Improving Other Outcomes. To receive the full two (2) points under this policy priority, an applicant must respond to at least one or more of the activities listed below and explain how success will be measured during the grant performance period:

(i) Activities:
- Study findings that will result in improved health outcomes as a result of new or improved methods for hazard identification or control, improved understanding of housing-related
health hazards, etc.

- Coordination and information sharing with partners, such as those of local green and healthy housing initiatives that will result in improved health outcomes in the target population.
- Formation of strategic partnerships with practitioners that will commit to applying the findings of the study to improve program activities.

(ii) Measures of success:

- The study findings result in the creation tools or knowledge that can be used to improve health outcomes in target populations.
- Partner organizations commit to applying study findings in a manner that will improve health outcomes in the populations that they serve.

For this policy priority, applicants must identify the target populations to be served, the baseline from which improvements are to be measured, the anticipated impact outcome(s) and related activity, and measurements to be used to gauge the positive change to be achieved by their project. Applicants will be expected to report progress in meeting the expected goals.

(3) Quality assurance mechanisms (6 points). You must describe the quality assurance mechanisms that will be integrated into your project design to ensure the validity and quality of the results. Applicants that receive awards will be required to submit a Quality Assurance Plan to HUD. You should plan for this and include Quality Assurance activities in your study work plan.

(a) Discuss the major quality assurance mechanisms that are relevant for your proposed study. Examples of quality assurance mechanisms include, but are not limited to: procedures for selection of samples/sample sites, sample handling, use of quality control samples, validating the accuracy of instrumentation, measures to ensure accuracy during data management, staff training, and final validation of your dataset. If applicable, documents (e.g., government reports, peer-reviewed academic literature) that provide the basis for your quality assurance mechanisms should be cited. Identify who will have primary responsibility for drafting and ensuring compliance with the Quality Assurance Plan (QAP) and describe how the QAP will be used during the implementation of your study. Your application will be rated on the thoroughness, clarity, and validity of your proposed quality assurance activities, and their appropriateness for ensuring the validity and quality of the data.

(b) For the collection of data using survey or other observational tools, describe the procedures that you will follow to ensure accurate data capture and transfer (e.g., transfer of data from the field to a database). Also, describe any research done (or planned) to validate the instrument.

(c) Institutional Review Boards. In conformance with the Common Rule (Federal Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects, codified by HUD at 24 CFR 60.101, which incorporates the DHHS regulation at 45 CFR part 46), if your research involves human subjects, your organization must provide proof (e.g., a letter signed by an appropriate official) that the research has been reviewed and approved by an Institutional Review Board (IRB) before you can initiate activities that require IRB approval. Before initiating such activities you must also provide the number for your organization’s assurance (i.e., an “institutional assurance”) that has been approved by the DHHS’s Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP). You must also
provide proof that the IRB that approves your study is registered with the OHRP.

You do not have to provide proof of IRB approval with your application. If you do not have IRB approval yet, you should address how you will obtain such approval. Describe how you will obtain informed consent (e.g., from the subjects, their parents or their guardians, as applicable) and discuss the steps you will take to help ensure participants’ understanding of the elements of informed consent, such as the purposes, benefits and risks of the research. Describe how this information will be provided and how the consent will be collected. For example, describe your use of “plain language” forms, flyers and verbal scripts, and how you plan to work with families with limited English proficiency or primary languages other than English, and with families including persons with disabilities. For assistance in ensuring that persons with limited English proficiency have meaningful access to your research activities, see section III.C.5.c of the FY2012 General Section. For additional information on what constitutes human subject research or how to obtain an institutional assurance see the OHRP website at http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/.

(4) Project management plan (5 points, plus 0.5 or 1 point, if either one or both portions of subparagraph (5), below, are not applicable to the proposed project). The proposal should include a management plan that provides a schedule for the clear and expeditious completion of major tasks, with associated benchmarks and major study milestones, and deliverables. You can refer to the benchmarks, milestones, and outcomes that you identify in your response to Rating Factor 5 when responding to this sub factor. If your application includes multiple organizations, you should identify the organization/person that has primary responsibility for completion of each of the major study tasks. You should include plans and schedules for preparation and submission of a minimum of one manuscript for publication in a peer-reviewed academic journal following HUD acceptance. Depending on the study’s focus, HUD may also accept publication of study findings in one or more high quality professional journals (i.e., if this is considered more appropriate for the focus area than publication in a scientific/academic journal). Where possible, you should include the name of the journal in which you plan to publish. The final deliverable can be submitted to HUD during the agreed upon period of performance or during the 90-day closeout period following award expiration.

(5) Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH) and Section 3 Requirements (1 point).

(a) AFFH (0.5 points). If your proposed project will confer a benefit to members of the public in which the work is to be done, through hazard intervention that involves construction or rehabilitation of housing (not including routine housing maintenance or minor repair) and/or education or training, then, to receive funding consideration, your application must discuss, in a separate narrative, how your proposed plans affirmatively further fair housing. If, on the other hand, your proposed project entails only laboratory research, conducting surveys, analyzing existing data sets, or other narrowly focused activities, your application need only include a separate statement to that effect in regard to affirmatively furthering fair housing. If applicable, this narrative must describe how your proposed activities further at least one of the following objectives: {i} help overcome any impediments to fair housing choice related to the assisted program or activity itself; {ii} promote racially, ethnically, and socioeconomically diverse communities; or {iii} promote housing-related opportunities that overcome the effects of past discrimination because of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, disability, and familial status. The narrative must also show how your proposed plans are designed to help overcome
the effect of impediments to fair housing choice that are identified in the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (“AI”) of the jurisdiction(s) in which the planning occurs, as described in section III.C.5.b of the FY2012 General Section. Federally recognized Indian tribes and their instrumentalities are not required to comply with the requirement to affirmatively further fair housing.

For projects involving construction or rehabilitation, examples of activities that affirmatively further fair housing include those that ensure that existing residents relocated (or temporarily relocated) to facilitate rehabilitation are afforded preference or right of first refusal for new units.

For projects which involve community-based research and/or which include enrollment outreach, education and/or training, examples of activities that affirmatively further fair housing include:

   (i) where appropriate, designing and implementing the research study so as to maximize communication and participation with, or dissemination of information to, persons unlikely to have access to the study, including persons of different ethnic and racial backgrounds, and persons with disabilities;

   (ii) to the maximum extent practicable, affirmatively marketing the existence of the study or affirmatively disseminating the results of such studies broadly to persons affected, including persons of different races or ethnicities, persons of different socioeconomic status, or persons with disabilities who are not likely to be aware of the study;

   (iii) conducting such activities in a manner that provides meaningful access to persons with limited English proficiency (LEP); and

   (iv) targeting the benefits of the research, outreach, or educational activities to vulnerable populations, including women with children and racial and ethnic minorities.

(b) Section 3 Requirements (0.5 points). If your proposed project will conduct housing construction or rehabilitation, explain in a separate narrative how you will provide appropriate opportunities to Section 3 residents and Section 3 businesses of the target area, in compliance with Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. § 1701u) and HUD’s implementing rules at 24 CFR Part 135 (see section III.C.5.d of the FY2012 General Section for further information).

(6) Budget Proposal (5 points).

   (a) Your budget proposal should thoroughly estimate all applicable direct and indirect costs, and be presented in a clear and coherent format in accordance with the requirements listed in the FY2012 General Section. HUD is not required to approve or fund all proposed activities. You must thoroughly document and justify all budget categories and costs (Form HUD424CBW) and all major tasks, for yourself, sub-recipients, major subcontractors, joint venture participants, or others contributing resources to the project. A separate budget must be provided for partners who are proposed to receive more than 10 percent of the federal budget request. Your application will be evaluated on the extent to which your resources are appropriate for the scope of your proposed study.
(b) Your narrative justification associated with these budgeted costs should be submitted as part of the Total Budget (Federal Share and Leveraging), but is not included in the 25-page limit for this submission. Separate narrative justifications should be submitted for partners that are submitting separate budgets.

(c) The application will not be rated on the proposed cost; however, cost will be considered in addition to the rated factors to identify proposals that are of greatest value to the Federal Government. Cost will be the deciding factor when proposals ranked under the listed factors are considered acceptable and are substantially equal.

d. Rating Factor 4: Leveraging Resources (6 Points)

This factor addresses your ability to obtain other resources that can be combined with HUD’s funding to increase the effectiveness of the proposed study. Your proposal should demonstrate that the effectiveness of HUD’s Technical Studies grant funds is being increased by securing other resources or by structuring the project in a cost-effective manner, such as integrating the project into an existing study that will be concurrent with your proposed study. Contributed resources must be shown to be specifically dedicated to and integrated into supporting study activities. Resources may include funding or in-kind contributions (such as direct labor, specialized facilities) allocated to the purpose(s) of your project. Staff and in-kind contributions should be assigned a monetary value. You should be aware that federal sources are generally not allowed to be used for monetary leverage unless otherwise permitted by that specific federal program’s authorizing statute. However, HUD will award up to three points to applicants that can demonstrate that the potential impact of the proposed research would be magnified through integration with existing (non-monetized) federally funded research. In assigning points for monetized leveraging under this factor, HUD will consider the significance of the leveraging in the context of the amount of federal funds that you are requesting. Applicants must propose to contribute resources valued 1% or more of the federal funds requested to receive points. Applicants can receive the maximum points under this factor through monetized leveraging alone or through a combination of monetized leveraging and non-monetized leveraging as described above.

Leveraging from a partner or from outside your organization must be documented with letters of firm commitment, memoranda of understanding, and/or agreements to participate including the monetary value of the contribution. Each document must include the organization’s name, proposed level of commitment (with estimated monetary value) and responsibilities as they relate to specific activities or tasks of your proposed program. The commitment letter must also be signed by an official of the organization legally able to make commitments on behalf of the organization. Simple letters that only indicate support of the proposed study are not sufficient and are discouraged. In responding to this rating factor, you must complete and submit Form HUD-96015 (Leveraging Resources). No points will be awarded to applicants that identify leveraged resources for which adequate documentation is not provided (e.g., a letter of commitment is needed but not provided).

Newly contributed resources, devoted to supporting proposed study activities will be fully credited. Resources included from previous work, previous data bases, or other concurrent work that is not federally funded and which would be completed regardless of this proposed study, will be valued at no more than 25% of their documented cost.
Applicants should make sure that their submittal regarding monetary leveraging is identified and is internally consistent in all the required places, i.e., forms SF424, HUD424CBW (budget), HUD96015, and the signed documentation. If for some reason you are not able to include your monetary leveraging in the budget forms, please provide an explanation as part of your response to this rating factor.

The chart below identifies the points to be provided for monetary leveraging under this rating factor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leverage</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% and less than 5%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% and less than 10%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% or more</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

e. Rating Factor 5: Achieving Results and Program Evaluation (10 Points). This factor emphasizes HUD’s commitment to ensuring that applicants keep promises made in their applications and assess their performance to ensure performance goals are met. Achieving results requires that you, the applicant, have clearly identified the expected outcomes of your study and interim performance measures for measuring progress in achieving the desired outcomes. Outcomes are ultimate goals. Benchmarks or outputs are interim activities or products that lead to the ultimate achievement of your goals.

In order to track the progress of your proposed study, you must identify interim products or benchmarks, milestones, and outcomes, all of which are indicators that will allow you and HUD to measure your performance. Performance indicators should be objectively quantifiable and measure actual achievements against identified goals. You should identify the critical study milestones, which should be clearly indicated in your study timeline. In your response you should also identify potential obstacles in meeting your study objectives and related performance measures and discuss steps you would take to respond to these obstacles.

In responding to this factor, you should:
(1) Identify benchmarks that you will use to track the progress of your study;

(2) Identify important study milestones (e.g., recruiting study participants, developing an analytical protocol, the end of specific phases in a multiphase study), which should also be clearly indicated in your study timeline;

(3) Identify potential obstacles in achieving study milestone and adjustments that you would make in response to these obstacles;
(4) Identify how your program will be held accountable for meeting program goals, objectives, and the actions undertaken in implementing the grant program.

Successful applicants will be required to enter project benchmarks and milestones into a spreadsheet which will be used to help track study progress.

f. Bonus Points (2 points maximum).

1. **RC/EZ/EC-II.** (2 bonus points). Applicants are eligible to receive up to two bonus points for projects located within federally designated Renewable Communities (RCs), Empowerment Zones (EZs), or Enterprise Communities (ECs) designated by USDA in round II (EC-IIs) (collectively referred to as RC/EZ/EC-IIs), and which will serve the residents of these communities (see the 2011 [General Section](#)). In order to be eligible for these bonus points, applicants must meet the requirements of the 2011 [General Section](#) and submit a correctly completed form HUD2990, signed by the authorizing official for the Zone or Community, with descriptive language in the budget discussion describing the actual work that is to be done in these communities and clearly indicate how the work will benefit the study participants within these communities. Bonus points will not be awarded simply because research is being conducted within a facility that is located within a designated Zone or Community (e.g. laboratory-based research).

B. REVIEWS AND SELECTION PROCESS.

1. **Corrections To Deficient Applications.** The FY2012 [General Section](#) provides the procedures for correcting deficient applications.

2. **Rating and Ranking.** Awards will be made in rank order within the limits of funding availability for the program.

   a. **Partial Funding.** In the selection process, HUD reserves the right to offer partial funding to any or all applicants. If you are offered a reduced grant amount, you will have a maximum of 14 calendar days to accept such a reduced award. If you fail to respond within the 14-day limit, you shall be considered to have declined the award.

   b. **Remaining Funds.** See the FY2012 [General Section](#) for HUD's procedures if funds remain after all selections have been made within either type of Technical Studies Program.

VI. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. AWARD NOTICES.

1. **Notice of Award.** Applicants who have been selected for award will be notified by letter from the Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control Grant Officer. The letter will state the program for which the application has been selected, the amount the applicant is eligible to receive, and the name of the Government Technical Representative (GTR). This letter is not an authorization to begin work or incur costs under the award. An executed cooperative agreement is the authorizing document.

   HUD may require that all the selected applicants participate in negotiations to determine
the specific terms of the cooperative agreement and budget. If you accept the terms and
conditions of the cooperative agreement, you must return your signed cooperative agreement by
the date specified during negotiation. In cases where HUD cannot successfully conclude
negotiations with a selected applicant or a selected applicant fails to provide HUD with
requested information, an award will not be made to that applicant. In this instance, HUD may
offer an award, and proceed with negotiations with the next highest-ranking applicant.
Applicants should note that, if they are selected for multiple OHHLHC awards, they must ensure
that they have sufficient resources to provide the promised leveraging for the multiple awards.
During negotiations, applicants selected for multiple awards will be required to provide
alternative leveraged resources, if necessary, before the grant can be awarded. This is required
in order to avoid committing duplicate leveraged resources to more than one OHHLHC grant.

Awardees will receive additional instructions on how to have the grant account entered
into HUD’s Line of Credit Control System (LOCCS) payment system or its successor will
be provided. Other forms and program requirements will also be provided.

In accordance with OMB Circular A-133 (Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit
Organizations), grantees expending $500,000 in Federal funds within a program or fiscal year
must submit their completed audit-reporting package along with the Data Collection Form (SF-
SAC) to the Single Audit Clearinghouse, the address can be obtained from their website. The
SF-SAC can be downloaded at http://harvester.census.gov/sac/.

2. **Debriefing.** Debriefing requests should be submitted via email to the Agency Contact identified
in Section VII of this NOFA, following the procedures outlined in the FY2012 General Section.

**B. ADMINISTRATIVE AND NATIONAL POLICY REQUIREMENTS.**

1. **Environmental Requirements.**

   **a. Eligible Construction and Rehabilitation Activities.** A FY2012 Healthy Homes Technical
Studies award does not constitute approval of specific sites where activities that are subject to
environmental review may be carried out. The provisions of section 305(c) of the Multifamily
Housing Property Disposition Reform Act of 1994, implemented by HUD regulations at 24
CFR part 58, “Environmental Review Procedures for Entities Assuming HUD Environmental
Responsibilities,” are applicable to properties assisted with Healthy Homes Technical Studies
funds. Therefore, recipients conducting eligible construction and rehabilitation activities must
comply with 24 CFR part 58. Recipients that are States, units of local government or Native
American tribes must carry out environmental review responsibilities as a responsible entity
under part 58. Recipients who are academic, not-for-profit, or for-profit institutions, must
contact and partner with a responsible entity, usually the unit of local government or Native
American tribe, to assume the environmental review responsibilities for construction or
rehabilitation activities funded under this NOFA. Reasonable expenses incurred for
compliance with these environmental requirements are eligible expenses under this NOFA.
Under 24 CFR 58.11, where the recipient is not a State, unit of local government or Native
American tribe, if a responsible entity objects to performing the environmental review, or the
recipient objects to the responsible entity performing the environmental review, HUD may
designate another responsible entity to perform the review or may perform the environmental
review itself under the provisions of 24 CFR part 50. When HUD performs the review itself,
following grant award execution, HUD will be responsible for ensuring that any necessary environmental reviews are completed. See paragraph b, below for additional assistance.

b. For all cooperative agreements under this NOFA, recipients and other participants in the project are prohibited from undertaking, or committing or expending HUD or non-HUD funds (including leveraged funds) on, a project or activities under this NOFA (other than activities listed in 24 CFR 58.34, 58.35(b) or 58.22(f)) until the responsible entity completes an environmental review and the applicant submits and HUD approves a Request for the Release of Funds and the responsible entity’s environmental certification (both on form HUD-7015.15) or, in the case where the recipient is not a State, unit of local government or Native American tribe and HUD has determined to perform the environmental review under part 50, HUD has completed the review and notified the grantee of its approval. The results of the environmental reviews may require that proposed activities be modified or proposed sites rejected. For Part 58 procedures, see http://www.hud.gov/offices/cpd/environment/index.cfm. For assistance, contact Karen Griego-West, the Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control Program Environmental Clearance Officer at (213) 534-2458 (this is not a toll free-number) or the HUD Environmental Clearance Officer in the HUD Field Office serving your area. If you are a hearing- or speech-impaired person, you may reach the telephone number via TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at 1-800-877-8339. Recipients of a grant under this program will be given additional guidance in these environmental responsibilities.

c. All other activities not related to construction and rehabilitation activities are categorically excluded under 24 CFR 50.19(b)(1), (3), (5) and (9) from the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. § 4321) and are not subject to environmental review under the related environmental laws and authorities at 24 CFR 50.4.

2. **Conducting Business in Accordance with HUD Core Values and Ethical Standards.** If awarded assistance under this NOFA, prior to entering into a cooperative agreement with HUD, you will be required to submit a copy of your Code of Conduct and describe the methods you will use to ensure that all officers, employees, and agents of your organization are aware of your Code of Conduct. See the FY2012 General Section for information about conducting business in accordance with HUD’s core values and ethical standards.

3. **Participation in HUD-Sponsored Program Evaluation.** See the FY2012 General Section.

4. **HUD Reform Act of 1989.** The provisions of the HUD Reform Act of 1989 that apply to this NOFA are explained in the FY2012 General Section.

5. **Procurement of Recovered Materials.** See the FY2012 General Section for information concerning this requirement.

6. **Davis-Bacon Wage Rates.** The Davis-Bacon wage requirements do not apply to this program. However, if program funds are used in conjunction with other federal programs in which Davis-Bacon prevailing wage rates apply, then Davis-Bacon provisions would apply to the extent required under the other federal programs.
C. REPORTING.

1. **Post Award Reporting Requirements.** Final budget and work plans are due 60 days after the start date.

2. **Progress Reporting.** Progress reporting is required on a quarterly basis. Project benchmarks and milestones will be tracked using a benchmark spreadsheet that incorporates the benchmarks and milestones identified in the response to rating factor 5. For specific reporting requirements, see policy guidance at: [http://www.hud.gov/offices/lead/](http://www.hud.gov/offices/lead/).


4. **Tangible Personal Property Report.** Grant recipients who purchase equipment in excess of $5,000 apiece must complete the OMB’s annual Tangible Personal Property Report, if and after that report receives OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (see 75 Federal Register 14441-14442; March 25, 2011). This report has four components: the Annual Report, the Final (Award Closeout) Report, and the Disposition Report/Request, and, if needed, the Supplemental Sheet (see [http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants_standard_report_forms/](http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants_standard_report_forms/)). Generally, the average estimated time to complete each of these components is 0.5 hours; it is likely to be less for this grant program.

5. **Section 3.** Grant recipients covered by Section 3 (see Section III.C.4 of this NOFA) must comply with reporting and record-keeping requirements for Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, 12 U.S.C. § 1701u (Economic Opportunities for Low- and Very Low-Income Persons in Connection with Assisted Projects). Those requirements can be found at 24 CFR part 135, subpart E. See section III.C.5.d of the FY 2012 General Section.


Section 872 requires the establishment of a government-wide data system – the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS) - to contain information related to the integrity and performance of entities awarded federal financial assistance and making use of the information by federal officials in making awards. OMB is in the process of issuing regulations regarding federal agency implementation of section 872 requirements. A technical correction to this General section may be issued when such regulations are promulgated.

HUD anticipates that the terms and conditions to its FY2012 awards will contain requirements related to meeting FFATA and Section 872 requirements.
8. **Final Report.** The cooperative agreement will specify the requirements for final reporting (e.g., final technical report and final project benchmarks and milestones achieved against the proposed benchmarks and milestones which were approved and incorporated into your cooperative agreement).

9. **Draft Scientific Manuscript(s).** Grantees will be required to complete a minimum of one draft manuscript for publication in a peer-reviewed journal.

**VII. AGENCY CONTACTS**

For programmatic questions on the Healthy Homes Technical Studies program, you may contact Dr. Peter Ashley, Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control, at 202-402-7595 or via email at [Peter.J.Ashley@hud.gov](mailto:Peter.J.Ashley@hud.gov). For grants administrative questions, you may contact Ms. Nadine Heath, Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control, at telephone 202-402-7680 or via email at [Nadine.L.Heath@HUD.gov](mailto:Nadine.L.Heath@HUD.gov). If you are a hearing- or speech-impaired person, you may reach the above telephone numbers through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at 800-877-8339.

**VIII. OTHER INFORMATION**

A. **Other OFFICE OF HEALTHY HOMES AND LEAD HAZARD CONTROL INFORMATION.** For additional general, technical, and grant program information pertaining to the Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control, visit [http://www.hud.gov/offices/lead/](http://www.hud.gov/offices/lead/).

B. **PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT.** The information collection requirements contained in this document have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. §§ 3501-3520) and assigned OMB control number 2539-0015. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, HUD may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection displays a currently valid OMB control number. Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 80 hours per respondent for the application and 16 hours to finalize the cooperative agreement. This includes the time for collecting, reviewing, and reporting the data for the application. This information will be used for grantee selection. The reporting burden for completion of the Quality Assurance Plan by applicants who are awarded a grant is estimated at 24 hours per grantee. Response to this request for information is required in order to receive the benefits to be derived.

C. **ENVIRONMENTAL.** A Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) with respect to the environment has been made for this NOFA in accordance with HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 50, which implement section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. § 4332(2)(C)). The FONSI is available for public inspection between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. weekdays in the Regulations Division, Office of General Counsel, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Room 10276, Washington, DC 20410-0500. Due to security measures at the HUD Headquarters building, an advance appointment to review the FONSI must be scheduled by calling the Regulations Division at 202-708-3055 (this is not a toll-free number).
D. APPENDICES. Appendices A, B, and C to this NOFA are available for downloading at http://www.hud.gov/offices/adm/grants/fundsavail.cfm.