

Estimated HUD Assistance for Selected Programs by Entitlement Community - FY2000

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
ALABAMA	ANNISTON	\$3,329,000	\$3,398,000	\$69,000	0	4	3
ALABAMA	BESSEMER	5,141,000	5,251,000	110,000	0	4	4
ALABAMA	BIRMINGHAM	57,845,000	59,941,000	2,096,000	135	37	128
ALABAMA	DECATUR	4,633,000	4,908,000	275,000	27	2	2
ALABAMA	DOTHAN	4,677,000	4,922,000	245,000	23	3	3
ALABAMA	FLORENCE	4,996,000	5,278,000	282,000	27	2	2
ALABAMA	GADSDEN	5,831,000	5,952,000	121,000	0	6	5
ALABAMA	HOOVER	307,000	311,000	4,000	0	1	1
ALABAMA	HUNTSVILLE	14,173,000	14,675,000	502,000	33	8	7
ALABAMA	MOBILE	27,406,000	28,643,000	1,237,000	103	15	60
ALABAMA	MONTGOMERY	22,045,000	22,840,000	795,000	52	13	43
ALABAMA	TUSCALOOSA	7,545,000	7,920,000	375,000	37	6	5
ALABAMA	JEFFERSON COUNTY	9,777,000	10,328,000	551,000	58	12	43
ALABAMA	ALABAMA (other areas)	135,911,000	140,039,000	4,128,000	265	151	364
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$303,616,000	\$314,406,000	\$10,790,000	760	266	669

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
ARIZONA	GILBERT	462,000	469,000	7,000	0	2	2
ARIZONA	GLENDALE	4,040,000	4,344,000	304,000	37	9	10
ARIZONA	MESA	5,997,000	6,421,000	424,000	49	15	64
ARIZONA	PEORIA CITY	985,000	1,001,000	16,000	0	3	3
ARIZONA	PHOENIX	47,719,000	49,806,000	2,087,000	188	69	286
ARIZONA	SCOTTSDALE	1,891,000	2,093,000	202,000	27	5	6
ARIZONA	TEMPE	3,173,000	3,483,000	310,000	41	9	10
ARIZONA	TUCSON	25,408,000	26,734,000	1,326,000	136	34	164
ARIZONA	YUMA	3,595,000	3,901,000	306,000	37	4	4
ARIZONA	MARICOPA COUNTY	16,184,000	16,846,000	662,000	65	16	65
ARIZONA	PIMA COUNTY	4,985,000	5,239,000	254,000	24	13	65
ARIZONA	ARIZONA STATE (other areas)	76,538,000	78,460,000	1,922,000	60	48	149
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$196,156,000	\$204,297,000	\$8,141,000	698	235	837

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
ARKANSAS	CONWAY	991,000	1,010,000	19,000	0	2	1

ARKANSAS	FAYETTEVILLE	2,190,000	2,344,000	154,000	18	3	2
ARKANSAS	FORT SMITH	3,321,000	3,694,000	373,000	50	4	3
ARKANSAS	JACKSONVILLE	397,000	402,000	5,000	0	1	1
ARKANSAS	JONESBORO	2,047,000	2,364,000	317,000	44	3	2
ARKANSAS	LITTLE ROCK	11,890,000	12,666,000	776,000	82	10	72
ARKANSAS	NORTH LITTLE ROCK	5,279,000	5,645,000	366,000	41	4	4
ARKANSAS	PINE BLUFF	3,312,000	3,583,000	271,000	35	5	5
ARKANSAS	ROGERS	322,000	326,000	4,000	0	1	1
ARKANSAS	SPRINGDALE	390,000	395,000	5,000	0	1	1
ARKANSAS	TEXARKANA	2,412,000	2,463,000	51,000	0	2	2
ARKANSAS	WEST MEMPHIS	2,496,000	2,634,000	138,000	14	2	2
ARKANSAS	ARKANSAS (other areas)	79,897,000	83,308,000	3,411,000	328	106	258
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$114,943,000	\$120,833,000	\$5,889,000	611	145	355

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
CALIFORNIA	ALAMEDA	3,632,000	4,128,000	496,000	67	6	16
CALIFORNIA	ALHAMBRA	3,037,000	3,067,000	30,000	0	9	7

CALIFORNIA	ANAHEIM	11,383,000	12,600,000	1,217,000	162	21	76
CALIFORNIA	ANTIOCH	966,000	983,000	17,000	0	3	7
CALIFORNIA	APPLE VALLEY	696,000	706,000	10,000	0	3	2
CALIFORNIA	BAKERSFIELD	5,092,000	5,158,000	66,000	0	13	42
CALIFORNIA	BALDWIN PARK	3,405,000	3,690,000	285,000	38	8	8
CALIFORNIA	BELLFLOWER	1,743,000	1,760,000	17,000	0	5	4
CALIFORNIA	BERKELEY	10,448,000	11,217,000	769,000	86	18	141
CALIFORNIA	BUENA PARK	1,262,000	1,279,000	17,000	0	5	4
CALIFORNIA	BURBANK	3,258,000	3,599,000	341,000	47	6	6
CALIFORNIA	CAMARILLO	542,000	549,000	7,000	0	2	2
CALIFORNIA	CARLSBAD	1,233,000	1,404,000	171,000	23	3	4
CALIFORNIA	CARSON	1,702,000	1,725,000	23,000	0	6	6
CALIFORNIA	CERRITOS	673,000	682,000	9,000	0	2	2
CALIFORNIA	CHICO	3,098,000	3,534,000	436,000	61	4	3
CALIFORNIA	CHINO	857,000	868,000	11,000	0	3	3
CALIFORNIA	CHULA VISTA	3,435,000	3,472,000	37,000	0	9	13
CALIFORNIA	COMPTON	5,558,000	5,830,000	272,000	30	13	47
CALIFORNIA	CONCORD	1,512,000	1,538,000	26,000	0	5	12
CALIFORNIA	CORONA	1,351,000	1,369,000	18,000	0	5	5
CALIFORNIA	COSTA MESA	2,311,000	2,334,000	23,000	0	6	6
CALIFORNIA	DALY	1,962,000	1,988,000	26,000	0	7	7
CALIFORNIA	DAVIS	1,715,000	1,729,000	14,000	0	4	3
CALIFORNIA	DOWNEY	2,106,000	2,127,000	21,000	0	6	6
CALIFORNIA	EL CAJON	2,434,000	2,459,000	25,000	0	6	8
CALIFORNIA	EL MONTE	5,905,000	5,990,000	85,000	0	15	55
CALIFORNIA	ENCINITAS	743,000	754,000	11,000	0	3	4
CALIFORNIA	ESCONDIDO	2,799,000	2,830,000	31,000	0	7	10
CALIFORNIA	FAIRFIELD	1,594,000	1,816,000	222,000	31	4	3

CALIFORNIA	FONTANA	2,187,000	2,210,000	23,000	0	6	6
CALIFORNIA	FOUNTAIN VALLEY	532,000	539,000	7,000	0	2	2
CALIFORNIA	FREMONT	2,333,000	2,374,000	41,000	0	7	20
CALIFORNIA	FRESNO	23,711,000	24,995,000	1,284,000	140	37	125
CALIFORNIA	FULLERTON	2,688,000	2,716,000	28,000	0	8	7
CALIFORNIA	GARDENA	1,179,000	1,195,000	16,000	0	4	4
CALIFORNIA	GARDEN GROVE	7,151,000	8,142,000	991,000	137	12	42
CALIFORNIA	GILROY CITY	668,000	677,000	9,000	0	2	2
CALIFORNIA	GLENDALE	7,345,000	7,440,000	95,000	0	18	61
CALIFORNIA	GLENDORA CITY	532,000	539,000	7,000	0	2	2
CALIFORNIA	HAWTHORNE	3,242,000	3,472,000	230,000	30	7	7
CALIFORNIA	HAYWARD	2,459,000	2,502,000	43,000	0	8	21
CALIFORNIA	HEMET	715,000	725,000	10,000	0	3	2
CALIFORNIA	HESPERIA	894,000	906,000	12,000	0	3	3
CALIFORNIA	HUNTINGTON BEACH	2,762,000	2,790,000	28,000	0	8	7
CALIFORNIA	HUNTINGTON PARK	3,807,000	3,860,000	53,000	0	10	35
CALIFORNIA	INGLEWOOD	5,846,000	6,230,000	384,000	47	12	44
CALIFORNIA	IRVINE	1,326,000	1,344,000	18,000	0	5	5
CALIFORNIA	LAGUNA NIGUEL	376,000	381,000	5,000	0	1	1
CALIFORNIA	LA HABRA	878,000	890,000	12,000	0	3	3
CALIFORNIA	LAKE FOREST	500,000	507,000	7,000	0	2	2
CALIFORNIA	LAKELAND	885,000	897,000	12,000	0	3	3
CALIFORNIA	LA MESA	749,000	760,000	11,000	0	3	4
CALIFORNIA	LANCASTER	1,468,000	1,487,000	19,000	0	5	5
CALIFORNIA	LIVERMORE	1,263,000	1,479,000	216,000	30	2	6
CALIFORNIA	LOMPOC	6,006,000	6,866,000	860,000	115	3	4

CALIFORNIA	LONG BEACH	22,573,000	24,496,000	1,923,000	248	42	159
CALIFORNIA	LOS ANGELES	243,097,000	249,931,000	6,834,000	421	406	1,452
CALIFORNIA	LYNWOOD	3,093,000	3,126,000	33,000	0	9	8
CALIFORNIA	MADERA	2,262,000	2,462,000	200,000	25	4	3
CALIFORNIA	MERCED	4,901,000	5,379,000	478,000	62	6	5
CALIFORNIA	MILPITAS CITY	827,000	838,000	11,000	0	3	3
CALIFORNIA	MISSION VIEJO	602,000	610,000	8,000	0	2	2
CALIFORNIA	MODESTO	9,876,000	11,065,000	1,189,000	159	11	38
CALIFORNIA	MONTEBELLO	2,140,000	2,162,000	22,000	0	6	6
CALIFORNIA	MONTEREY	339,000	344,000	5,000	0	1	1
CALIFORNIA	MONTEREY PARK	2,283,000	2,308,000	25,000	0	7	6
CALIFORNIA	MORENO VALLEY	2,238,000	2,262,000	24,000	0	7	6
CALIFORNIA	MOUNTAIN VIEW	1,507,000	1,522,000	15,000	0	4	4
CALIFORNIA	NAPA CITY	1,999,000	2,398,000	399,000	57	3	5
CALIFORNIA	NATIONAL CITY	3,389,000	3,744,000	355,000	49	6	9
CALIFORNIA	NEWPORT BEACH	605,000	614,000	9,000	0	2	2
CALIFORNIA	NORWALK	3,155,000	3,386,000	231,000	30	8	7
CALIFORNIA	OAKLAND	57,905,000	61,684,000	3,779,000	393	46	368
CALIFORNIA	OCEANSIDE	4,040,000	4,399,000	359,000	48	8	12
CALIFORNIA	ONTARIO	4,273,000	4,333,000	60,000	0	11	39
CALIFORNIA	ORANGE	2,214,000	2,237,000	23,000	0	6	6
CALIFORNIA	OXNARD	8,138,000	8,713,000	575,000	68	14	48
CALIFORNIA	PALMDALE	1,196,000	1,212,000	16,000	0	4	4
CALIFORNIA	PALM SPRINGS	762,000	772,000	10,000	0	3	3
CALIFORNIA	PALO ALTO	909,000	921,000	12,000	0	3	3
CALIFORNIA	PARADISE	340,000	345,000	5,000	0	1	1

CALIFORNIA	PARAMOUNT CITY	2,064,000	2,086,000	22,000	0	6	6
CALIFORNIA	PASADENA	6,694,000	7,211,000	517,000	62	11	72
CALIFORNIA	PETALUMA	402,000	407,000	5,000	0	1	1
CALIFORNIA	PICO RIVERA	1,935,000	2,103,000	168,000	22	6	5
CALIFORNIA	PITTSBURG	1,655,000	1,907,000	252,000	35	3	7
CALIFORNIA	PLEASANTON CITY	414,000	421,000	7,000	0	1	4
CALIFORNIA	POMONA	6,309,000	6,666,000	357,000	41	14	52
CALIFORNIA	PORTERVILLE	886,000	898,000	12,000	0	3	3
CALIFORNIA	RANCHO CUCAMONGA	1,167,000	1,183,000	16,000	0	4	4
CALIFORNIA	REDDING	3,382,000	3,982,000	600,000	86	4	3
CALIFORNIA	REDLANDS	831,000	842,000	11,000	0	3	3
CALIFORNIA	REDONDO BEACH	1,215,000	1,411,000	196,000	28	2	2
CALIFORNIA	REDWOOD CITY	1,566,000	1,582,000	16,000	0	4	4
CALIFORNIA	RIALTO	1,380,000	1,399,000	19,000	0	5	5
CALIFORNIA	RICHMOND	6,677,000	7,273,000	596,000	74	7	16
CALIFORNIA	RIVERSIDE	7,865,000	7,998,000	133,000	0	16	55
CALIFORNIA	ROSEMEND	2,374,000	2,399,000	25,000	0	7	6
CALIFORNIA	ROSEVILLE	943,000	1,086,000	143,000	20	2	2
CALIFORNIA	SACRAMENTO	27,504,000	29,084,000	1,580,000	167	29	109
CALIFORNIA	SALINAS	7,960,000	8,955,000	995,000	134	11	43
CALIFORNIA	SAN BERNARDINO	7,255,000	7,349,000	94,000	0	18	60
CALIFORNIA	SAN DIEGO	50,712,000	53,944,000	3,232,000	366	82	379
CALIFORNIA	SAN FRANCISCO	85,281,000	88,576,000	3,295,000	229	113	683
CALIFORNIA	SAN JOSE	26,421,000	28,047,000	1,626,000	189	57	214

CALIFORNIA	SAN LEANDRO	1,131,000	1,151,000	20,000	0	4	10
CALIFORNIA	SAN MATEO	1,678,000	1,694,000	16,000	0	4	4
CALIFORNIA	SANTA ANA	19,619,000	21,123,000	1,504,000	88	37	133
CALIFORNIA	SANTA BARBARA	3,937,000	4,433,000	496,000	69	6	9
CALIFORNIA	SANTA CLARA	1,933,000	1,952,000	19,000	0	5	5
CALIFORNIA	SANTA CLARITA	1,135,000	1,151,000	16,000	0	4	4
CALIFORNIA	SANTA CRUZ	1,372,000	1,386,000	14,000	0	3	5
CALIFORNIA	SANTA MARIA	1,646,000	1,670,000	24,000	0	6	8
CALIFORNIA	SANTA MONICA	3,629,000	3,982,000	353,000	48	7	7
CALIFORNIA	SANTA ROSA	3,021,000	3,345,000	324,000	45	5	5
CALIFORNIA	SANTEE	586,000	594,000	8,000	0	2	3
CALIFORNIA	SEASIDE	702,000	711,000	9,000	0	3	3
CALIFORNIA	SIMI VALLEY	981,000	993,000	12,000	0	4	3
CALIFORNIA	SOUTH GATE	5,205,000	5,477,000	272,000	30	12	42
CALIFORNIA	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	902,000	914,000	12,000	0	3	3
CALIFORNIA	STOCKTON	9,069,000	9,193,000	124,000	0	22	81
CALIFORNIA	SUNNYVALE	2,232,000	2,254,000	22,000	0	6	6
CALIFORNIA	THOUSAND OAKS	972,000	985,000	13,000	0	4	3
CALIFORNIA	TORRANCE	2,848,000	3,087,000	239,000	32	6	5
CALIFORNIA	TULARE	862,000	873,000	11,000	0	3	3
CALIFORNIA	TURLOCK	839,000	850,000	11,000	0	3	3
CALIFORNIA	TUSTIN	871,000	882,000	11,000	0	3	3
CALIFORNIA	UNION CITY	1,061,000	1,080,000	19,000	0	3	9
CALIFORNIA	UPLAND	1,863,000	2,073,000	210,000	28	3	3
CALIFORNIA	VACAVILLE	1,430,000	1,667,000	237,000	33	3	2

CALIFORNIA	VALLEJO	3,433,000	3,858,000	425,000	59	6	5
CALIFORNIA	SAN BUENAVENTURA	2,147,000	2,490,000	343,000	48	4	4
CALIFORNIA	VICTORVILLE	938,000	950,000	12,000	0	3	3
CALIFORNIA	VISALIA	4,085,000	4,754,000	669,000	95	6	5
CALIFORNIA	VISTA	1,449,000	1,470,000	21,000	0	5	7
CALIFORNIA	WALNUT CREEK	535,000	544,000	9,000	0	2	4
CALIFORNIA	WATSONVILLE	976,000	990,000	14,000	0	3	5
CALIFORNIA	WEST COVINA	1,649,000	1,671,000	22,000	0	6	5
CALIFORNIA	WESTMINSTER	2,064,000	2,086,000	22,000	0	6	6
CALIFORNIA	WHITTIER	1,670,000	1,686,000	16,000	0	5	4
CALIFORNIA	WOODLAND	2,461,000	2,876,000	415,000	58	3	2
CALIFORNIA	YORBA LINDA	386,000	392,000	6,000	0	1	1
CALIFORNIA	YUBA	1,357,000	1,560,000	203,000	28	2	2
CALIFORNIA	ALAMEDA COUNTY	11,536,000	13,223,000	1,687,000	240	9	25
CALIFORNIA	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	20,632,000	22,700,000	2,068,000	261	17	122
CALIFORNIA	FRESNO COUNTY	16,838,000	17,833,000	995,000	110	26	88
CALIFORNIA	KERN COUNTY	18,858,000	19,932,000	1,074,000	119	33	109
CALIFORNIA	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	93,046,000	98,323,000	5,277,000	584	169	607
CALIFORNIA	MARIN COUNTY	8,170,000	8,867,000	697,000	85	8	41
CALIFORNIA	ORANGE COUNTY	15,220,000	17,673,000	2,453,000	344	22	78
CALIFORNIA	RIVERSIDE COUNTY	26,013,000	28,341,000	2,328,000	296	45	157
CALIFORNIA	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	22,825,000	24,127,000	1,302,000	144	35	130
CALIFORNIA	SAN BERNARD	29,604,000	31,751,000	2,147,000	256	36	124

	INO COUNTY						
CALIFORNIA	SAN DIEGO COUNTY	20,658,000	23,312,000	2,654,000	363	29	137
CALIFORNIA	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY	15,176,000	16,314,000	1,138,000	134	17	62
CALIFORNIA	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY	6,447,000	6,968,000	521,000	66	12	39
CALIFORNIA	SAN MATEO COUNTY	10,917,000	12,273,000	1,356,000	187	16	59
CALIFORNIA	SANTA CLARA COUNTY	12,093,000	14,143,000	2,050,000	286	12	44
CALIFORNIA	SONOMA COUNTY	6,406,000	7,103,000	697,000	94	11	41
CALIFORNIA	VENTURA COUNTY	8,326,000	9,184,000	858,000	113	11	37
CALIFORNIA	CALIFORNIA (other areas)	138,867,000	143,711,000	4,844,000	468	193	1,229
CALIFORNIA	CNSRT-SANTA BARBARA CO	1,496,000	1,496,000	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$1,381,311,000	\$1,461,301,000	\$79,990,000	8,864	2,339	8,416

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained)

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
-------	-----------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	---	--	--------------------------------------	---

COLORADO	ARVADA	1,293,000	1,464,000	171,000	24	3	3
COLORADO	AURORA	4,386,000	4,691,000	305,000	39	9	9
COLORADO	BOULDER	3,699,000	4,087,000	388,000	52	5	5
COLORADO	COLORADO SPRINGS	9,806,000	10,399,000	593,000	66	14	56
COLORADO	DENVER	43,006,000	45,001,000	1,995,000	183	52	188
COLORADO	FORT COLLINS	2,843,000	3,036,000	193,000	24	5	7
COLORADO	GRAND JUNCTION	1,191,000	1,356,000	165,000	22	2	4
COLORADO	GREELEY	2,544,000	2,838,000	294,000	40	4	6
COLORADO	LAKEWOOD	3,128,000	3,394,000	266,000	34	5	5
COLORADO	LONGMONT	968,000	1,104,000	136,000	19	2	2
COLORADO	LOVELAND	914,000	1,027,000	113,000	15	2	2
COLORADO	PUEBLO	7,104,000	7,611,000	507,000	61	9	12
COLORADO	WESTMINSTER	815,000	826,000	11,000	0	3	3
COLORADO	ADAMS COUNTY	4,409,000	4,838,000	429,000	58	9	8
COLORADO	ARAPAHOE COUNTY	2,309,000	2,332,000	23,000	0	6	6
COLORADO	JEFFERSON COUNTY	2,817,000	3,112,000	295,000	40	6	5
COLORADO	COLORADO (other areas)	30,211,000	31,826,000	1,615,000	188	49	199
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$121,443,000	\$128,942,000	\$7,499,000	868	187	519

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained	ADDITIONAL HOUSING	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELES
-------	-----------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------

				for New Activities	VOUCHER S ²		S SERVED ⁴
CONNECTICUT	BRIDGEPORT	32,521,000	33,958,000	1,437,000	117	19	77
CONNECTICUT	BRISTOL	3,124,000	3,284,000	160,000	15	3	2
CONNECTICUT	DANBURY	3,282,000	3,475,000	193,000	20	3	2
CONNECTICUT	EAST HARTFORD	3,478,000	3,552,000	74,000	0	3	2
CONNECTICUT	FAIRFIELD	803,000	814,000	11,000	0	3	3
CONNECTICUT	GREENWICH	2,502,000	2,546,000	44,000	0	5	4
CONNECTICUT	HAMDEN TOWN	626,000	634,000	8,000	0	2	2
CONNECTICUT	HARTFORD	36,759,000	39,041,000	2,282,000	237	22	80
CONNECTICUT	MANCHESTER	2,022,000	2,147,000	125,000	14	3	3
CONNECTICUT	MERIDEN	4,453,000	4,724,000	271,000	28	5	4
CONNECTICUT	MIDDLETOWN	4,093,000	4,352,000	259,000	27	2	2
CONNECTICUT	MILFORD TOWN	1,525,000	1,553,000	28,000	0	3	2
CONNECTICUT	NEW BRITAIN	9,017,000	9,396,000	379,000	32	10	34
CONNECTICUT	NEW HAVEN	24,721,000	26,833,000	2,112,000	156	22	110
CONNECTICUT	NEW LONDON	2,917,000	2,971,000	54,000	0	5	4
CONNECTICUT	NORWALK	6,660,000	6,972,000	312,000	27	5	5
CONNECTICUT	NORWICH	2,297,000	2,336,000	39,000	0	5	5
CONNECTICUT	STAMFORD	12,586,000	13,095,000	509,000	38	5	5
CONNECTICUT	STRATFORD	2,032,000	2,072,000	40,000	0	3	4
CONNECTICUT	WATERBURY	10,931,000	11,511,000	580,000	58	12	41
CONNECTICUT	WEST HARTFORD	2,402,000	2,610,000	208,000	27	6	5
CONNECTICUT	WEST HAVEN	3,861,000	4,238,000	377,000	47	4	3

CONNECTICUT	CONNECTICUT (other areas)	38,636,000	40,338,000	1,702,000	188	64	217
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$211,248,000	\$222,452,000	\$11,204,000	1,030	216	616

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
DELAWARE	DOVER	1,267,000	1,293,000	26,000	0	1	2
DELAWARE	WILMINGTON	23,600,000	24,403,000	803,000	43	14	65
DELAWARE	NEW CASTLE COUNTY	6,591,000	7,182,000	591,000	75	12	55
DELAWARE	DELAWARE (other areas)	10,246,000	10,631,000	385,000	37	9	24
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$41,704,000	\$43,509,000	\$1,805,000	155	35	146

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
FLORIDA	BOCA RATON	989,000	1,137,000	148,000	21	2	2
FLORIDA	BOYNTON BEACH	671,000	680,000	9,000	0	2	2
FLORIDA	BRADENTON	4,005,000	4,386,000	381,000	46	3	2
FLORIDA	CAPE CORAL	974,000	995,000	21,000	0	3	14
FLORIDA	CLEARWATER	4,642,000	4,999,000	357,000	43	5	4
FLORIDA	COCOA	397,000	403,000	6,000	0	1	1
FLORIDA	CORAL SPRINGS	862,000	873,000	11,000	0	3	3
FLORIDA	DAVIE	613,000	621,000	8,000	0	2	2
FLORIDA	DAYTONA BEACH	8,461,000	8,796,000	335,000	26	5	6
FLORIDA	DELRAY BEACH	2,135,000	2,321,000	186,000	23	3	3
FLORIDA	FT LAUDERDALE	13,949,000	14,595,000	646,000	52	12	43
FLORIDA	FT MYERS	8,224,000	8,763,000	539,000	55	4	20
FLORIDA	FORT PIERCE	4,755,000	5,062,000	307,000	33	4	3
FLORIDA	FORT WALTON BEACH	1,493,000	1,656,000	163,000	21	1	1
FLORIDA	GAINESVILLE	6,293,000	6,705,000	412,000	47	7	8
FLORIDA	HIALEAH	14,076,000	14,959,000	883,000	101	24	88
FLORIDA	HOLLYWOOD	3,020,000	3,193,000	173,000	22	7	7
FLORIDA	JACKSONVILLE-DUVAL	37,647,000	39,967,000	2,320,000	249	38	133
FLORIDA	LAKELAND	6,241,000	6,586,000	345,000	35	4	3
FLORIDA	LARGO	639,000	647,000	8,000	0	2	2
FLORIDA	LAUDERHILL	824,000	835,000	11,000	0	3	3
FLORIDA	MARGATE	508,000	514,000	6,000	0	2	2
FLORIDA	MELBOURNE	878,000	890,000	12,000	0	3	3
FLORIDA	MIAMI	29,313,000	29,778,000	465,000	0	57	72

FLORIDA	MIAMI BEACH	8,715,000	9,597,000	882,000	117	12	45
FLORIDA	NAPLES	177,000	180,000	3,000	0	1	1
FLORIDA	NORTH MIAMI	1,313,000	1,330,000	17,000	0	5	5
FLORIDA	OCALA	2,668,000	3,035,000	367,000	49	3	3
FLORIDA	ORLANDO	14,644,000	15,572,000	928,000	97	11	39
FLORIDA	PALM BAY	766,000	776,000	10,000	0	3	3
FLORIDA	PANAMA CITY	2,480,000	2,655,000	175,000	19	2	2
FLORIDA	PEMBROKE PINES	751,000	761,000	10,000	0	3	3
FLORIDA	PENSACOLA	4,753,000	5,353,000	600,000	79	5	4
FLORIDA	PLANTATION	580,000	588,000	8,000	0	2	2
FLORIDA	POMPANO BEACH	2,832,000	3,088,000	256,000	34	6	5
FLORIDA	PORT ST LUCIE	568,000	576,000	8,000	0	2	2
FLORIDA	PUNTA GORDA	859,000	878,000	19,000	0	0	0
FLORIDA	ST PETERSBURG	11,475,000	12,277,000	802,000	94	13	45
FLORIDA	SARASOTA	5,395,000	5,665,000	270,000	27	3	3
FLORIDA	SUNRISE	735,000	745,000	10,000	0	3	3
FLORIDA	TALLAHASSEE	7,756,000	8,211,000	455,000	49	10	32
FLORIDA	TAMPA	45,844,000	47,913,000	2,069,000	168	22	81
FLORIDA	TITUSVILLE	522,000	529,000	7,000	0	2	2
FLORIDA	WEST PALM BEACH	9,664,000	10,295,000	631,000	64	5	5
FLORIDA	WINTERHAVEN	1,098,000	1,120,000	22,000	0	1	1
FLORIDA	BREVARD COUNTY	9,199,000	9,681,000	482,000	51	8	8
FLORIDA	BROWARD COUNTY	15,549,000	16,798,000	1,249,000	155	28	101
FLORIDA	DADE COUNTY	121,088,000	126,943,000	5,855,000	421	101	497
FLORIDA	ESCAMBIA COUNTY	5,584,000	5,649,000	65,000	0	12	42
FLORIDA	HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY	12,491,000	13,217,000	726,000	84	28	104
FLORIDA	LEE COUNTY	4,188,000	4,268,000	80,000	0	9	48

FLORIDA	ORANGE COUNTY	11,535,000	12,023,000	488,000	49	25	90
FLORIDA	PALM BEACH COUNTY	15,783,000	16,656,000	873,000	96	30	104
FLORIDA	PASCO COUNTY	6,504,000	6,865,000	361,000	41	14	46
FLORIDA	PINELLAS COUNTY	11,058,000	12,042,000	984,000	125	15	52
FLORIDA	POLK COUNTY	6,786,000	6,881,000	95,000	0	18	61
FLORIDA	SARASOTA COUNTY	1,967,000	1,994,000	27,000	0	7	7
FLORIDA	SEMINOLE COUNTY	3,652,000	3,714,000	62,000	0	12	40
FLORIDA	VOLUSIA COUNTY	8,176,000	8,511,000	335,000	34	14	58
FLORIDA	FLORIDA (other areas)	96,737,000	99,341,000	2,604,000	186	151	489
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$605,501,000	\$635,088,000	\$29,587,000	2,810	787	2,458

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
GEORGIA	ALBANY	4,269,000	4,330,000	61,000	0	8	6
GEORGIA	ATHENS-CLARKE	10,256,000	10,476,000	220,000	0	7	33
GEORGIA	ATLANTA	73,460,000	77,686,000	4,226,000	421	55	201
GEORGIA	AUGUSTA	16,977,000	17,869,000	892,000	87	13	45
GEORGIA	COLUMBUS-MUSCOGEE	19,200,000	19,959,000	759,000	57	12	43
GEORGIA	MACON	15,962,000	16,769,000	807,000	76	9	10

GEORGIA	MARIETTA	5,489,000	6,076,000	587,000	73	3	4
GEORGIA	ROSWELL	353,000	358,000	5,000	0	1	1
GEORGIA	SAVANNAH	21,495,000	22,352,000	857,000	63	15	71
GEORGIA	WARNER ROBINS	1,768,000	1,803,000	35,000	0	2	2
GEORGIA	CLAYTON COUNTY	2,136,000	2,164,000	28,000	0	8	7
GEORGIA	COBB COUNTY	8,421,000	8,514,000	93,000	0	13	66
GEORGIA	DE KALB COUNTY	14,627,000	15,772,000	1,145,000	141	23	82
GEORGIA	FULTON COUNTY	11,018,000	11,361,000	343,000	16	12	43
GEORGIA	GWINNETT COUNTY	3,702,000	3,765,000	63,000	0	12	40
GEORGIA	GEORGIA (other areas)	157,690,000	163,866,000	6,176,000	539	194	456
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$366,823,000	\$383,120,000	\$16,297,000	1,473	387	1,110

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED
HAWAII	HONOLULU	26,506,000	28,064,000	1,558,000	182	59	197
HAWAII	HAWAII (other areas)	34,610,000	36,832,000	2,222,000	242	22	51
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$61,116,000	\$64,896,000	\$3,780,000	425	81	249

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
IDAHO	BOISE	3,771,000	4,258,000	487,000	68	6	5
IDAHO	NAMPA	1,589,000	1,871,000	282,000	40	2	2
IDAHO	POCATELLO	1,175,000	1,323,000	148,000	20	3	3
IDAHO	IDAHO (other areas)	21,678,000	22,814,000	1,136,000	133	42	108
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$28,213,000	\$30,266,000	\$2,053,000	261	53	119

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
ILLINOIS	EVANSTON	3,740,000	3,796,000	56,000	0	11	36
ILLINOIS	JOLIET	8,611,000	9,013,000	402,000	35	5	12
ILLINOIS	KANKAKEE	2,740,000	2,963,000	223,000	27	3	3
ILLINOIS	MOLINE	3,745,000	3,821,000	76,000	0	5	4
ILLINOIS	MOUNT PROSPECT	443,000	449,000	6,000	0	2	2
ILLINOIS	NAPERVILLE	522,000	529,000	7,000	0	2	2
ILLINOIS	NORMAL	622,000	630,000	8,000	0	2	2

ILLINOIS	NORTH CHICAGO	1,276,000	1,422,000	146,000	19	2	1
ILLINOIS	OAK LAWN	402,000	407,000	5,000	0	1	1
ILLINOIS	OAK PARK	4,372,000	4,581,000	209,000	20	10	37
ILLINOIS	PEKIN	654,000	663,000	9,000	0	2	3
ILLINOIS	PEORIA	15,650,000	16,378,000	728,000	64	11	43
ILLINOIS	RANTOUL	520,000	527,000	7,000	0	2	2
ILLINOIS	ROCKFORD	20,213,000	20,992,000	779,000	54	12	55
ILLINOIS	ROCK ISLAND	7,604,000	7,861,000	257,000	15	7	6
ILLINOIS	SCHAUMBURG VILLAGE	475,000	482,000	7,000	0	2	2
ILLINOIS	SKOKIE	776,000	786,000	10,000	0	3	3
ILLINOIS	SPRINGFIELD	7,493,000	7,895,000	402,000	41	7	6
ILLINOIS	URBANA	5,252,000	5,591,000	339,000	39	2	2
ILLINOIS	WAUKEGAN	3,450,000	3,680,000	230,000	25	4	3
ILLINOIS	WHEATON CITY	410,000	416,000	6,000	0	2	1
ILLINOIS	COOK COUNTY	38,341,000	41,660,000	3,319,000	421	57	204
ILLINOIS	DU PAGE COUNTY	8,914,000	9,609,000	695,000	90	16	55
ILLINOIS	KANE COUNTY	1,374,000	1,392,000	18,000	0	5	4
ILLINOIS	LAKE COUNTY	8,872,000	9,633,000	761,000	96	13	42
ILLINOIS	MCHENRY COUNTY	2,930,000	3,234,000	304,000	40	6	11
ILLINOIS	MADISON COUNTY	15,308,000	15,872,000	564,000	42	17	56
ILLINOIS	ST CLAIR COUNTY	11,414,000	12,214,000	800,000	91	10	35
ILLINOIS	WILL COUNTY	3,067,000	3,111,000	44,000	0	8	20
ILLINOIS	ILLINOIS (other areas)	124,807,000	127,351,000	2,544,000	90	170	529
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$808,802,000	\$835,439,000	\$26,637,000	1,787	962	3,097

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
INDIANA	ANDERSON	3,816,000	4,204,000	388,000	51	5	5
INDIANA	BLOOMINGTON	3,857,000	4,264,000	407,000	55	5	4
INDIANA	EAST CHICAGO	6,992,000	7,310,000	318,000	30	8	7
INDIANA	ELKHART	3,573,000	3,781,000	208,000	21	4	4
INDIANA	EVANSVILLE	12,808,000	13,483,000	675,000	68	16	55
INDIANA	FORT WAYNE	10,065,000	10,725,000	660,000	75	15	53
INDIANA	GARY	26,647,000	28,077,000	1,430,000	44	21	71
INDIANA	GOSHEN	402,000	407,000	5,000	0	1	1
INDIANA	HAMMOND	7,122,000	7,412,000	290,000	26	13	43
INDIANA	INDIANAPOLIS	36,811,000	39,116,000	2,305,000	258	52	203
INDIANA	KOKOMO	4,024,000	4,294,000	270,000	30	5	5
INDIANA	LAFAYETTE	2,519,000	2,772,000	253,000	35	4	4
INDIANA	MISHAWAKA	715,000	725,000	10,000	0	3	2
INDIANA	MUNCIE	5,830,000	6,118,000	288,000	30	8	7
INDIANA	NEW ALBANY	4,850,000	4,954,000	104,000	0	4	4
INDIANA	SOUTH BEND	12,363,000	13,059,000	696,000	73	16	55
INDIANA	TERRE HAUTE	8,728,000	9,145,000	417,000	38	11	39
INDIANA	WEST LAFAYETTE	615,000	623,000	8,000	0	2	2

INDIANA	LAKE COUNTY	2,645,000	2,672,000	27,000	0	8	6
INDIANA	INDIANA (other areas)	83,302,000	86,694,000	3,392,000	345	164	430
INDIANA	CNSRT-ELKHART COUNTY	476,000	476,000	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$238,160,000	\$250,311,000	\$12,151,000	1,178	365	1,000

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
IOWA	CEDAR FALLS	780,000	889,000	109,000	15	2	2
IOWA	CEDAR RAPIDS	3,508,000	3,891,000	383,000	53	7	7
IOWA	COUNCIL BLUFFS	2,031,000	2,210,000	179,000	23	6	5
IOWA	DAVENPORT	4,415,000	4,648,000	233,000	26	10	35
IOWA	DES MOINES	12,806,000	13,818,000	1,012,000	125	23	76
IOWA	DUBUQUE	3,201,000	3,729,000	528,000	74	6	6
IOWA	IOWA CITY	2,952,000	3,310,000	358,000	50	4	4
IOWA	SIOUX CITY	4,804,000	5,166,000	362,000	45	11	35
IOWA	WATERLOO	3,787,000	4,085,000	298,000	39	8	8
IOWA	IOWA (other areas)	53,779,000	55,665,000	1,886,000	192	135	339
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$92,063,000	\$97,411,000	\$5,348,000	642	211	517

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
KANSAS	KANSAS CITY	16,194,000	16,762,000	568,000	38	15	49
KANSAS	LAWRENCE	3,192,000	3,361,000	169,000	19	5	4
KANSAS	LEAVENWORTH	682,000	692,000	10,000	0	2	2
KANSAS	OVERLAND PARK	769,000	779,000	10,000	0	3	2
KANSAS	TOPEKA	8,015,000	8,443,000	428,000	44	11	37
KANSAS	WICHITA	11,445,000	12,189,000	744,000	88	17	57
KANSAS	JOHNSON COUNTY	3,911,000	4,326,000	415,000	57	7	6
KANSAS	KANSAS (other areas)	44,399,000	45,252,000	853,000	36	91	211
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$88,607,000	\$91,804,000	\$3,197,000	282	151	367

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained	ADDITIONAL HOUSING	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELES
-------	-----------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------

				for New Activities	VOUCHER S ²		S SERVED ⁴
KENTUCKY	ASHLAND	2,529,000	2,724,000	195,000	23	4	4
KENTUCKY	COVINGTON	9,252,000	9,761,000	509,000	50	10	50
KENTUCKY	HENDERSON	1,530,000	1,753,000	223,000	30	2	2
KENTUCKY	HOPKINSVILLE	1,968,000	2,133,000	165,000	20	2	2
KENTUCKY	LEXINGTON-FAYETTE	13,820,000	14,473,000	653,000	60	12	48
KENTUCKY	LOUISVILLE	51,154,000	53,180,000	2,026,000	154	54	218
KENTUCKY	OWENSBORO	3,330,000	3,390,000	60,000	0	3	4
KENTUCKY	JEFFERSON COUNTY	9,502,000	10,652,000	1,150,000	155	14	58
KENTUCKY	KENTUCKY (other areas)	112,404,000	117,848,000	5,444,000	567	152	356
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$205,489,000	\$215,914,000	\$10,425,000	1,059	253	741

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHER S ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
LOUISIANA	ALEXANDRIA	4,984,000	5,317,000	333,000	38	5	5
LOUISIANA	BATON ROUGE	15,825,000	16,538,000	713,000	70	26	88
LOUISIANA	BOSSIER CITY	1,671,000	1,702,000	31,000	0	3	3

LOUISIANA	HOUMA-TERREBO NNE	5,415,000	5,624,000	209,000	19	9	8
LOUISIANA	KENNER	2,135,000	2,340,000	205,000	27	5	4
LOUISIANA	LAFAYETTE	7,376,000	7,707,000	331,000	31	11	39
LOUISIANA	LAKE CHARLES	6,338,000	7,013,000	675,000	88	6	5
LOUISIANA	MONROE	6,258,000	6,852,000	594,000	75	7	7
LOUISIANA	NEW ORLEANS	78,087,000	81,741,000	3,654,000	338	89	317
LOUISIANA	SHREVEPORT	11,762,000	12,547,000	785,000	91	17	64
LOUISIANA	SLIDELL	1,067,000	1,179,000	112,000	14	1	1
LOUISIANA	THIBODAUX	398,000	403,000	5,000	0	1	1
LOUISIANA	JEFFERSON PARISH	12,063,000	12,778,000	715,000	84	23	76
LOUISIANA	LOUISIANA (other areas)	95,638,000	98,078,000	2,440,000	151	165	411
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$249,017,000	\$259,819,000	\$10,802,000	1,027	367	1,028

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
MAINE	AUBURN	1,956,000	2,116,000	160,000	20	3	3
MAINE	BANGOR	4,655,000	4,850,000	195,000	16	6	5
MAINE	LEWISTON	4,019,000	4,322,000	303,000	35	6	5
MAINE	PORTLAND	10,607,000	11,258,000	651,000	69	11	37

MAINE	MAINE (other areas)	42,865,000	45,295,000	2,430,000	278	73	171
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$64,102,000	\$67,841,000	\$3,739,000	418	99	221

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
MARYLAND	ANNAPOLIS	6,739,000	6,893,000	154,000	0	2	2
MARYLAND	BALTIMORE	198,518,000	205,459,000	6,941,000	421	132	471
MARYLAND	CUMBERLAND	3,275,000	3,337,000	62,000	0	6	6
MARYLAND	FREDERICK	2,362,000	2,542,000	180,000	21	2	2
MARYLAND	HAGERSTOWN	5,759,000	6,162,000	403,000	44	5	5
MARYLAND	ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY	9,852,000	10,380,000	528,000	53	11	42
MARYLAND	BALTIMORE COUNTY	12,878,000	14,366,000	1,488,000	202	22	73
MARYLAND	HARFORD COUNTY	2,962,000	3,220,000	258,000	34	6	6
MARYLAND	HOWARD COUNTY	2,096,000	2,300,000	204,000	26	5	10
MARYLAND	MONTGOMERY COUNTY	24,059,000	25,748,000	1,689,000	185	26	198
MARYLAND	PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY	17,409,000	18,662,000	1,253,000	151	30	111

MARYLAND	MARYLAND (other areas)	27,116,000	28,703,000	1,587,000	192	41	122
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$313,025,000	\$327,772,000	\$14,747,000	1,329	288	1,045

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
MASSACHUSETTS	ARLINGTON	2,162,000	2,319,000	157,000	20	7	6
MASSACHUSETTS	ATTLEBORO	686,000	695,000	9,000	0	3	2
MASSACHUSETTS	BARNSTABLE	995,000	1,124,000	129,000	18	2	2
MASSACHUSETTS	BOSTON	157,768,000	164,436,000	6,668,000	419	112	511
MASSACHUSETTS	BROCKTON	8,947,000	9,350,000	403,000	37	8	7
MASSACHUSETTS	BROOKLINE	4,852,000	5,125,000	273,000	29	8	7
MASSACHUSETTS	CAMBRIDGE	19,350,000	20,271,000	921,000	82	18	63
MASSACHUSETTS	CHICOPEE	3,244,000	3,417,000	173,000	18	7	6
MASSACHUSETTS	FALL RIVER	14,379,000	15,300,000	921,000	101	16	53
MASSACHUSETTS	FITCHBURG	2,588,000	2,620,000	32,000	0	6	8
MASSACHUSETTS	FRAMINGHAM	1,798,000	2,019,000	221,000	30	3	2

MASSACHUSETTS	GLOUCESTER	2,045,000	2,234,000	189,000	24	4	3
MASSACHUSETTS	HAVERTHILL	1,564,000	1,584,000	20,000	0	6	5
MASSACHUSETTS	HOLYOKE	9,555,000	10,017,000	462,000	45	8	7
MASSACHUSETTS	LAWRENCE	10,849,000	11,315,000	466,000	40	10	49
MASSACHUSETTS	LEOMINSTER	678,000	688,000	10,000	0	2	3
MASSACHUSETTS	LOWELL	16,932,000	17,548,000	616,000	40	13	73
MASSACHUSETTS	LYNN	9,720,000	10,229,000	509,000	52	15	56
MASSACHUSETTS	MALDEN	8,065,000	8,376,000	311,000	31	8	7
MASSACHUSETTS	MEDFORD	5,590,000	5,875,000	285,000	27	9	32
MASSACHUSETTS	NEW BEDFORD	16,096,000	16,866,000	770,000	72	16	54
MASSACHUSETTS	NEWTON	4,745,000	4,807,000	62,000	0	12	40
MASSACHUSETTS	NORTHAMPTON	1,314,000	1,334,000	20,000	0	4	4
MASSACHUSETTS	PITTSFIELD	3,295,000	3,517,000	222,000	26	8	8
MASSACHUSETTS	QUINCY	7,434,000	7,818,000	384,000	39	11	40
MASSACHUSETTS	SALEM	2,552,000	2,773,000	221,000	28	6	5
MASSACHUSETTS	SOMERVILLE	8,108,000	8,554,000	446,000	48	16	59
MASSACHUSETTS	SPRINGFIELD	15,684,000	16,543,000	859,000	92	23	76
MASSACHUSETTS	TAUNTON	2,970,000	3,167,000	197,000	22	5	4
MASSACHUSETTS	WALTHAM	2,379,000	2,552,000	173,000	21	6	5
MASSACHUSETTS	WESTFIELD	620,000	628,000	8,000	0	2	2
MASSACHUSETTS	WEYMOUTH	1,345,000	1,366,000	21,000	0	4	3
MASSACHUSETTS	WORCESTER	22,850,000	23,792,000	942,000	75	26	120
MASSACHUSETTS	YARMOUTH	245,000	248,000	3,000	0	1	1
MASSACHUSETTS	MASSACHUSETTS	85,527,000	90,808,000	5,281,000	636	170	499

	(other areas)						
MASSACHUSETTS	CNSRT-PEABODY	2,041,000	2,041,000	0	0	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	CNSRT-BARNSTABLE COUNTY	729,000	729,000	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$459,701,000	\$482,085,000	\$22,384,000	2,071	573	1,821

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
MICHIGAN	ANN ARBOR	5,032,000	5,359,000	327,000	36	6	32
MICHIGAN	BATTLE CREEK	3,808,000	3,956,000	148,000	14	8	6
MICHIGAN	BAY CITY	4,727,000	4,806,000	79,000	0	8	8
MICHIGAN	BENTON HARBOR	3,358,000	3,429,000	71,000	0	3	3
MICHIGAN	CANTON TWP	517,000	524,000	7,000	0	2	2
MICHIGAN	CLINTON TWP	1,128,000	1,146,000	18,000	0	3	2
MICHIGAN	DEARBORN	4,136,000	4,211,000	75,000	0	11	38
MICHIGAN	DEARBORN HEIGHTS	1,448,000	1,467,000	19,000	0	5	5
MICHIGAN	DETROIT	105,747,000	108,610,000	2,863,000	187	231	847

MICHIGAN	EAST LANSING	1,055,000	1,069,000	14,000	0	4	3
MICHIGAN	FARMINGT ON HILLS	516,000	523,000	7,000	0	2	2
MICHIGAN	FLINT	15,934,000	16,485,000	551,000	43	25	84
MICHIGAN	GRAND RAPIDS	11,499,000	12,144,000	645,000	72	21	80
MICHIGAN	HOLLAND	519,000	526,000	7,000	0	2	2
MICHIGAN	JACKSON	4,658,000	4,845,000	187,000	18	8	8
MICHIGAN	KALAMAZ OO	4,225,000	4,284,000	59,000	0	10	39
MICHIGAN	LANSING	9,976,000	10,369,000	393,000	33	11	40
MICHIGAN	LINCOLN PARK	1,311,000	1,330,000	19,000	0	4	4
MICHIGAN	LIVONIA	1,327,000	1,492,000	165,000	22	2	2
MICHIGAN	MIDLAND	409,000	415,000	6,000	0	2	2
MICHIGAN	MUSKEGO N	3,027,000	3,069,000	42,000	0	6	6
MICHIGAN	MUSKEGO N HTS	1,917,000	1,955,000	38,000	0	3	3
MICHIGAN	NORTON SHORES	211,000	214,000	3,000	0	1	1
MICHIGAN	PONTIAC	7,376,000	7,649,000	273,000	22	9	23
MICHIGAN	PORTAGE	320,000	325,000	5,000	0	1	1
MICHIGAN	PORT HURON	3,290,000	3,449,000	159,000	17	5	5
MICHIGAN	REDFORD	1,617,000	1,762,000	145,000	19	5	4
MICHIGAN	ROCHEST ER HILLS	403,000	408,000	5,000	0	1	1
MICHIGAN	ROSEVILL E	1,076,000	1,186,000	110,000	14	2	2
MICHIGAN	ROYAL OAK	1,875,000	1,900,000	25,000	0	7	7
MICHIGAN	SAGINAW	9,512,000	9,928,000	416,000	39	15	54
MICHIGAN	ST CLAIR SHORES	1,628,000	1,654,000	26,000	0	5	5
MICHIGAN	SOUTHFIE LD	725,000	735,000	10,000	0	3	3
MICHIGAN	STERLING HEIGHTS	1,175,000	1,194,000	19,000	0	3	3
MICHIGAN	TAYLOR	1,521,000	1,666,000	145,000	19	4	3
MICHIGAN	TROY CITY	503,000	510,000	7,000	0	2	2
MICHIGAN	WARREN	1,831,000	1,849,000	18,000	0	5	4

MICHIGAN	WATERFORD TOWNSHIP	591,000	599,000	8,000	0	2	2
MICHIGAN	WESTLAND	2,074,000	2,207,000	133,000	17	5	4
MICHIGAN	WYOMING	1,526,000	1,689,000	163,000	21	2	2
MICHIGAN	GENESEE COUNTY	4,985,000	5,050,000	65,000	0	12	42
MICHIGAN	KENT COUNTY	2,054,000	2,082,000	28,000	0	8	8
MICHIGAN	MACOMB COUNTY	2,987,000	3,017,000	30,000	0	9	7
MICHIGAN	OAKLAND COUNTY	7,723,000	7,840,000	117,000	0	18	68
MICHIGAN	WAYNE COUNTY	11,634,000	11,838,000	204,000	0	17	56
MICHIGAN	MICHIGAN (other areas)	128,493,000	134,529,000	6,036,000	653	198	663
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$381,404,000	\$395,294,000	\$13,890,000	1,246	717	2,186

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
MINNESOTA	BLOOMINGTON		1,164,000	1,333,000	169,000	23	2
MINNESOTA	DULUTH		9,530,000	10,055,000	525,000	55	16
MINNESOTA	MINNEAPOLIS		69,677,000	72,961,000	3,284,000	200	76
MINNESOTA	MOORHEAD		834,000	848,000	14,000	0	2
MINNESOTA	PLYMOUTH		382,000	387,000	5,000	0	1
MINNESOTA	ROCHESTER		1,250,000	1,387,000	137,000	18	3

MINNESOTA	ST CLOUD	1,519,000	1,728,000	209,000	29	3	3
MINNESOTA	ST PAUL	43,473,000	46,945,000	3,472,000	409	45	162
MINNESOTA	ANOKA COUNTY	2,279,000	2,311,000	32,000	0	8	9
MINNESOTA	DAKOTA COUNTY	7,216,000	7,870,000	654,000	89	9	8
MINNESOTA	HENNEPIN COUNTY	6,723,000	6,811,000	88,000	0	16	58
MINNESOTA	RAMSEY COUNTY	1,750,000	1,773,000	23,000	0	6	6
MINNESOTA	ST LOUIS COUNTY	5,620,000	5,708,000	88,000	0	14	48
MINNESOTA	MINNESOTA (other areas)	60,800,000	62,597,000	1,797,000	139	113	286
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$212,217,000	\$222,714,000	\$10,497,000	962	315	922

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
MISSISSIPPI	BILOXI	4,452,000	4,548,000	96,000	0	3	3
MISSISSIPPI	GULFPORT	11,070,000	12,371,000	1,301,000	166	5	4
MISSISSIPPI	HATTIESBURG	2,698,000	2,738,000	40,000	0	5	4
MISSISSIPPI	JACKSON	11,756,000	13,021,000	1,265,000	167	16	55
MISSISSIPPI	MOSS POINT	403,000	409,000	6,000	0	2	1
MISSISSIPPI	PASCAGOULA	522,000	529,000	7,000	0	2	2
MISSISSIPPI	MISSISSIPPI (other areas)	104,150,000	107,853,000	3,703,000	322	168	369
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$135,051,000	\$141,469,000	\$6,418,000	655	200	437

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
MISSOURI	COLUMBIA	5,525,000	6,047,000	522,000	66	5	4
MISSOURI	FLORISSANT	348,000	352,000	4,000	0	1	1
MISSOURI	INDEPENDENCE	3,392,000	3,639,000	247,000	30	5	4
MISSOURI	JOPLIN	2,193,000	2,459,000	266,000	36	4	5
MISSOURI	KANSAS CITY	41,119,000	43,545,000	2,426,000	240	51	369
MISSOURI	LEES SUMMIT	425,000	431,000	6,000	0	2	1
MISSOURI	ST CHARLES	676,000	687,000	11,000	0	2	2
MISSOURI	ST JOSEPH	5,335,000	5,680,000	345,000	40	11	35
MISSOURI	ST LOUIS	95,233,000	99,382,000	4,149,000	236	125	754
MISSOURI	SPRINGFIELD	7,638,000	8,130,000	492,000	54	8	45
MISSOURI	ST LOUIS COUNTY	18,829,000	20,495,000	1,666,000	212	29	98
MISSOURI	MISSOURI (other areas)	79,974,000	84,021,000	4,047,000	450	129	319
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$260,687,000	\$274,868,000	\$14,181,000	1,365	372	1,638

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
MONTANA	BILLINGS	2,760,000	2,889,000	129,000	14	4	4
MONTANA	GREAT FALLS	3,603,000	3,665,000	62,000	0	5	4
MONTANA	MISSOULA	829,000	840,000	11,000	0	3	3
MONTANA	MONTANA (other areas)	41,482,000	43,250,000	1,768,000	154	35	92
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$48,674,000	\$50,644,000	\$1,970,000	168	47	103

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
NEBRASKA	LINCOLN	7,309,000	8,260,000	951,000	129	10	47
NEBRASKA	OMAHA	25,628,000	27,346,000	1,718,000	194	29	103
NEBRASKA	NEBRASKA (other areas)	31,209,000	32,034,000	825,000	59	67	152
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$64,146,000	\$67,640,000	\$3,494,000	382	105	302

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
NEVADA	HENDERSON	1,012,000	1,026,000	14,000	0	4	4
NEVADA	LAS VEGAS	20,981,000	21,913,000	932,000	72	18	75
NEVADA	NORTH LAS VEGAS	3,791,000	4,174,000	383,000	49	5	6
NEVADA	RENO	8,242,000	8,885,000	643,000	80	9	7
NEVADA	SPARKS	675,000	684,000	9,000	0	3	2
NEVADA	CLARK COUNTY	17,477,000	18,391,000	914,000	99	20	85
NEVADA	NEVADA (other areas)	16,159,000	16,753,000	594,000	50	13	52
NEVADA	CNSRT-LYON COUNTY	483,000	483,000	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$68,820,000	\$72,309,000	\$3,489,000	348	72	232

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
NEW HAMPSHIRE	DOVER	2,491,000	2,550,000	59,000	0	2	2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	MANCHESTER	11,685,000	12,323,000	638,000	62	10	46
NEW HAMPSHIRE	NASHUA	3,033,000	3,262,000	229,000	26	4	7
NEW HAMPSHIRE	PORTSMOUTH	2,518,000	2,569,000	51,000	0	3	4
NEW HAMPSHIRE	ROCHESTER	1,493,000	1,524,000	31,000	0	2	2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	NEW HAMPSHIRE (other areas)	23,311,000	24,557,000	1,246,000	143	45	126
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$44,531,000	\$46,785,000	\$2,254,000	231	65	187

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
NEW JERSEY	ASBURY PARK	6,743,000	6,896,000	153,000	0	2	2
NEW JERSEY	ATLANTIC CITY	19,500,000	20,132,000	632,000	33	9	8
NEW JERSEY	BAYONNE	10,992,000	11,232,000	240,000	0	10	34
NEW JERSEY	BLOOMFIELD	1,846,000	1,871,000	25,000	0	7	6

NEW JERSEY	BRICK TOWNSHIP	1,639,000	1,672,000	33,000	0	2	2
NEW JERSEY	BRIDGETON	2,841,000	2,900,000	59,000	0	3	2
NEW JERSEY	CAMDEN	31,814,000	32,524,000	710,000	0	17	105
NEW JERSEY	CHERRY HILL	526,000	533,000	7,000	0	2	2
NEW JERSEY	CLIFTON	2,258,000	2,288,000	30,000	0	8	7
NEW JERSEY	DOVER TOWNSHIP	908,000	922,000	14,000	0	2	2
NEW JERSEY	EAST ORANGE	8,054,000	8,398,000	344,000	32	9	9
NEW JERSEY	EDISON	1,689,000	1,721,000	32,000	0	3	2
NEW JERSEY	ELIZABETH	19,578,000	20,303,000	725,000	50	13	48
NEW JERSEY	GLOUCESTER TWP	1,312,000	1,338,000	26,000	0	1	1
NEW JERSEY	HAMILTON	777,000	787,000	10,000	0	3	2
NEW JERSEY	IRVINGTON	5,346,000	5,442,000	96,000	0	6	6
NEW JERSEY	JERSEY CITY	50,332,000	52,163,000	1,831,000	122	39	131
NEW JERSEY	LONG BRANCH	6,031,000	6,277,000	246,000	18	3	3
NEW JERSEY	MIDDLETON	854,000	870,000	16,000	0	2	1
NEW JERSEY	MILLVILLE	464,000	471,000	7,000	0	2	1
NEW JERSEY	NEWARK	66,669,000	68,898,000	2,229,000	127	52	186
NEW JERSEY	NEW BRUNSWICK	7,717,000	8,081,000	364,000	32	4	4
NEW JERSEY	NORTH BERGEN TOWNSHIP	5,747,000	5,993,000	246,000	20	5	4
NEW JERSEY	OLD BRIDGE TOWNSHIP	440,000	446,000	6,000	0	2	1
NEW JERSEY	PARSIPPANY-TROYHILLS	338,000	342,000	4,000	0	1	1

NEW JERSEY	PASSAIC	8,320,000	8,839,000	519,000	57	7	5
NEW JERSEY	PATERSON	25,142,000	26,393,000	1,251,000	113	15	50
NEW JERSEY	PERTH AMBOY	8,839,000	9,123,000	284,000	15	4	3
NEW JERSEY	SAYREVILLE	238,000	242,000	4,000	0	1	1
NEW JERSEY	TRENTON	19,862,000	20,275,000	413,000	0	17	58
NEW JERSEY	UNION CITY	5,475,000	5,755,000	280,000	27	7	6
NEW JERSEY	UNION	1,014,000	1,028,000	14,000	0	4	3
NEW JERSEY	VINELAND	4,177,000	4,339,000	162,000	14	3	2
NEW JERSEY	WAYNE TOWNSHIP	281,000	285,000	4,000	0	1	1
NEW JERSEY	WOODBURGE	3,600,000	3,682,000	82,000	0	3	3
NEW JERSEY	BERGEN COUNTY	29,982,000	31,504,000	1,522,000	157	56	189
NEW JERSEY	BURLINGTON COUNTY	3,305,000	3,342,000	37,000	0	9	11
NEW JERSEY	CAMDEN COUNTY	5,954,000	6,061,000	107,000	0	13	80
NEW JERSEY	ESSEX COUNTY	11,624,000	11,807,000	183,000	0	33	119
NEW JERSEY	GLOUCESTER COUNTY	5,997,000	6,541,000	544,000	70	8	7
NEW JERSEY	HUDSON COUNTY	25,634,000	26,514,000	880,000	63	23	76
NEW JERSEY	MIDDLESEX COUNTY	6,490,000	6,774,000	284,000	30	9	8
NEW JERSEY	MONMOUTH COUNTY	8,518,000	9,028,000	510,000	58	17	56
NEW JERSEY	MORRIS COUNTY	6,407,000	6,706,000	299,000	29	12	48
NEW JERSEY	OCEAN COUNTY	7,729,000	8,227,000	498,000	58	11	36
NEW JERSEY	SOMERSET COUNTY	2,394,000	2,420,000	26,000	0	7	7
NEW JERSEY	UNION COUNTY	15,864,000	16,334,000	470,000	28	28	107
NEW JERSEY	NEW JERSEY (other areas)	72,058,000	77,929,000	5,871,000	610	49	277

NEW JERSEY	CNSRT-MERCER COUNTY	548,000	548,000	0	0	0	0
NEW JERSEY	CNSRT-ATLANTIC COUNTY	573,000	573,000	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$534,440,000	\$556,769,000	\$22,329,000	1,763	543	1,722

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
NEW MEXICO	ALBUQUERQUE	15,884,000	17,264,000	1,380,000	175	24	86
NEW MEXICO	LAS CRUCES	3,683,000	4,036,000	353,000	46	5	5
NEW MEXICO	SANTA FE	1,673,000	1,840,000	167,000	22	3	3
NEW MEXICO	NEW MEXICO (other areas)	46,336,000	48,134,000	1,798,000	163	70	164
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$67,576,000	\$71,274,000	\$3,698,000	406	102	259

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
NEW YORK	ALBANY	20,723,000	21,492,000	769,000	54	20	73
NEW YORK	AMHERST TOWN	1,858,000	1,870,000	12,000	0	3	3
NEW YORK	AUBURN	1,767,000	1,793,000	26,000	0	6	5
NEW YORK	BABYLON TOWN	2,526,000	2,553,000	27,000	0	7	8
NEW YORK	BINGHAMTON	8,299,000	8,581,000	282,000	20	13	45
NEW YORK	BUFFALO	83,275,000	86,976,000	3,701,000	326	95	319
NEW YORK	CHEEKTOWAGA TOWN	1,045,000	1,059,000	14,000	0	4	3
NEW YORK	CLAY TOWN	427,000	432,000	5,000	0	2	1
NEW YORK	COLONIE TOWN	552,000	559,000	7,000	0	2	2
NEW YORK	DUNKIRK	1,741,000	1,773,000	32,000	0	3	3
NEW YORK	ELMIRA	4,276,000	4,344,000	68,000	0	8	6
NEW YORK	GLENS FALLS	1,876,000	2,065,000	189,000	24	3	2
NEW YORK	GREECE	621,000	629,000	8,000	0	2	2
NEW YORK	HAMBURG TOWN	583,000	590,000	7,000	0	2	2
NEW YORK	HUNTINGTON TOWN	1,384,000	1,403,000	19,000	0	5	6
NEW YORK	IRONDEQUOIT	1,263,000	1,279,000	16,000	0	5	4
NEW YORK	ISLIP TOWN	7,154,000	7,594,000	440,000	47	10	43
NEW YORK	JAMESTOWN	3,055,000	3,096,000	41,000	0	7	6
NEW YORK	MIDDLETOWN	827,000	838,000	11,000	0	3	3
NEW YORK	MOUNT VERNON	4,727,000	5,033,000	306,000	36	10	44
NEW YORK	NEWBURGH	2,144,000	2,283,000	139,000	16	5	5

NEW YORK	NEW ROCHELLE	4,922,000	5,292,000	370,000	46	9	11
NEW YORK	NEW YORK CITY	1,955,689,000	2,003,302,000	47,613,000	841	1,000	3,718
NEW YORK	NIAGARA FALLS	15,195,000	15,685,000	490,000	29	15	50
NEW YORK	POUGHKEEPSIE	3,768,000	4,003,000	235,000	26	6	5
NEW YORK	ROCHESTER	39,242,000	41,080,000	1,838,000	173	53	187
NEW YORK	ROME	2,506,000	2,652,000	146,000	16	6	5
NEW YORK	SARATOGA SPRINGS	1,465,000	1,494,000	29,000	0	2	2
NEW YORK	SCHENECTADY	12,507,000	13,200,000	693,000	73	14	51
NEW YORK	SYRACUSE	32,111,000	33,598,000	1,487,000	133	34	125
NEW YORK	TONAWANDA TOWN	2,473,000	2,506,000	33,000	0	9	8
NEW YORK	TROY	12,471,000	12,875,000	404,000	21	11	37
NEW YORK	UNION TOWN	1,987,000	2,013,000	26,000	0	7	6
NEW YORK	UTICA	11,785,000	12,264,000	479,000	41	18	59
NEW YORK	WEST SENECA	363,000	368,000	5,000	0	1	1
NEW YORK	WHITE PLAINS	1,875,000	2,019,000	144,000	18	5	7
NEW YORK	YONKERS	18,204,000	18,886,000	682,000	51	20	87
NEW YORK	DUTCHESS COUNTY	2,997,000	3,024,000	27,000	0	8	7
NEW YORK	ERIE COUNTY	8,752,000	8,900,000	148,000	0	15	50
NEW YORK	MONROE COUNTY	3,623,000	3,656,000	33,000	0	9	8
NEW YORK	NASSAU COUNTY	34,579,000	35,297,000	718,000	20	79	282
NEW YORK	ONONDAGA COUNTY	4,439,000	4,679,000	240,000	27	10	37
NEW YORK	ORANGE COUNTY	3,639,000	3,672,000	33,000	0	9	9
NEW YORK	ROCKLAND COUNTY	5,246,000	5,465,000	219,000	21	10	34

NEW YORK	SUFFOLK COUNTY	7,766,000	7,880,000	114,000	0	19	77
NEW YORK	WESTCHESTER COUNTY	12,645,000	12,863,000	218,000	0	30	130
NEW YORK	NEW YORK (other areas)	161,049,000	172,139,000	11,090,000	1,361	246	724
NEW YORK	CNSRT-JEFFERSON COUNTY	3,423,000	3,473,000	50,000	0	0	0
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$2,514,844,000	\$2,588,527,000	\$73,683,000	3,419	1,861	6,301

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
NORTH CAROLINA	ASHEVILLE	11,617,000	12,178,000	561,000	53	7	7
NORTH CAROLINA	BURLINGTON	1,758,000	1,795,000	37,000	0	2	2
NORTH CAROLINA	CHAPEL HILL	2,143,000	2,188,000	45,000	0	2	2
NORTH CAROLINA	CHARLOTTE	29,125,000	30,439,000	1,314,000	112	21	85
NORTH CAROLINA	CONCORD	2,179,000	2,320,000	141,000	18	1	1
NORTH CAROLINA	DURHAM	17,380,000	18,211,000	831,000	73	8	10
NORTH CAROLINA	FAYETTEVILLE	8,665,000	9,282,000	617,000	70	5	5
NORTH CAROLINA	GASTONIA	4,262,000	4,656,000	394,000	50	3	3
NORTH CAROLINA	GOLDSBORO	6,261,000	6,393,000	132,000	0	3	2

NORTH CAROLINA	GREENSBORO	19,406,000	20,180,000	774,000	61	9	9
NORTH CAROLINA	GREENVILLE	5,877,000	6,165,000	288,000	28	4	3
NORTH CAROLINA	HICKORY	2,660,000	2,979,000	319,000	41	1	1
NORTH CAROLINA	HIGH POINT	8,507,000	9,043,000	536,000	55	4	4
NORTH CAROLINA	JACKSONVILLE	1,133,000	1,308,000	175,000	25	2	2
NORTH CAROLINA	KANNAPOLIS	624,000	632,000	8,000	0	2	2
NORTH CAROLINA	LENOIR	2,099,000	2,124,000	25,000	0	1	1
NORTH CAROLINA	MORGANTON	1,376,000	1,407,000	31,000	0	1	1
NORTH CAROLINA	RALEIGH	14,815,000	15,626,000	811,000	80	11	38
NORTH CAROLINA	ROCKY MOUNT	5,655,000	5,931,000	276,000	27	3	3
NORTH CAROLINA	SALISBURY	2,054,000	2,098,000	44,000	0	2	2
NORTH CAROLINA	WILMINGTON	12,679,000	13,387,000	708,000	69	4	3
NORTH CAROLINA	WINSTON SALEM	19,821,000	21,246,000	1,425,000	160	8	7
NORTH CAROLINA	CUMBERLAND COUNTY	4,071,000	4,127,000	56,000	0	10	37
NORTH CAROLINA	WAKE COUNTY	3,753,000	3,810,000	57,000	0	8	7
NORTH CAROLINA	NORTH CAROLINA (other areas)	154,825,000	163,296,000	8,471,000	906	203	529
NORTH CAROLINA	CNSRT-SURRY COUNTY	547,000	547,000	0	0	0	0
NORTH CAROLINA	CNSRT-ORANGE COUNTY	451,000	451,000	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$343,743,000	\$361,819,000	\$18,076,000	1,825	325	764

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
NORTH DAKOTA	BISMARCK	2,023,000	2,326,000	303,000	41	2	2
NORTH DAKOTA	FARGO	2,503,000	2,845,000	342,000	47	4	3
NORTH DAKOTA	GRAND FORKS	1,542,000	1,849,000	307,000	44	3	2
NORTH DAKOTA	NORTH DAKOTA (other areas)	35,211,000	36,430,000	1,219,000	87	28	69
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$41,279,000	\$43,450,000	\$2,171,000	219	36	76

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
OHIO	AKRON	46,828,000	48,846,000	2,018,000	165	38	127
OHIO	ALLIANCE	1,039,000	1,053,000	14,000	0	4	4
OHIO	BARBERTON	1,144,000	1,159,000	15,000	0	4	4

OHIO	BOWLING GREEN	506,000	512,000	6,000	0	2	2
OHIO	CANTON	16,460,000	17,157,000	697,000	57	17	59
OHIO	CINCINNATI	81,646,000	85,180,000	3,534,000	199	75	277
OHIO	CLEVELAND	168,418,000	174,567,000	6,149,000	417	137	459
OHIO	CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	2,312,000	2,343,000	31,000	0	9	7
OHIO	COLUMBUS	48,968,000	52,306,000	3,338,000	280	39	153
OHIO	DAYTON	35,809,000	37,235,000	1,426,000	113	37	125
OHIO	EAST CLEVELAND	2,155,000	2,175,000	20,000	0	6	5
OHIO	ELYRIA	846,000	857,000	11,000	0	3	3
OHIO	EUCLID	1,455,000	1,475,000	20,000	0	5	5
OHIO	FAIRBORN	527,000	534,000	7,000	0	2	2
OHIO	HAMILTON CITY	2,837,000	2,866,000	29,000	0	8	7
OHIO	KENT	529,000	536,000	7,000	0	2	2
OHIO	KETTERING	596,000	604,000	8,000	0	2	2
OHIO	LAKEWOOD	3,547,000	3,608,000	61,000	0	12	39
OHIO	LANCASTER	1,722,000	1,951,000	229,000	31	3	3
OHIO	LIMA	2,258,000	2,282,000	24,000	0	7	6
OHIO	LORAIN	11,225,000	12,197,000	972,000	117	7	6
OHIO	MANSFIELD	3,079,000	3,535,000	456,000	65	5	4
OHIO	MARIETTA	654,000	663,000	9,000	0	2	2
OHIO	MASSILLON	1,129,000	1,144,000	15,000	0	4	4
OHIO	MENTOR	297,000	301,000	4,000	0	1	1
OHIO	MIDDLETON	1,395,000	1,558,000	163,000	22	4	3
OHIO	NEWARK	1,974,000	2,195,000	221,000	30	5	4
OHIO	PARMA	1,708,000	1,827,000	119,000	14	4	4
OHIO	SPRINGFIELD	7,720,000	8,156,000	436,000	47	11	38
OHIO	STEUBENVILLE	4,421,000	4,658,000	237,000	23	5	4
OHIO	TOLEDO	35,552,000	36,968,000	1,416,000	117	43	144
OHIO	WARREN	8,937,000	9,343,000	406,000	38	7	6
OHIO	YOUNGSTOWN	21,408,000	22,276,000	868,000	68	25	102
OHIO	BUTLER COUNTY	1,804,000	1,828,000	24,000	0	7	6

OHIO	CUYAHOGA COUNTY	7,120,000	7,197,000	77,000	0	15	49
OHIO	FRANKLIN COUNTY	9,395,000	9,857,000	462,000	44	10	39
OHIO	HAMILTON COUNTY	8,140,000	8,811,000	671,000	86	16	58
OHIO	LAKE COUNTY	3,946,000	4,272,000	326,000	42	7	6
OHIO	MONTGOMERY COUNTY	4,758,000	4,817,000	59,000	0	11	38
OHIO	STARK COUNTY	3,186,000	3,213,000	27,000	0	8	7
OHIO	SUMMIT COUNTY	2,658,000	2,685,000	27,000	0	8	6
OHIO	OHIO (other areas)	145,690,000	152,063,000	6,373,000	678	246	680
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$705,798,000	\$736,810,000	\$31,012,000	2,652	861	2,499

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the C

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
OKLAHOMA	BROKEN ARROW	586,000	594,000	8,000	0	2	2
OKLAHOMA	EDMOND	548,000	556,000	8,000	0	2	2
OKLAHOMA	ENID	867,000	878,000	11,000	0	3	3
OKLAHOMA	LAWTON	2,557,000	2,591,000	34,000	0	5	4
OKLAHOMA	MIDWEST CITY	724,000	734,000	10,000	0	3	2

OKLAHOMA	NORMAN	2,869,000	3,144,000	275,000	36	4	4
OKLAHOMA	OKLAHOMA CITY	28,200,000	29,643,000	1,443,000	142	28	95
OKLAHOMA	SHAWNEE	2,333,000	2,505,000	172,000	20	2	2
OKLAHOMA	TULSA	24,764,000	26,334,000	1,570,000	170	21	71
OKLAHOMA	OKLAHOMA (other areas)	78,330,000	81,960,000	3,630,000	362	93	221
OKLAHOMA	CNSRT-TULSA COUNTY	1,063,000	1,063,000	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$142,841,000	\$150,002,000	\$7,161,000	730	165	406

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
OREGON	ASHLAND	267,000	271,000	4,000	0	1	1
OREGON	BEAVERTON	596,000	605,000	9,000	0	2	3
OREGON	EUGENE	8,594,000	9,435,000	841,000	109	7	16
OREGON	GRESHAM	818,000	829,000	11,000	0	3	3
OREGON	MEDFORD	2,212,000	2,616,000	404,000	57	3	2
OREGON	PORTLAND	40,382,000	42,705,000	2,323,000	248	55	202
OREGON	SALEM	7,159,000	8,202,000	1,043,000	144	6	13
OREGON	SPRINGFIELD	927,000	943,000	16,000	0	3	7
OREGON	CLACKAMAS COUNTY	7,693,000	8,192,000	499,000	57	11	37

OREGON	MULTNOMAH COUNTY	464,000	471,000	7,000	0	2	2
OREGON	WASHINGTON COUNTY	7,211,000	7,802,000	591,000	73	11	45
OREGON	OREGON (other areas)	45,717,000	49,257,000	3,540,000	453	70	217
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$122,040,000	\$131,328,000	\$9,288,000	1,141	174	547

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
PENNSYLVANIA	ABINGTON	1,141,000	1,156,000	15,000	0	4	4
PENNSYLVANIA	ALLENTOWN	13,347,000	13,886,000	539,000	43	15	48
PENNSYLVANIA	ALTOONA	7,048,000	7,414,000	366,000	37	11	38
PENNSYLVANIA	BENSALEM TOWNSHIP	516,000	523,000	7,000	0	2	2
PENNSYLVANIA	BETHLEHEM	9,842,000	10,156,000	314,000	19	9	8
PENNSYLVANIA	BRISTOL TOWNSHIP	819,000	830,000	11,000	0	3	3
PENNSYLVANIA	CARLISLE	2,215,000	2,517,000	302,000	40	2	2
PENNSYLVANIA	CHESTER	13,370,000	14,011,000	641,000	57	9	9
PENNSYLVANIA	EASTON	4,584,000	4,677,000	93,000	0	5	5
PENNSYLVANIA	ERIE	16,877,000	17,501,000	624,000	43	20	107

PENNSYLVANIA	HARRISBURG	20,289,000	20,871,000	582,000	23	13	42
PENNSYLVANIA	HAVERTOWN	1,368,000	1,387,000	19,000	0	5	5
PENNSYLVANIA	HAZLETON	2,868,000	3,023,000	155,000	16	5	4
PENNSYLVANIA	JOHNSTOWN	13,903,000	14,351,000	448,000	23	10	32
PENNSYLVANIA	LANCASTER	7,061,000	7,419,000	358,000	36	10	34
PENNSYLVANIA	LEBANON	2,645,000	2,790,000	145,000	15	5	5
PENNSYLVANIA	LOWER MERION	1,692,000	1,714,000	22,000	0	6	5
PENNSYLVANIA	MCKEESPORT	9,779,000	10,132,000	353,000	23	7	7
PENNSYLVANIA	MILLCREEK TOWNSHIP	374,000	379,000	5,000	0	1	1
PENNSYLVANIA	NORRISTOWN	1,425,000	1,444,000	19,000	0	5	4
PENNSYLVANIA	PENN HILLS	899,000	911,000	12,000	0	3	3
PENNSYLVANIA	PHILADELPHIA	356,456,000	366,645,000	10,189,000	421	310	1,137
PENNSYLVANIA	PITTSBURGH	108,290,000	112,013,000	3,723,000	228	96	341
PENNSYLVANIA	READING	15,426,000	15,878,000	452,000	23	18	59
PENNSYLVANIA	SCRANTON	14,892,000	15,460,000	568,000	42	19	69
PENNSYLVANIA	SHARON	5,151,000	5,262,000	111,000	0	4	3
PENNSYLVANIA	STATE COLLEGE	1,567,000	1,583,000	16,000	0	4	4
PENNSYLVANIA	UPPER DARBY	3,446,000	3,508,000	62,000	0	11	41
PENNSYLVANIA	WILKES-BARRE	7,910,000	8,204,000	294,000	22	11	36
PENNSYLVANIA	WILLIAMS PORT	6,712,000	6,942,000	230,000	16	7	6
PENNSYLVANIA	YORK	8,958,000	9,522,000	564,000	62	9	8
PENNSYLVANIA	ALLEGHENY COUNTY	55,481,000	57,765,000	2,284,000	196	84	299
PENNSYLVANIA	BEAVER COUNTY	14,547,000	14,999,000	452,000	28	21	70
PENNSYLVANIA	BERKS COUNTY	6,204,000	6,471,000	267,000	26	14	47

PENNSYLVANIA	BUCKS COUNTY	8,486,000	9,215,000	729,000	92	12	40
PENNSYLVANIA	CHESTER COUNTY	10,033,000	10,563,000	530,000	54	14	56
PENNSYLVANIA	DELAWARE COUNTY	14,315,000	15,200,000	885,000	97	21	79
PENNSYLVANIA	LANCASTER COUNTY	7,076,000	7,406,000	330,000	35	17	57
PENNSYLVANIA	LUZERNE COUNTY	15,857,000	16,403,000	546,000	40	27	90
PENNSYLVANIA	MONTGOMERY COUNTY	11,247,000	11,922,000	675,000	75	18	62
PENNSYLVANIA	WASHINGTON COUNTY	13,048,000	13,530,000	482,000	39	24	89
PENNSYLVANIA	WESTMORELAND COUNTY	17,224,000	18,028,000	804,000	77	22	74
PENNSYLVANIA	YORK COUNTY	4,683,000	4,751,000	68,000	0	13	43
PENNSYLVANIA	PENNSYLVANIA (other areas)	162,072,000	168,514,000	6,442,000	617	260	680
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$1,001,143,000	\$1,036,876,000	\$35,733,000	2,564	1,187	3,759

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
SOUTH CAROLINA	AIKEN	2,095,000	2,238,000	143,000	15	1	1

SOUTH CAROLINA	ANDERSON	2,189,000	2,328,000	139,000	15	4	5
SOUTH CAROLINA	CHARLESTON	10,003,000	10,526,000	523,000	51	8	6
SOUTH CAROLINA	COLUMBIA	18,506,000	20,020,000	1,514,000	82	7	6
SOUTH CAROLINA	FLORENCE	5,788,000	6,096,000	308,000	28	2	2
SOUTH CAROLINA	GREENVILLE	9,182,000	9,922,000	740,000	87	6	7
SOUTH CAROLINA	MYRTLE BEACH	765,000	915,000	150,000	21	1	1
SOUTH CAROLINA	ROCK HILL	2,125,000	2,288,000	163,000	19	3	2
SOUTH CAROLINA	SPARTANBURG	9,388,000	9,890,000	502,000	48	4	5
SOUTH CAROLINA	SUMTER	2,404,000	2,656,000	252,000	32	3	2
SOUTH CAROLINA	CHARLESTON COUNTY	10,929,000	11,768,000	839,000	100	13	43
SOUTH CAROLINA	GREENVILLE COUNTY	4,682,000	4,753,000	71,000	0	12	48
SOUTH CAROLINA	SPARTANBURG COUNTY	1,929,000	1,956,000	27,000	0	7	8
SOUTH CAROLINA	SOUTH CAROLINA (other areas)	74,679,000	77,460,000	2,781,000	257	132	342
SOUTH CAROLINA	CNSRT-SUMTER COUNTY	1,066,000	1,066,000	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$155,730,000	\$163,882,000	\$8,152,000	756	202	478

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
SOUTH DAKOTA	RAPID CITY	3,131,000	3,461,000	330,000	42	3	2
SOUTH DAKOTA	SIOUX FALLS	3,044,000	3,474,000	430,000	60	4	8
SOUTH DAKOTA	SOUTH DAKOTA (other areas)	48,594,000	49,670,000	1,076,000	17	37	86
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$54,769,000	\$56,605,000	\$1,836,000	119	44	96

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
TENNESSEE	BRISTOL	1,228,000	1,254,000	26,000	0	1	1
TENNESSEE	CHATTANOOGA	24,381,000	25,304,000	923,000	62	11	46
TENNESSEE	CLARKSVILLE	3,393,000	3,452,000	59,000	0	4	3
TENNESSEE	JACKSON	6,638,000	6,966,000	328,000	30	3	3
TENNESSEE	JOHNSON CITY	4,841,000	5,081,000	240,000	21	3	2

TENNESSEE	KINGSPORT	3,141,000	3,428,000	287,000	35	2	2
TENNESSEE	KNOXVILLE	32,836,000	34,290,000	1,454,000	116	11	37
TENNESSEE	MEMPHIS	61,845,000	64,390,000	2,545,000	203	50	201
TENNESSEE	MURFREESBORO	1,824,000	2,027,000	203,000	27	3	2
TENNESSEE	NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	63,078,000	65,497,000	2,419,000	164	26	108
TENNESSEE	OAK RIDGE	696,000	709,000	13,000	0	1	1
TENNESSEE	KNOX COUNTY	6,209,000	7,072,000	863,000	24	6	5
TENNESSEE	SHELBY COUNTY	3,154,000	3,198,000	44,000	0	7	8
TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE (other areas)	107,184,000	111,113,000	3,929,000	331	134	333
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$320,448,000	\$333,781,000	\$13,333,000	1,013	264	753

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
TEXAS	ABILENE	3,559,000	3,791,000	232,000	28	6	5
TEXAS	AMARILLO	6,858,000	7,796,000	938,000	130	11	37
TEXAS	ARLINGTON	7,061,000	7,802,000	741,000	98	13	49
TEXAS	AUSTIN	28,645,000	29,973,000	1,328,000	122	37	166
TEXAS	BAYTOWN CITY	2,427,000	2,649,000	222,000	28	5	4
TEXAS	BEAUMONT	7,621,000	8,238,000	617,000	76	10	35
TEXAS	BROWNSVILLE	11,809,000	12,654,000	845,000	100	18	62

TEXAS	BRYAN	4,115,000	4,707,000	592,000	82	5	5
TEXAS	CARROLLT ON	898,000	910,000	12,000	0	3	3
TEXAS	COLLEGE STATION	2,005,000	2,025,000	20,000	0	5	5
TEXAS	CONROE	641,000	649,000	8,000	0	2	2
TEXAS	CORPUS CHRISTI	16,359,000	16,908,000	549,000	39	22	84
TEXAS	DALLAS	71,739,000	75,823,000	4,084,000	421	86	390
TEXAS	DENISON	569,000	577,000	8,000	0	2	2
TEXAS	DENTON	2,744,000	3,078,000	334,000	47	5	4
TEXAS	EDINBURG	2,824,000	3,082,000	258,000	32	4	3
TEXAS	EL PASO	42,488,000	44,895,000	2,407,000	162	56	187
TEXAS	FORT WORTH	23,378,000	25,101,000	1,723,000	206	35	120
TEXAS	GALVESTO N	7,360,000	7,787,000	427,000	46	8	7
TEXAS	GARLAND	3,841,000	4,149,000	308,000	41	9	8
TEXAS	GRAND PRAIRIE	3,084,000	3,461,000	377,000	52	6	5
TEXAS	HARLINGE N	4,192,000	4,420,000	228,000	25	6	5
TEXAS	HOUSTON	94,229,000	98,571,000	4,342,000	421	158	545
TEXAS	IRVING	3,923,000	3,976,000	53,000	0	10	34
TEXAS	KILLEEN	2,032,000	2,057,000	25,000	0	5	4
TEXAS	LAREDO	10,678,000	11,138,000	460,000	44	19	65
TEXAS	LEWISVILL E	577,000	585,000	8,000	0	2	2
TEXAS	LONGVIEW	2,217,000	2,419,000	202,000	27	5	4
TEXAS	LUBBOCK	8,102,000	8,460,000	358,000	35	14	48
TEXAS	MC ALLEN	5,499,000	5,847,000	348,000	41	12	39
TEXAS	MARSHALL	1,262,000	1,438,000	176,000	24	2	2
TEXAS	MESQUITE	2,183,000	2,520,000	337,000	47	5	4
TEXAS	MIDLAND	1,897,000	2,023,000	126,000	15	6	5
TEXAS	MISSION	2,475,000	2,720,000	245,000	31	4	4
TEXAS	MISSOURI CITY	376,000	381,000	5,000	0	1	1
TEXAS	NEW BRAUNFEL S	586,000	594,000	8,000	0	2	2
TEXAS	NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	445,000	451,000	6,000	0	2	1
TEXAS	ODESSA	3,660,000	3,971,000	311,000	40	7	6

TEXAS	ORANGE	2,985,000	3,267,000	282,000	35	2	2
TEXAS	PASADENA	3,971,000	4,295,000	324,000	43	9	8
TEXAS	PHARR	3,188,000	3,394,000	206,000	23	6	5
TEXAS	PLANO	1,314,000	1,333,000	19,000	0	5	4
TEXAS	PORT ARTHUR	5,826,000	6,452,000	626,000	83	8	7
TEXAS	RICHARDS ON	663,000	672,000	9,000	0	2	2
TEXAS	ROUND ROCK	604,000	613,000	9,000	0	2	2
TEXAS	SAN ANGELO	2,955,000	3,142,000	187,000	23	6	5
TEXAS	SAN ANTONIO	86,902,000	91,266,000	4,364,000	421	91	303
TEXAS	SAN BENITO	1,639,000	1,669,000	30,000	0	3	3
TEXAS	SAN MARCOS	1,199,000	1,218,000	19,000	0	3	3
TEXAS	SHERMAN	1,836,000	2,077,000	241,000	32	2	2
TEXAS	TEMPLE	916,000	928,000	12,000	0	3	3
TEXAS	TEXARKAN A	4,868,000	5,500,000	632,000	83	3	2
TEXAS	TEXAS CITY	772,000	782,000	10,000	0	3	3
TEXAS	TYLER	2,868,000	3,144,000	276,000	38	6	5
TEXAS	VICTORIA	2,758,000	2,913,000	155,000	16	4	4
TEXAS	WACO	8,221,000	8,817,000	596,000	71	10	33
TEXAS	WICHITA FALLS	5,351,000	5,649,000	298,000	33	8	7
TEXAS	BEXAR COUNTY	4,999,000	5,424,000	425,000	54	10	34
TEXAS	BRAZORIA COUNTY	2,969,000	3,001,000	32,000	0	9	8
TEXAS	DALLAS COUNTY	4,720,000	5,378,000	658,000	92	9	11
TEXAS	FORT BEND COUNTY	3,049,000	3,083,000	34,000	0	10	8
TEXAS	HARRIS COUNTY	20,149,000	20,867,000	718,000	65	51	177
TEXAS	HIDALGO COUNTY	15,800,000	16,255,000	455,000	33	39	132
TEXAS	MONTGOMERY COUNTY	2,137,000	2,165,000	28,000	0	8	7

TEXAS	TARRANT COUNTY	6,053,000	6,139,000	86,000	0	16	55
TEXAS	TEXAS (other areas)	212,742,000	219,244,000	6,502,000	530	380	1,071
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$805,812,000	\$846,313,000	\$40,501,000	4,135	1,319	3,854

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
UTAH	CLEARFIELD	403,000	408,000	5,000	0	1	1
UTAH	LAYTON	507,000	514,000	7,000	0	2	2
UTAH	OGDEN	3,823,000	4,100,000	277,000	35	7	6
UTAH	OREM	913,000	925,000	12,000	0	3	3
UTAH	PROVO	5,707,000	6,211,000	504,000	68	9	8
UTAH	SALT LAKE CITY	11,316,000	11,887,000	571,000	60	22	73
UTAH	SANDY CITY	681,000	690,000	9,000	0	3	2
UTAH	TAYLORSVILLE	566,000	573,000	7,000	0	2	2
UTAH	WEST JORDAN	558,000	566,000	8,000	0	2	2
UTAH	WEST VALLEY	1,835,000	1,995,000	160,000	21	5	4
UTAH	SALT LAKE COUNTY	9,659,000	10,278,000	619,000	73	14	47
UTAH	UTAH (other areas)	16,391,000	16,868,000	477,000	39	34	114
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$52,359,000	\$55,015,000	\$2,656,000	295	105	264

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²			ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³			ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
VERMONT	BURLINGTON		4,158,000	4,593,000	435,000	54	5	18			
VERMONT	VERMONT (other areas)		22,042,000	23,264,000	1,222,000	140	38	104			
	TOTAL FOR STATE		\$26,200,000	\$27,857,000	\$1,657,000	194	43	122			

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²			ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³			ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
VIRGINIA	ALEXANDRIA	7,336,000	7,959,000	623,000	75	5	14				
VIRGINIA	BRISTOL	1,840,000	1,879,000	39,000	0	2	1				
VIRGINIA	CHARLOTTESVILLE	1,600,000	1,611,000	11,000	0	3	3				
VIRGINIA	CHESAPEAKE	5,316,000	5,671,000	355,000	42	7	6				
VIRGINIA	COLONIAL HEIGHTS	134,000	135,000	1,000	0	0	0				
VIRGINIA	DANVILLE	4,641,000	4,914,000	273,000	30	5	5				
VIRGINIA	FREDERICKSBURG	297,000	301,000	4,000	0	1	1				

VIRGINIA	HAMPTON	9,827,000	10,577,000	750,000	87	6	6
VIRGINIA	HOPEWELL	2,272,000	2,322,000	50,000	0	1	1
VIRGINIA	LYNCHBURG	3,102,000	3,294,000	192,000	22	4	10
VIRGINIA	NEWPORT NEWS	17,853,000	18,873,000	1,020,000	102	10	34
VIRGINIA	NORFOLK	45,956,000	48,262,000	2,306,000	115	29	116
VIRGINIA	PETERSBURG	3,659,000	3,736,000	77,000	0	3	3
VIRGINIA	PORTSMOUTH	16,547,000	17,235,000	688,000	54	10	33
VIRGINIA	RICHMOND	41,590,000	43,066,000	1,476,000	90	27	120
VIRGINIA	ROANOKE	15,217,000	15,874,000	657,000	52	9	38
VIRGINIA	SUFFOLK	3,525,000	3,751,000	226,000	26	3	3
VIRGINIA	VIRGINIA BEACH	6,935,000	7,216,000	281,000	26	14	55
VIRGINIA	ARLINGTON COUNTY	5,301,000	5,705,000	404,000	51	10	39
VIRGINIA	CHESTERFIELD COUNTY	2,158,000	2,183,000	25,000	0	6	8
VIRGINIA	FAIRFAX COUNTY	16,440,000	17,526,000	1,086,000	126	28	98
VIRGINIA	HENRICO COUNTY	2,581,000	2,609,000	28,000	0	7	9
VIRGINIA	PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY	2,912,000	2,954,000	42,000	0	8	20
VIRGINIA	VIRGINIA (other areas)	63,139,000	67,756,000	4,617,000	580	104	327
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$280,178,000	\$295,409,000	\$15,231,000	1,477	305	949

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained	ADDITIONAL HOUSING	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELES
-------	-----------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------

				for New Activities	VOUCHER S ²		S SERVED ⁴
WASHINGTON	AUBURN	525,000	534,000	9,000	0	2	4
WASHINGTON	BELLEVUE	934,000	950,000	16,000	0	3	6
WASHINGTON	BELLINGHAM	3,307,000	3,617,000	310,000	40	4	4
WASHINGTON	BREMER TON	4,430,000	4,805,000	375,000	44	3	5
WASHINGTON	EVERET T	3,606,000	4,042,000	436,000	57	4	5
WASHINGTON	FEDERAL WAY	770,000	783,000	13,000	0	3	5
WASHINGTON	KENNE WICK	1,556,000	1,730,000	174,000	23	3	3
WASHINGTON	OLYMPI A	1,217,000	1,470,000	253,000	36	2	2
WASHINGTON	PASCO	1,936,000	1,974,000	38,000	0	3	3
WASHINGTON	RICHLA ND	1,386,000	1,517,000	131,000	19	1	1
WASHINGTON	SEATTL E	66,842,000	69,663,000	2,821,000	214	68	428
WASHINGTON	SPOKAN E	10,952,000	11,876,000	924,000	117	20	78
WASHINGTON	TACOMA	13,812,000	14,831,000	1,019,000	119	15	67
WASHINGTON	VANCOU VER	3,968,000	4,455,000	487,000	64	3	3
WASHINGTON	YAKIMA	2,848,000	3,054,000	206,000	26	5	4
WASHINGTON	CLARK COUNTY	3,360,000	3,390,000	30,000	0	8	7
WASHINGTON	KING COUNTY	32,019,000	33,694,000	1,675,000	160	29	185
WASHINGTON	KITSAP COUNTY	3,721,000	3,861,000	140,000	14	6	12
WASHINGTON	PIERCE COUNTY	10,268,000	10,981,000	713,000	85	20	88
WASHINGTON	SNOHO MISH COUNTY	8,866,000	9,496,000	630,000	77	15	60
WASHINGTON	SPOKAN E COUNTY	3,054,000	3,086,000	32,000	0	9	8
WASHINGTON	WASHIN GTON (other areas)	47,833,000	49,258,000	1,425,000	111	70	227

WASHINGTON	CNSRT-LONGVIEW	483,000	483,000	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$227,693,000	\$239,550,000	\$11,857,000	1,207	295	1,205

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	WASHINGTON	160,211,000	165,621,000	5,410,000	296	105	501
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$160,211,000	\$165,621,000	\$5,410,000	296	105	501

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
-------	-----------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	---	--	--------------------------------------	---

WEST VIRGINIA	CHARLESTON	15,456,000	16,428,000	972,000	103	11	41
WEST VIRGINIA	HUNTINGTON	12,254,000	13,347,000	1,093,000	41	12	40
WEST VIRGINIA	PARKERSBURG	3,888,000	4,283,000	395,000	52	6	5
WEST VIRGINIA	WEIRTON	1,513,000	1,677,000	164,000	22	3	2
WEST VIRGINIA	WHEELING	7,189,000	7,321,000	132,000	0	9	8
WEST VIRGINIA	WEST VIRGINIA (other areas)	54,378,000	57,068,000	2,690,000	295	94	218
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$94,678,000	\$100,124,000	\$5,446,000	512	134	315

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
WISCONSIN	APPLETON	1,325,000	1,490,000	165,000	23	3	3
WISCONSIN	BELOIT	1,968,000	2,173,000	205,000	27	4	3
WISCONSIN	EAU CLAIRE	2,389,000	2,535,000	146,000	18	4	4
WISCONSIN	GREEN BAY	4,819,000	5,740,000	921,000	133	5	5
WISCONSIN	JANESVILLE	1,232,000	1,384,000	152,000	21	3	3
WISCONSIN	KENOSH A	2,925,000	3,182,000	257,000	35	6	6
WISCONSIN	LA CROSSE	2,029,000	2,050,000	21,000	0	6	5

WISCONSIN	MADISON	7,744,000	8,140,000	396,000	42	11	54
WISCONSIN	MILWAUKEE	65,651,000	68,420,000	2,769,000	253	100	371
WISCONSIN	NEENAH	311,000	315,000	4,000	0	1	1
WISCONSIN	OSHKOSH	1,563,000	1,587,000	24,000	0	5	4
WISCONSIN	RACINE	5,422,000	5,794,000	372,000	46	11	41
WISCONSIN	SHEBOYGAN	1,466,000	1,486,000	20,000	0	5	5
WISCONSIN	SUPERIOR	3,052,000	3,110,000	58,000	0	5	5
WISCONSIN	WAUKESHA	1,080,000	1,254,000	174,000	24	2	2
WISCONSIN	WAUSAU	1,398,000	1,518,000	120,000	15	4	3
WISCONSIN	WAUWATOSA	1,615,000	1,636,000	21,000	0	6	5
WISCONSIN	WEST ALLIS	2,321,000	2,490,000	169,000	21	7	6
WISCONSIN	DANE COUNTY	2,287,000	2,571,000	284,000	38	5	10
WISCONSIN	MILWAUKEE COUNTY	4,918,000	5,531,000	613,000	86	8	8
WISCONSIN	WAUKESHA COUNTY	2,195,000	2,213,000	18,000	0	5	5
WISCONSIN	WISCONSIN (other areas)	70,810,000	72,327,000	1,517,000	91	147	424
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$188,520,000	\$196,946,000	\$8,426,000	872	354	972

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).

Estimated Impact of Increases in Specific Programs (see endnotes)

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	FY99 Dollars for Selected Programs	FY00 Dollars for Selected Programs	Total Dollars Gained for New Activities	ADDITIONAL HOUSING VOUCHERS ²	ADDITIONAL JOBS CREATED ³	ADDITIONAL HOMELESS SERVED ⁴
WYOMING	CASPER	1,682,000	1,974,000	292,000	41	2	2
WYOMING	CHEYENNE	2,008,000	2,298,000	290,000	40	3	2
WYOMING	WYOMING (other areas)	12,479,000	12,649,000	170,000	0	15	38
	TOTAL FOR STATE	\$16,169,000	\$16,921,000	\$752,000	81	20	42

1 The dollar estimates do not reflect funding for housing voucher renewals, Rural Housing and Economic Development, Native American programs, or Lead Hazard Control.

2 Includes incremental voucher dollars gained, and assumes \$5,783 per unit cost--national average.

3 Includes CDBG and BEDI, and assumes \$2626 cost per job created--national average for CDBG entitlement communities from 94 GPR (most recent cost figure available).

4 Includes COC and ESG and assumes \$1080 cost per person served for the COC portion (approx. 85% of the homeless dollars gained) (cost from '98 applications) and \$284 cost per person for ESG portion (approx. 15% of the homeless dollars gained).