

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
ALABAMA	ANNISTON	\$205,000	0	2	2	0	3
ALABAMA	AUBURN	115,000	0	2	2	0	0
ALABAMA	BESSEMER	290,000	0	2	3	0	5
ALABAMA	BIRMINGHAM	5,384,000	270	20	22	12	61
ALABAMA	DECATUR	635,000	54	1	1	0	5
ALABAMA	DOTHAN	595,000	46	2	2	0	5
ALABAMA	FLORENCE	645,000	54	1	1	0	6
ALABAMA	GADSDEN	354,000	0	3	4	0	6
ALABAMA	HOOVER	37,000	0	1	1	0	0
ALABAMA	HUNTSVILLE	1,227,000	66	4	5	0	16
ALABAMA	MOBILE	3,037,000	206	8	9	1	29
ALABAMA	MONTGOMERY	2,007,000	104	7	8	1	24
ALABAMA	OPELIKA	53,000	0	1	1	0	0
ALABAMA	TUSCALOOSA	985,000	74	3	4	0	8
ALABAMA	JEFFERSON COUNTY	1,596,000	116	7	7	1	7
ALABAMA	ALABAMA STATE PROGRAM	12,507,000	530	80	88	25	124
	<b>ALABAMA Total</b>	<b>29,672,000</b>	<b>1,520</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>300</b>
ALASKA	ANCHORAGE	440,000	0	6	6	1	2
ALASKA	ALASKA STATE PROGRAM	3,937,000	242	8	9	0	54
	<b>ALASKA Total</b>	<b>4,377,000</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>55</b>
ARIZONA	CHANDLER	547,000	40	3	3	0	2
ARIZONA	FLAGSTAFF	384,000	30	2	2	0	2
ARIZONA	GILBERT	62,000	0	1	1	0	0
ARIZONA	GLENDALE	919,000	74	5	5	0	2
ARIZONA	MESA	1,393,000	96	8	9	1	1
ARIZONA	PEORIA CITY	95,000	0	1	2	0	0
ARIZONA	PHOENIX	6,731,000	376	37	41	29	32
ARIZONA	SCOTTSDALE	598,000	54	3	3	0	0
ARIZONA	TEMPE	936,000	82	5	5	0	1
ARIZONA	TUCSON	3,986,000	272	18	20	2	18
ARIZONA	YUMA	798,000	74	2	3	0	3
ARIZONA	MARICOPA COUNTY	2,062,000	130	8	9	1	15
ARIZONA	PIMA COUNTY	917,000	48	7	8	1	0
ARIZONA	ARIZONA STATE PROGRAM	5,067,000	120	26	29	12	85
	<b>ARIZONA Total</b>	<b>24,495,000</b>	<b>1,396</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>161</b>
ARKANSAS	CONWAY	72,000	0	1	1	0	1
ARKANSAS	FAYETTEVILLE	417,000	36	2	2	0	2
ARKANSAS	FORT SMITH	954,000	100	2	2	0	2

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
ARKANSAS	JACKSONVILLE	45,000	0	1	1	0	0
ARKANSAS	JONESBORO	786,000	88	1	2	0	1
ARKANSAS	LITTLE ROCK	1,943,000	164	5	6	1	10
ARKANSAS	NORTH LITTLE ROCK	911,000	82	2	2	0	5
ARKANSAS	PINE BLUFF	759,000	70	3	3	0	2
ARKANSAS	ROGERS	37,000	0	1	1	0	0
ARKANSAS	SPRINGDALE	45,000	0	1	1	0	0
ARKANSAS	TEXARKANA	135,000	0	1	1	0	3
ARKANSAS	WEST MEMPHIS	360,000	28	1	1	0	2
ARKANSAS	ARKANSAS STATE PROGRAM	10,355,000	656	58	64	18	63
<b>ARKANSAS Total</b>		<b>16,819,000</b>	<b>1,224</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>91</b>
CALIFORNIA	ALAMEDA	1,354,000	134	3	4	0	2
CALIFORNIA	ALHAMBRA	294,000	0	5	5	0	1
CALIFORNIA	ANAHEIM	3,665,000	324	11	13	1	6
CALIFORNIA	ANTIOCH	100,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	APPLE VALLEY	80,000	0	1	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	BAKERSFIELD	542,000	0	7	8	1	2
CALIFORNIA	BALDWIN PARK	907,000	76	4	5	0	1
CALIFORNIA	BELLFLOWER	164,000	0	3	3	0	1
CALIFORNIA	BERKELEY	2,212,000	172	9	10	1	4
CALIFORNIA	BUENA PARK	144,000	0	3	3	0	0
CALIFORNIA	BURBANK	1,001,000	94	3	4	0	2
CALIFORNIA	CAMARILLO	63,000	0	1	1	0	0
CALIFORNIA	CARLSBAD	475,000	46	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	CARSON	194,000	0	3	4	0	0
CALIFORNIA	CERRITOS	76,000	0	1	1	0	0
CALIFORNIA	CHICO	1,139,000	122	2	2	0	2
CALIFORNIA	CHINO	98,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	CHULA VISTA	320,000	0	5	5	0	1
CALIFORNIA	CITRUS HEIGHTS	86,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	COMPTON	1,046,000	60	7	8	1	2
CALIFORNIA	CONCORD	155,000	0	3	3	0	0
CALIFORNIA	CORONA	159,000	0	3	3	0	0
CALIFORNIA	COSTA MESA	218,000	0	3	4	0	1
CALIFORNIA	DALY	224,000	0	4	4	0	0
CALIFORNIA	DAVIS	153,000	0	2	2	0	1
CALIFORNIA	DOWNNEY	204,000	0	3	4	0	1
CALIFORNIA	EL CAJON	218,000	0	3	4	0	1
CALIFORNIA	EL MONTE	648,000	0	8	9	1	2
CALIFORNIA	ENCINITAS	82,000	0	1	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	ESCONDIDO	261,000	0	4	4	0	1
CALIFORNIA	FAIRFIELD	637,000	62	2	2	0	1
CALIFORNIA	FONTANA	219,000	0	4	4	0	1

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
CALIFORNIA	FOUNTAIN VALLEY	61,000	0	1	1	0	0
CALIFORNIA	FREMONT	237,000	0	4	5	0	0
CALIFORNIA	FRESNO	4,059,000	280	20	22	2	15
CALIFORNIA	FULLERTON	262,000	0	4	5	0	1
CALIFORNIA	GARDENA	135,000	0	2	3	0	0
CALIFORNIA	GARDEN GROVE	2,838,000	274	6	7	1	4
CALIFORNIA	GILROY CITY	77,000	0	1	1	0	0
CALIFORNIA	GLENDALE	777,000	0	10	11	1	3
CALIFORNIA	GLENDORA CITY	60,000	0	1	1	0	0
CALIFORNIA	HAWTHORNE	754,000	60	4	4	0	2
CALIFORNIA	HAYWARD	246,000	0	4	5	0	0
CALIFORNIA	HEMET	82,000	0	1	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	HESPERIA	103,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	HUNTINGTON BEACH	264,000	0	4	5	0	1
CALIFORNIA	HUNTINGTON PARK	411,000	0	5	6	1	1
CALIFORNIA	INGLEWOOD	1,296,000	92	7	7	1	3
CALIFORNIA	IRVINE	154,000	0	3	3	0	0
CALIFORNIA	LAGUNA NIGUEL	44,000	0	1	1	0	0
CALIFORNIA	LA HABRA	100,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	LAKE FOREST	59,000	0	1	1	0	0
CALIFORNIA	LAKEWOOD	101,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	LA MESA	83,000	0	1	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	LANCASTER	168,000	0	3	3	0	0
CALIFORNIA	LIVERMORE	586,000	60	1	1	0	1
CALIFORNIA	LOMPOC	2,172,000	230	2	2	0	7
CALIFORNIA	LONG BEACH	5,972,000	496	23	25	2	12
CALIFORNIA	LOS ANGELES	28,041,000	842	219	242	262	148
CALIFORNIA	LYNWOOD	305,000	0	5	5	0	1
CALIFORNIA	MADERA	541,000	50	2	2	0	1
CALIFORNIA	MERCED	1,269,000	124	3	4	0	4
CALIFORNIA	MILPITAS CITY	96,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	MISSION VIEJO	73,000	0	1	1	0	0
CALIFORNIA	MODESTO	3,132,000	318	6	7	1	7
CALIFORNIA	MONTEBELLO	206,000	0	3	4	0	1
CALIFORNIA	MONTEREY	39,000	0	1	1	0	0
CALIFORNIA	MONTEREY PARK	225,000	0	4	4	0	1
CALIFORNIA	MORENO VALLEY	225,000	0	4	4	0	1
CALIFORNIA	MOUNTAIN VIEW	139,000	0	2	2	0	1
CALIFORNIA	NAPA CITY	1,055,000	114	2	2	0	1
CALIFORNIA	NATIONAL CITY	1,033,000	96	3	4	0	2
CALIFORNIA	NEWPORT BEACH	70,000	0	1	1	0	0
CALIFORNIA	NORWALK	762,000	60	4	5	0	1
CALIFORNIA	OAKLAND	9,982,000	786	25	28	45	50
CALIFORNIA	OCEANSIDE	1,102,000	96	5	5	0	2
CALIFORNIA	ONTARIO	471,000	0	6	7	1	1

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
CALIFORNIA	ORANGE	218,000	0	3	4	0	1
CALIFORNIA	OXNARD	1,784,000	136	7	8	1	5
CALIFORNIA	PALMDALE	133,000	0	2	3	0	0
CALIFORNIA	PALM SPRINGS	87,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	PALO ALTO	102,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	PARADISE	39,000	0	1	1	0	0
CALIFORNIA	PARAMOUNT CITY	203,000	0	3	4	0	1
CALIFORNIA	PASADENA	1,528,000	124	6	7	1	3
CALIFORNIA	PETALUMA	46,000	0	1	1	0	0
CALIFORNIA	PICO RIVERA	540,000	44	3	3	0	0
CALIFORNIA	PITTSBURG	703,000	70	2	2	0	1
CALIFORNIA	PLEASANTON CITY	44,000	0	1	1	0	0
CALIFORNIA	POMONA	1,290,000	82	8	9	1	2
CALIFORNIA	PORTERVILLE	102,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	RANCHO CUCAMONGA	134,000	0	2	3	0	0
CALIFORNIA	REDDING	1,522,000	172	2	2	0	2
CALIFORNIA	REDLANDS	95,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	REDONDO BEACH	545,000	56	1	1	0	1
CALIFORNIA	REDWOOD CITY	149,000	0	2	3	0	1
CALIFORNIA	RIALTO	158,000	0	3	3	0	0
CALIFORNIA	RICHMOND	1,616,000	148	4	4	0	6
CALIFORNIA	RIVERSIDE	874,000	0	9	10	37	2
CALIFORNIA	ROSEMEAD	233,000	0	4	4	0	1
CALIFORNIA	ROSEVILLE	392,000	40	1	1	0	0
CALIFORNIA	SACRAMENTO	4,534,000	334	16	18	18	23
CALIFORNIA	SALINAS	2,745,000	268	6	7	1	5
CALIFORNIA	SAN BERNARDINO	759,000	0	9	10	1	3
CALIFORNIA	SAN DIEGO	10,233,000	732	45	49	60	27
CALIFORNIA	SAN FRANCISCO	10,951,000	458	61	67	234	49
CALIFORNIA	SAN JOSE	5,916,000	378	31	34	20	12
CALIFORNIA	SAN LEANDRO	100,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	SAN MATEO	155,000	0	2	3	0	1
CALIFORNIA	SANTA ANA	3,196,000	176	20	22	31	5
CALIFORNIA	SANTA BARBARA	1,410,000	138	3	4	0	3
CALIFORNIA	SANTA CLARA	183,000	0	3	3	0	1
CALIFORNIA	SANTA CLARITA	130,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	SANTA CRUZ	122,000	0	2	2	0	1
CALIFORNIA	SANTA MARIA	182,000	0	3	4	0	0
CALIFORNIA	SANTA MONICA	1,052,000	96	4	4	0	2
CALIFORNIA	SANTA ROSA	966,000	90	3	3	0	2
CALIFORNIA	SANTEE	65,000	0	1	1	0	0
CALIFORNIA	SEASIDE	78,000	0	1	1	0	0
CALIFORNIA	SIMI VALLEY	114,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	SOUTH GATE	1,002,000	60	6	7	1	2
CALIFORNIA	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	103,000	0	2	2	0	0

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
CALIFORNIA	STOCKTON	959,000	0	12	13	1	3
CALIFORNIA	SUNNYVALE	212,000	0	3	4	0	1
CALIFORNIA	THOUSAND OAKS	112,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	TORRANCE	746,000	64	3	4	0	2
CALIFORNIA	TULARE	99,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	TURLOCK	133,000	0	2	2	0	1
CALIFORNIA	TUSTIN	100,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	UNION CITY	107,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	UPLAND	571,000	56	2	2	0	1
CALIFORNIA	VACAVILLE	645,000	66	2	2	0	1
CALIFORNIA	VALLEJO	1,212,000	118	3	4	0	2
CALIFORNIA	SAN BUENAVENTURA	947,000	96	2	3	0	1
CALIFORNIA	VICTORVILLE	108,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	VISALIA	1,721,000	190	3	4	0	2
CALIFORNIA	VISTA	160,000	0	3	3	0	0
CALIFORNIA	WALNUT CREEK	55,000	0	1	1	0	0
CALIFORNIA	WATSONVILLE	107,000	0	2	2	0	0
CALIFORNIA	WEST COVINA	188,000	0	3	4	0	0
CALIFORNIA	WESTMINSTER	205,000	0	3	4	0	1
CALIFORNIA	WHITTIER	159,000	0	2	3	0	1
CALIFORNIA	WOODLAND	1,056,000	116	1	2	0	2
CALIFORNIA	YORBA LINDA	44,000	0	1	1	0	0
CALIFORNIA	YUBA	522,000	56	1	1	0	1
CALIFORNIA	ALAMEDA COUNTY	4,589,000	480	5	6	0	12
CALIFORNIA	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	5,535,000	522	10	11	1	18
CALIFORNIA	FRESNO COUNTY	2,988,000	220	14	15	1	11
CALIFORNIA	KERN COUNTY	3,292,000	238	16	17	2	11
CALIFORNIA	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	17,534,000	1,168	91	101	9	52
CALIFORNIA	MARIN COUNTY	1,948,000	170	4	5	0	8
CALIFORNIA	ORANGE COUNTY	6,819,000	688	12	13	1	10
CALIFORNIA	RIVERSIDE COUNTY	6,820,000	592	24	27	2	14
CALIFORNIA	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	3,940,000	288	17	19	2	14
CALIFORNIA	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY	6,084,000	512	19	21	2	23
CALIFORNIA	SAN DIEGO COUNTY	7,402,000	724	16	18	2	13
CALIFORNIA	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY	3,129,000	268	9	10	1	12
CALIFORNIA	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY	1,642,000	132	7	7	1	4
CALIFORNIA	SAN MATEO COUNTY	4,158,000	374	9	10	1	9
CALIFORNIA	SANTA CLARA COUNTY	5,782,000	572	6	7	1	13
CALIFORNIA	SONOMA COUNTY	2,090,000	188	6	7	1	4
CALIFORNIA	VENTURA COUNTY	2,446,000	226	6	7	1	6
CALIFORNIA	CALIFORNIA STATE PROGRAM	17,796,000	936	108	119	66	105
CALIFORNIA	CNSRT-SANTA BARBARA CO	61,000	0	0	0	0	2
<b>CALIFORNIA Total</b>		<b>257,761,000</b>	<b>17,730</b>	<b>1,267</b>	<b>1,400</b>	<b>827</b>	<b>824</b>

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
COLORADO	ARVADA	493,000	48	2	2	0	0
COLORADO	AURORA	978,000	78	5	6	0	2
COLORADO	BOULDER	1,089,000	104	3	3	0	3
COLORADO	COLORADO SPRINGS	1,787,000	132	8	8	1	7
COLORADO	DENVER	6,113,000	366	28	31	32	34
COLORADO	FORT COLLINS	594,000	48	3	3	0	2
COLORADO	GRAND JUNCTION	424,000	44	1	1	0	0
COLORADO	GREELEY	802,000	80	2	3	0	1
COLORADO	LAKEWOOD	761,000	68	3	3	0	2
COLORADO	LONGMONT	389,000	38	1	1	0	0
COLORADO	LOVELAND	301,000	30	1	1	0	1
COLORADO	PUEBLO	1,374,000	122	5	5	0	6
COLORADO	WESTMINSTER	93,000	0	2	2	0	0
COLORADO	ADAMS COUNTY	1,277,000	116	5	5	0	2
COLORADO	ARAPAHOE COUNTY	228,000	0	4	4	0	1
COLORADO	JEFFERSON COUNTY	861,000	80	3	3	0	1
COLORADO	COLORADO STATE PROGRAM	5,171,000	376	27	30	2	20
<b>COLORADO Total</b>		<b>22,735,000</b>	<b>1,730</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>83</b>
CONNECTICUT	BRIDGEPORT	3,645,000	234	10	11	1	35
CONNECTICUT	BRISTOL	421,000	30	2	2	0	3
CONNECTICUT	DANBURY	521,000	40	2	2	0	3
CONNECTICUT	EAST HARTFORD	200,000	0	2	2	0	4
CONNECTICUT	FAIRFIELD	91,000	0	2	2	0	0
CONNECTICUT	GREENWICH	205,000	0	3	3	0	2
CONNECTICUT	HAMDEN TOWN	75,000	0	1	1	0	0
CONNECTICUT	HARTFORD	5,832,000	474	12	13	22	37
CONNECTICUT	MANCHESTER	367,000	28	2	2	0	1
CONNECTICUT	MERIDEN	727,000	56	3	3	0	4
CONNECTICUT	MIDDLETOWN	634,000	54	1	1	0	5
CONNECTICUT	MILFORD TOWN	119,000	0	2	2	0	1
CONNECTICUT	NEW BRITAIN	1,137,000	64	5	6	1	8
CONNECTICUT	NEW HAVEN	3,955,000	312	12	13	16	17
CONNECTICUT	NEW LONDON	225,000	0	3	3	0	2
CONNECTICUT	NORWALK	835,000	54	3	3	0	7
CONNECTICUT	NORWICH	205,000	0	3	3	0	1
CONNECTICUT	STAMFORD	1,268,000	76	3	3	0	15
CONNECTICUT	STRATFORD	149,000	0	2	2	0	2
CONNECTICUT	WATERBURY	1,693,000	116	7	7	1	10
CONNECTICUT	WEST HARTFORD	644,000	54	3	4	0	1
CONNECTICUT	WEST HAVEN	987,000	94	2	2	0	4
CONNECTICUT	CONNECTICUT STATE PROG	5,996,000	376	35	38	27	26
<b>CONNECTICUT Total</b>		<b>29,931,000</b>	<b>2,062</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>187</b>

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
DELAWARE	DOVER	77,000	0	1	1	0	1
DELAWARE	WILMINGTON	1,996,000	86	7	8	12	25
DELAWARE	NEW CASTLE COUNTY	1,744,000	150	6	7	1	3
DELAWARE	DELAWARE STATE PROGRAM	1,171,000	74	5	5	3	10
<b>DELAWARE Total</b>		<b>4,988,000</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>39</b>
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	WASHINGTON	13,865,000	592	57	63	53	159
<b>DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA Total</b>		<b>13,865,000</b>	<b>592</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>159</b>
FLORIDA	BOCA RATON	414,000	42	1	1	0	0
FLORIDA	BOYNTON BEACH	78,000	0	1	1	0	0
FLORIDA	BRADENTON	927,000	92	1	1	0	4
FLORIDA	CAPE CORAL	82,000	0	1	2	0	0
FLORIDA	CLEARWATER	943,000	86	2	3	0	4
FLORIDA	COCOA	45,000	0	1	1	0	0
FLORIDA	CORAL SPRINGS	100,000	0	2	2	0	0
FLORIDA	DAVIE	71,000	0	1	1	0	0
FLORIDA	DAYTONA BEACH	833,000	52	3	3	0	10
FLORIDA	DEERFIELD BEACH	75,000	0	1	1	0	0
FLORIDA	DELRAY BEACH	506,000	46	2	2	0	2
FLORIDA	DELTONA	63,000	0	1	1	0	0
FLORIDA	FT LAUDERDALE	2,203,000	104	7	7	129	7
FLORIDA	FT MYERS	1,233,000	110	2	2	0	9
FLORIDA	FORT PIERCE	786,000	66	2	2	0	5
FLORIDA	FORT WALTON BEACH	396,000	42	1	1	0	1
FLORIDA	GAINESVILLE	1,109,000	94	4	4	0	5
FLORIDA	HIALEAH	2,805,000	202	13	15	1	8
FLORIDA	HOLLYWOOD	614,000	44	4	4	0	1
FLORIDA	JACKSONVILLE-DUVAL	6,445,000	498	21	23	30	31
FLORIDA	LAKELAND	856,000	70	2	2	0	7
FLORIDA	LARGO	73,000	0	1	1	0	0
FLORIDA	LAUDERHILL	94,000	0	2	2	0	0
FLORIDA	MARGATE	58,000	0	1	1	0	0
FLORIDA	MELBOURNE	100,000	0	2	2	0	0
FLORIDA	MIAMI	3,818,000	0	31	34	282	8
FLORIDA	MIAMI BEACH	2,526,000	234	7	7	1	6
FLORIDA	MIRAMAR	73,000	0	1	1	0	0
FLORIDA	NAPLES	20,000	0	0	0	0	0
FLORIDA	NORTH MIAMI	149,000	0	3	3	0	0
FLORIDA	OCALA	911,000	98	2	2	0	2
FLORIDA	ORLANDO	2,573,000	194	6	7	48	12
FLORIDA	PALM BAY	88,000	0	2	2	0	0

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
FLORIDA	PANAMA CITY	435,000	38	1	1	0	2
FLORIDA	PEMBROKE PINES	91,000	0	2	2	0	0
FLORIDA	PENSACOLA	1,484,000	158	3	3	0	4
FLORIDA	PLANTATION	67,000	0	1	1	0	0
FLORIDA	POMPANO BEACH	761,000	68	3	3	0	2
FLORIDA	PORT ST LUCIE	66,000	0	1	1	0	0
FLORIDA	PUNTA GORDA	43,000	0	0	0	0	1
FLORIDA	ST PETERSBURG	2,273,000	188	7	8	1	9
FLORIDA	SARASOTA	695,000	54	2	2	0	6
FLORIDA	SUNRISE	84,000	0	1	2	0	0
FLORIDA	TALLAHASSEE	1,320,000	98	5	6	1	6
FLORIDA	TAMARAC	49,000	0	1	1	0	0
FLORIDA	TAMPA	5,179,000	336	12	13	46	49
FLORIDA	TITUSVILLE	59,000	0	1	1	0	0
FLORIDA	WEST PALM BEACH	1,743,000	128	3	3	67	7
FLORIDA	WINTERHAVEN	73,000	0	1	1	0	1
FLORIDA	BREVARD COUNTY	1,310,000	102	4	5	0	9
FLORIDA	BROWARD COUNTY	3,567,000	310	12	13	1	7
FLORIDA	DADE COUNTY	13,885,000	842	54	60	5	111
FLORIDA	ESCAMBIA COUNTY	559,000	0	7	7	1	3
FLORIDA	HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY	2,561,000	168	15	17	2	4
FLORIDA	LAKE COUNTY	130,000	0	2	3	0	0
FLORIDA	LEE COUNTY	322,000	0	5	6	0	1
FLORIDA	ORANGE COUNTY	1,919,000	98	14	15	1	5
FLORIDA	PALM BEACH COUNTY	2,961,000	192	16	18	2	8
FLORIDA	PASCO COUNTY	1,266,000	82	7	8	1	3
FLORIDA	PINELLAS COUNTY	2,831,000	250	9	10	1	8
FLORIDA	POLK COUNTY	757,000	0	10	11	1	2
FLORIDA	SARASOTA COUNTY	225,000	0	4	4	0	0
FLORIDA	SEMINOLE COUNTY	469,000	0	6	7	1	0
FLORIDA	VOLUSIA COUNTY	1,134,000	68	6	7	1	5
FLORIDA	FLORIDA STATE PROGRAM	10,411,000	372	81	89	86	69
<b>FLORIDA Total</b>		<b>89,796,000</b>	<b>5,626</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>473</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>444</b>
GEORGIA	ALBANY	325,000	0	4	5	0	3
GEORGIA	ATHENS-CLARKE	531,000	0	4	4	0	11
GEORGIA	ATLANTA	11,344,000	842	29	33	93	69
GEORGIA	AUGUSTA	2,328,000	174	7	8	1	17
GEORGIA	COLUMBUS-MUSCOGEE	1,947,000	114	7	7	1	20
GEORGIA	MACON	1,963,000	152	5	5	0	18
GEORGIA	MARIETTA	1,420,000	146	1	2	0	6
GEORGIA	ROSWELL	41,000	0	1	1	0	0
GEORGIA	SAVANNAH	2,207,000	126	8	9	1	22
GEORGIA	WARNER ROBINS	118,000	0	1	1	0	2



**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
GEORGIA	CLAYTON COUNTY	276,000	0	4	5	0	1
GEORGIA	COBB COUNTY	582,000	0	7	8	1	2
GEORGIA	DE KALB COUNTY	3,438,000	282	13	14	1	10
GEORGIA	FULTON COUNTY	1,053,000	32	6	7	1	12
GEORGIA	GWINNETT COUNTY	521,000	0	7	7	1	1
GEORGIA	GEORGIA STATE PROGRAM	18,614,000	1,078	106	118	42	132
<b>GEORGIA Total</b>		<b>46,708,000</b>	<b>2,946</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>324</b>
HAWAII	HONOLULU	5,625,000	364	32	35	13	11
HAWAII	HAWAII STATE PROGRAM	5,672,000	484	12	13	5	37
<b>HAWAII Total</b>		<b>11,297,000</b>	<b>848</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>47</b>
IDAHO	BOISE	1,301,000	136	3	3	0	2
IDAHO	NAMPA	717,000	80	1	1	0	1
IDAHO	POCATELLO	398,000	40	1	2	0	0
IDAHO	IDAHO STATE PROGRAM	3,748,000	266	23	26	1	12
<b>IDAHO Total</b>		<b>6,164,000</b>	<b>522</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>15</b>
ILLINOIS	ARLINGTON HTS	52,000	0	1	1	0	0
ILLINOIS	AURORA	786,000	56	3	4	0	5
ILLINOIS	BELLEVILLE	122,000	0	2	2	0	0
ILLINOIS	BERWYN	244,000	0	4	5	0	0
ILLINOIS	BLOOMINGTON	539,000	40	2	2	0	4
ILLINOIS	BOLINGBROOK	40,000	0	1	1	0	0
ILLINOIS	CHAMPAIGN	132,000	0	2	3	0	0
ILLINOIS	CHICAGO	38,067,000	842	262	290	146	403
ILLINOIS	CHICAGO HEIGHTS	105,000	0	2	2	0	0
ILLINOIS	CICERO	272,000	0	5	5	0	0
ILLINOIS	DECATUR	1,151,000	82	5	5	0	9
ILLINOIS	DE KALB	385,000	36	1	1	0	1
ILLINOIS	DES PLAINES	44,000	0	1	1	0	0
ILLINOIS	DOWNERS GROVE	39,000	0	1	1	0	0
ILLINOIS	EAST ST LOUIS	1,241,000	28	6	6	1	21
ILLINOIS	ELGIN	780,000	72	2	3	0	2
ILLINOIS	EVANSTON	434,000	0	6	6	1	1
ILLINOIS	JOLIET	999,000	70	3	3	0	9
ILLINOIS	KANKAKEE	594,000	54	2	2	0	2
ILLINOIS	MOLINE	244,000	0	3	3	0	3
ILLINOIS	MOUNT PROSPECT	50,000	0	1	1	0	0
ILLINOIS	NAPERVILLE	63,000	0	1	1	0	0
ILLINOIS	NORMAL	72,000	0	1	1	0	0
ILLINOIS	NORTH CHICAGO	387,000	38	1	1	0	1

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
ILLINOIS	OAK LAWN	45,000	0	1	1	0	0
ILLINOIS	OAK PARK	754,000	40	5	6	1	1
ILLINOIS	PALATINE VILLAGE	34,000	0	1	1	0	0
ILLINOIS	PEKIN	76,000	0	1	1	0	0
ILLINOIS	PEORIA	1,894,000	128	6	7	1	16
ILLINOIS	RANTOUL	57,000	0	1	1	0	0
ILLINOIS	ROCKFORD	1,939,000	108	6	7	1	22
ILLINOIS	ROCK ISLAND	673,000	30	4	4	0	8
ILLINOIS	SCHAUMBURG VILLAGE	54,000	0	1	1	0	0
ILLINOIS	SKOKIE	89,000	0	2	2	0	0
ILLINOIS	SPRINGFIELD	1,063,000	82	4	4	0	7
ILLINOIS	URBANA	861,000	78	1	1	0	6
ILLINOIS	WAUKEGAN	619,000	50	2	2	0	3
ILLINOIS	WHEATON CITY	47,000	0	1	1	0	0
ILLINOIS	COOK COUNTY	9,688,000	842	30	33	3	26
ILLINOIS	DU PAGE COUNTY	2,228,000	180	9	10	1	5
ILLINOIS	KANE COUNTY	162,000	0	3	3	0	0
ILLINOIS	LAKE COUNTY	2,177,000	192	6	7	1	6
ILLINOIS	MCHENRY COUNTY	862,000	80	3	3	0	2
ILLINOIS	MADISON COUNTY	1,697,000	84	9	10	1	13
ILLINOIS	ST CLAIR COUNTY	2,087,000	182	5	6	1	10
ILLINOIS	WILL COUNTY	246,000	0	4	4	0	1
ILLINOIS	ILLINOIS STATE PROGRAM	10,133,000	180	93	102	18	106
<b>ILLINOIS Total</b>		<b>84,327,000</b>	<b>3,574</b>	<b>515</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>693</b>
INDIANA	ANDERSON	1,038,000	102	3	3	0	3
INDIANA	BLOOMINGTON	1,105,000	110	3	3	0	3
INDIANA	EAST CHICAGO	909,000	60	4	5	0	6
INDIANA	ELKHART	548,000	42	2	3	0	3
INDIANA	EVANSVILLE	1,976,000	136	9	10	1	10
INDIANA	FORT WAYNE	1,927,000	150	8	9	1	7
INDIANA	GARY	2,191,000	88	11	13	1	23
INDIANA	GOSHEN	46,000	0	1	1	0	0
INDIANA	HAMMOND	1,037,000	52	7	8	1	4
INDIANA	INDIANAPOLIS	6,870,000	516	29	32	18	24
INDIANA	KOKOMO	727,000	60	3	3	0	3
INDIANA	LAFAYETTE	724,000	70	2	3	0	2
INDIANA	MISHAWAKA	82,000	0	1	2	0	0
INDIANA	MUNCIE	844,000	60	4	5	0	5
INDIANA	NEW ALBANY	271,000	0	2	2	0	5
INDIANA	SOUTH BEND	2,046,000	146	9	10	1	9
INDIANA	TERRE HAUTE	1,204,000	76	6	7	1	7
INDIANA	WEST LAFAYETTE	71,000	0	1	1	0	0
INDIANA	LAKE COUNTY	258,000	0	4	4	0	1

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
INDIANA	INDIANA STATE PROGRAM	12,181,000	690	89	99	21	47
	<b>INDIANA Total</b>	<b>36,055,000</b>	<b>2,358</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>162</b>
IOWA	CEDAR FALLS	293,000	30	1	1	0	0
IOWA	CEDAR RAPIDS	1,081,000	106	4	4	0	2
IOWA	COUNCIL BLUFFS	549,000	46	3	3	0	0
IOWA	DAVENPORT	825,000	52	5	6	1	2
IOWA	DES MOINES	3,042,000	250	12	14	1	7
IOWA	DUBUQUE	1,364,000	148	4	4	0	1
IOWA	IOWA CITY	969,000	100	2	3	0	2
IOWA	SIOUX CITY	1,161,000	90	6	6	1	2
IOWA	WATERLOO	904,000	78	4	5	0	2
IOWA	IOWA STATE PROGRAM	7,897,000	384	73	81	3	21
	<b>IOWA Total</b>	<b>18,085,000</b>	<b>1,284</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>37</b>
KANSAS	KANSAS CITY	1,626,000	76	8	9	1	16
KANSAS	LAWRENCE	515,000	38	3	3	0	2
KANSAS	LEAVENWORTH	68,000	0	1	1	0	0
KANSAS	OVERLAND PARK	91,000	0	2	2	0	0
KANSAS	TOPEKA	1,283,000	88	6	7	1	6
KANSAS	WICHITA	2,248,000	176	9	10	1	8
KANSAS	JOHNSON COUNTY	1,173,000	114	4	4	0	2
KANSAS	KANSAS STATE PROGRAM	4,320,000	72	50	55	10	26
	<b>KANSAS Total</b>	<b>11,324,000</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>60</b>
KENTUCKY	ASHLAND	519,000	46	2	2	0	2
KENTUCKY	COVINGTON	1,377,000	100	5	6	1	7
KENTUCKY	HENDERSON	544,000	60	1	1	0	1
KENTUCKY	HOPKINSVILLE	421,000	40	1	1	0	2
KENTUCKY	LEXINGTON-FAYETTE	1,774,000	120	6	7	1	13
KENTUCKY	LOUISVILLE	5,765,000	308	29	32	3	44
KENTUCKY	OWENSBORO	202,000	0	2	2	0	3
KENTUCKY	JEFFERSON COUNTY	3,090,000	310	8	9	1	5
KENTUCKY	KENTUCKY STATE PROGRAM	16,091,000	1,134	83	92	20	86
	<b>KENTUCKY Total</b>	<b>29,783,000</b>	<b>2,118</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>163</b>
LOUISIANA	ALEXANDRIA	857,000	76	3	3	0	5
LOUISIANA	BATON ROUGE	2,465,000	140	14	16	17	10
LOUISIANA	BOSSIER CITY	133,000	0	2	2	0	1
LOUISIANA	HOUMA-TERREBONNE	677,000	38	5	5	0	4
LOUISIANA	KENNER	584,000	54	2	3	0	1

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
LOUISIANA	LAFAYETTE	1,047,000	62	6	7	1	5
LOUISIANA	LAKE CHARLES	1,711,000	176	3	3	0	6
LOUISIANA	MONROE	1,499,000	150	4	4	0	5
LOUISIANA	NEW ORLEANS	10,785,000	676	48	53	52	62
LOUISIANA	SHREVEPORT	2,292,000	182	9	10	1	8
LOUISIANA	SLIDELL	276,000	28	1	1	0	1
LOUISIANA	THIBODAUX	45,000	0	1	1	0	0
LOUISIANA	JEFFERSON PARISH	2,356,000	168	12	13	1	6
LOUISIANA	LOUISIANA STATE PROGRAM	9,662,000	302	90	100	26	65
<b>LOUISIANA Total</b>		<b>34,389,000</b>	<b>2,052</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>177</b>
MAINE	AUBURN	449,000	40	2	2	0	1
MAINE	BANGOR	546,000	32	3	3	0	4
MAINE	LEWISTON	805,000	70	3	3	0	3
MAINE	PORTLAND	1,797,000	138	6	7	1	9
MAINE	MAINE STATE PROGRAM	7,505,000	556	40	44	2	28
<b>MAINE Total</b>		<b>11,102,000</b>	<b>836</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>45</b>
MARYLAND	ANNAPOLIS	305,000	0	1	1	0	8
MARYLAND	BALTIMORE	18,982,000	842	73	80	218	204
MARYLAND	CUMBERLAND	246,000	0	3	3	0	2
MARYLAND	FREDERICK	473,000	42	1	1	0	2
MARYLAND	HAGERSTOWN	995,000	88	3	3	0	5
MARYLAND	ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY	1,512,000	106	6	7	1	8
MARYLAND	BALTIMORE COUNTY	4,236,000	404	12	13	1	7
MARYLAND	HARFORD COUNTY	766,000	68	3	4	0	2
MARYLAND	HOWARD COUNTY	590,000	52	3	3	0	0
MARYLAND	MONTGOMERY COUNTY	4,554,000	370	14	16	1	18
MARYLAND	PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY	3,991,000	302	17	19	2	11
MARYLAND	MARYLAND STATE PROGRAM	4,842,000	384	22	24	1	20
<b>MARYLAND Total</b>		<b>41,492,000</b>	<b>2,658</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>288</b>
MASSACHUSETTS	ARLINGTON	551,000	40	4	4	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	ATTLEBORO	79,000	0	1	2	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	BARNSTABLE	371,000	36	1	1	0	1
MASSACHUSETTS	BOSTON	16,156,000	838	61	67	55	157
MASSACHUSETTS	BROCKTON	1,103,000	74	4	5	0	9
MASSACHUSETTS	BROOKLINE	844,000	58	5	5	0	3
MASSACHUSETTS	CAMBRIDGE	2,594,000	164	9	10	1	19
MASSACHUSETTS	CHICOPEE	544,000	36	4	4	0	2
MASSACHUSETTS	FALL RIVER	2,579,000	202	9	10	1	12
MASSACHUSETTS	FITCHBURG	225,000	0	3	4	0	1

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
MASSACHUSETTS	FRAMINGHAM	625,000	60	2	2	0	1
MASSACHUSETTS	GLOUCESTER	558,000	48	2	2	0	1
MASSACHUSETTS	HAVERTHILL	178,000	0	3	3	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	HOLYOKE	1,235,000	90	4	5	0	10
MASSACHUSETTS	LAWRENCE	1,331,000	80	6	6	1	10
MASSACHUSETTS	LEOMINSTER	75,000	0	1	1	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	LOWELL	1,628,000	80	7	8	1	17
MASSACHUSETTS	LYNN	1,636,000	104	8	9	1	7
MASSACHUSETTS	MALDEN	999,000	62	4	5	0	8
MASSACHUSETTS	MEDFORD	847,000	54	5	6	0	4
MASSACHUSETTS	NEW BEDFORD	2,203,000	144	9	10	1	15
MASSACHUSETTS	NEWTON	509,000	0	6	7	1	2
MASSACHUSETTS	NORTHAMPTON	133,000	0	2	2	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	PITTSFIELD	694,000	52	4	5	0	1
MASSACHUSETTS	QUINCY	1,235,000	78	6	7	1	5
MASSACHUSETTS	SALEM	690,000	56	3	4	0	1
MASSACHUSETTS	SOMERVILLE	1,549,000	96	9	10	1	4
MASSACHUSETTS	SPRINGFIELD	2,677,000	184	12	14	1	11
MASSACHUSETTS	TAUNTON	574,000	44	2	3	0	2
MASSACHUSETTS	WALTHAM	551,000	42	3	3	0	1
MASSACHUSETTS	WESTFIELD	71,000	0	1	1	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	WEYMOUTH	131,000	0	2	2	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	WORCESTER	2,744,000	150	14	15	1	19
MASSACHUSETTS	YARMOUTH	28,000	0	0	1	0	0
MASSACHUSETTS	MASSACHUSETTS STATE PROG	17,639,000	1,272	92	102	39	49
MASSACHUSETTS	CNSRT-PEABODY	83,000	0	0	0	0	3
MASSACHUSETTS	CNSRT-BARNSTABLE COUNTY	30,000	0	0	0	0	1
<b>MASSACHUSETTS Total</b>		<b>65,699,000</b>	<b>4,144</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>378</b>
MICHIGAN	ANN ARBOR	870,000	72	3	4	0	4
MICHIGAN	BATTLE CREEK	518,000	28	4	5	0	2
MICHIGAN	BAY CITY	357,000	0	5	5	0	3
MICHIGAN	BENTON HARBOR	194,000	0	2	2	0	3
MICHIGAN	CANTON TWP	61,000	0	1	1	0	0
MICHIGAN	CLINTON TWP	105,000	0	2	2	0	0
MICHIGAN	DEARBORN	464,000	0	6	7	1	1
MICHIGAN	DEARBORN HEIGHTS	170,000	0	3	3	0	0
MICHIGAN	DETROIT	13,425,000	374	125	138	52	43
MICHIGAN	EAST LANSING	120,000	0	2	2	0	0
MICHIGAN	FARMINGTON HILLS	58,000	0	1	1	0	0
MICHIGAN	FLINT	1,966,000	86	14	15	1	11
MICHIGAN	GRAND RAPIDS	2,142,000	144	12	13	1	6
MICHIGAN	HOLLAND	59,000	0	1	1	0	0
MICHIGAN	JACKSON	626,000	36	5	5	0	3

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
MICHIGAN	KALAMAZOO	447,000	0	6	6	1	1
MICHIGAN	LANSING	1,203,000	66	6	7	1	9
MICHIGAN	LINCOLN PARK	136,000	0	2	2	0	0
MICHIGAN	LIVONIA	440,000	44	1	1	0	1
MICHIGAN	MIDLAND	46,000	0	1	1	0	0
MICHIGAN	MUSKEGON	244,000	0	3	4	0	2
MICHIGAN	MUSKEGON HTS	128,000	0	1	2	0	2
MICHIGAN	NORTON SHORES	24,000	0	0	0	0	0
MICHIGAN	PONTIAC	814,000	44	5	6	0	6
MICHIGAN	PORTAGE	36,000	0	1	1	0	0
MICHIGAN	PORT HURON	499,000	34	3	3	0	3
MICHIGAN	REDFORD	453,000	38	2	3	0	0
MICHIGAN	ROCHESTER HILLS	45,000	0	1	1	0	0
MICHIGAN	ROSEVILLE	311,000	28	1	1	0	0
MICHIGAN	ROYAL OAK	213,000	0	4	4	0	0
MICHIGAN	SAGINAW	1,363,000	78	8	9	1	6
MICHIGAN	ST CLAIR SHORES	154,000	0	2	3	0	0
MICHIGAN	SOUTHFIELD	81,000	0	1	2	0	0
MICHIGAN	STERLING HEIGHTS	112,000	0	2	2	0	0
MICHIGAN	TAYLOR	428,000	38	2	2	0	1
MICHIGAN	TROY CITY	57,000	0	1	1	0	0
MICHIGAN	WARREN	178,000	0	3	3	0	1
MICHIGAN	WATERFORD TOWNSHIP	68,000	0	1	1	0	0
MICHIGAN	WESTLAND	461,000	34	3	3	0	1
MICHIGAN	WYOMING	432,000	42	1	1	0	1
MICHIGAN	GENESEE COUNTY	532,000	0	7	7	1	2
MICHIGAN	KENT COUNTY	235,000	0	4	5	0	0
MICHIGAN	MACOMB COUNTY	290,000	0	5	5	0	1
MICHIGAN	OAKLAND COUNTY	793,000	0	10	11	1	3
MICHIGAN	WAYNE COUNTY	911,000	0	9	10	1	9
MICHIGAN	MICHIGAN STATE PROGRAM	19,225,000	1,306	108	120	25	88
	<b>MICHIGAN Total</b>	<b>51,494,000</b>	<b>2,492</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>215</b>
MINNESOTA	BLOOMINGTON	452,000	46	1	1	0	1
MINNESOTA	DULUTH	1,633,000	110	9	10	1	6
MINNESOTA	MINNEAPOLIS	7,891,000	400	41	46	21	56
MINNESOTA	MOORHEAD	74,000	0	1	1	0	0
MINNESOTA	PLYMOUTH	44,000	0	1	1	0	0
MINNESOTA	ROCHESTER	382,000	36	1	2	0	0
MINNESOTA	ST CLOUD	559,000	58	2	2	0	1
MINNESOTA	ST PAUL	9,347,000	818	24	27	2	37
MINNESOTA	ANOKA COUNTY	259,000	0	5	5	0	0
MINNESOTA	DAKOTA COUNTY	1,871,000	178	5	5	0	6
MINNESOTA	HENNEPIN COUNTY	684,000	0	8	9	1	3

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
MINNESOTA	RAMSEY COUNTY	199,000	0	3	4	0	0
MINNESOTA	ST LOUIS COUNTY	598,000	0	8	8	1	2
MINNESOTA	MINNESOTA STATE PROGRAM	6,954,000	278	61	68	5	39
<b>MINNESOTA Total</b>		<b>30,947,000</b>	<b>1,924</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>149</b>
MISSISSIPPI	BILOXI	245,000	0	2	2	0	5
MISSISSIPPI	GULFPORT	3,023,000	332	2	3	0	11
MISSISSIPPI	HATTIESBURG	203,000	0	3	3	0	2
MISSISSIPPI	JACKSON	3,424,000	334	9	10	1	7
MISSISSIPPI	MOSS POINT	46,000	0	1	1	0	0
MISSISSIPPI	PASCAGOULA	60,000	0	1	1	0	0
MISSISSIPPI	MISSISSIPPI STATE PROG	12,578,000	644	92	101	24	74
<b>MISSISSIPPI Total</b>		<b>19,579,000</b>	<b>1,310</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>99</b>
MISSOURI	COLUMBIA	1,306,000	132	3	3	0	5
MISSOURI	FLORISSANT	38,000	0	1	1	0	0
MISSOURI	INDEPENDENCE	690,000	60	3	3	0	3
MISSOURI	JOPLIN	701,000	72	2	3	0	1
MISSOURI	KANSAS CITY	6,586,000	480	28	31	23	25
MISSOURI	LEES SUMMIT	50,000	0	1	1	0	0
MISSOURI	ST CHARLES	65,000	0	1	1	0	0
MISSOURI	ST JOSEPH	1,088,000	80	6	6	1	2
MISSOURI	ST LOUIS	10,267,000	472	67	74	23	59
MISSOURI	ST. PETERS CITY	34,000	0	1	1	0	0
MISSOURI	SPRINGFIELD	1,242,000	108	5	5	0	5
MISSOURI	ST LOUIS COUNTY	4,728,000	424	16	17	2	11
MISSOURI	MISSOURI STATE PROGRAM	12,626,000	900	70	78	8	53
<b>MISSOURI Total</b>		<b>39,421,000</b>	<b>2,728</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>164</b>
MONTANA	BILLINGS	403,000	28	2	2	0	2
MONTANA	GREAT FALLS	243,000	0	3	3	0	3
MONTANA	MISSOULA	96,000	0	2	2	0	0
MONTANA	MONTANA STATE PROGRAM	4,702,000	308	19	21	1	41
<b>MONTANA Total</b>		<b>5,444,000</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>47</b>
NEBRASKA	LINCOLN	2,498,000	258	5	6	1	4
NEBRASKA	OMAHA	4,755,000	388	15	17	2	21
NEBRASKA	NEBRASKA STATE PROGRAM	3,520,000	118	36	40	1	17
<b>NEBRASKA Total</b>		<b>10,773,000</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>41</b>

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
NEVADA	HENDERSON	125,000	0	2	2	0	0
NEVADA	LAS VEGAS	2,489,000	144	10	11	19	18
NEVADA	NORTH LAS VEGAS	1,037,000	98	3	3	0	3
NEVADA	RENO	1,769,000	160	5	5	0	8
NEVADA	SPARKS	79,000	0	1	2	0	0
NEVADA	CLARK COUNTY	2,801,000	198	11	13	1	14
NEVADA	NEVADA STATE PROGRAM	1,730,000	100	7	8	6	16
NEVADA	CNSRT-LYON COUNTY	20,000	0	0	0	0	1
<b>NEVADA Total</b>		<b>10,050,000</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>60</b>
NEW HAMPSHIRE	DOVER	112,000	0	1	1	0	2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	MANCHESTER	1,692,000	124	5	6	1	11
NEW HAMPSHIRE	NASHUA	612,000	52	2	2	0	2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	PORTSMOUTH	162,000	0	2	2	0	2
NEW HAMPSHIRE	ROCHESTER	93,000	0	1	1	0	1
NEW HAMPSHIRE	NEW HAMPSHIRE STATE PROG	4,103,000	286	24	27	1	13
<b>NEW HAMPSHIRE Total</b>		<b>6,774,000</b>	<b>462</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>32</b>
NEW JERSEY	ASBURY PARK	315,000	0	1	1	0	8
NEW JERSEY	ATLANTIC CITY	1,476,000	66	5	5	0	23
NEW JERSEY	BAYONNE	706,000	0	5	6	1	11
NEW JERSEY	BLOOMFIELD	211,000	0	4	4	0	0
NEW JERSEY	BRICK TOWNSHIP	103,000	0	1	1	0	2
NEW JERSEY	BRIDGETON	171,000	0	2	2	0	3
NEW JERSEY	CAMDEN	1,672,000	0	9	10	1	35
NEW JERSEY	CHERRY HILL	62,000	0	1	1	0	0
NEW JERSEY	CLIFTON	245,000	0	4	5	0	0
NEW JERSEY	DOVER TOWNSHIP	165,000	0	1	1	15	0
NEW JERSEY	EAST ORANGE	1,028,000	64	5	6	0	8
NEW JERSEY	EDISON	124,000	0	2	2	0	1
NEW JERSEY	ELIZABETH	1,928,000	100	7	8	1	21
NEW JERSEY	GLOUCESTER TWP	82,000	0	1	1	0	1
NEW JERSEY	HAMILTON	89,000	0	2	2	0	0
NEW JERSEY	IRVINGTON	338,000	0	3	4	0	5
NEW JERSEY	JERSEY CITY	5,205,000	244	21	23	59	49
NEW JERSEY	LONG BRANCH	599,000	36	2	2	0	7
NEW JERSEY	MIDDLETOWN	66,000	0	1	1	0	1
NEW JERSEY	MILLVILLE	53,000	0	1	1	0	0
NEW JERSEY	NEWARK	6,614,000	254	28	31	167	60
NEW JERSEY	NEW BRUNSWICK	922,000	64	2	3	0	9
NEW JERSEY	NORTH BERGEN TOWNSHIP	644,000	40	2	3	0	6
NEW JERSEY	OLD BRIDGE TOWNSHIP	50,000	0	1	1	0	0
NEW JERSEY	PARSIPPANY-TROYHILLS	39,000	0	1	1	0	0



**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
NEW JERSEY	PASSAIC	1,389,000	114	3	4	0	9
NEW JERSEY	PATERSON	3,370,000	226	8	9	29	26
NEW JERSEY	PERTH AMBOY	683,000	30	2	2	0	11
NEW JERSEY	SAYREVILLE	28,000	0	0	1	0	0
NEW JERSEY	TRENTON	1,255,000	0	9	10	1	20
NEW JERSEY	UNION CITY	800,000	54	4	4	0	5
NEW JERSEY	UNION	116,000	0	2	2	0	0
NEW JERSEY	VINELAND	447,000	28	2	2	0	5
NEW JERSEY	WAYNE TOWNSHIP	34,000	0	1	1	0	0
NEW JERSEY	WOODBRIIDGE	268,000	0	2	2	17	3
NEW JERSEY	BERGEN COUNTY	5,273,000	314	30	33	3	17
NEW JERSEY	BURLINGTON COUNTY	311,000	0	5	5	0	1
NEW JERSEY	CAMDEN COUNTY	552,000	0	7	8	1	2
NEW JERSEY	ESSEX COUNTY	1,363,000	0	18	20	2	2
NEW JERSEY	GLOUCESTER COUNTY	1,516,000	140	4	5	0	5
NEW JERSEY	HUDSON COUNTY	2,639,000	126	12	13	1	26
NEW JERSEY	MIDDLESEX COUNTY	938,000	60	5	5	0	6
NEW JERSEY	MONMOUTH COUNTY	1,739,000	116	9	10	1	5
NEW JERSEY	MORRIS COUNTY	1,035,000	58	6	7	1	4
NEW JERSEY	OCEAN COUNTY	1,548,000	116	6	6	1	6
NEW JERSEY	SOMERSET COUNTY	238,000	0	4	4	0	1
NEW JERSEY	UNION COUNTY	1,827,000	56	15	17	2	9
NEW JERSEY	NEW JERSEY STATE PROGRAM	14,213,000	1,220	27	30	48	69
NEW JERSEY	CNSRT-MERCER COUNTY	22,000	0	0	0	0	1
NEW JERSEY	CNSRT-ATLANTIC COUNTY	23,000	0	0	0	0	1
	<b>NEW JERSEY Total</b>	<b>64,534,000</b>	<b>3,526</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>481</b>
NEW MEXICO	ALBUQUERQUE	3,942,000	348	13	14	1	10
NEW MEXICO	LAS CRUCES	939,000	92	3	3	0	2
NEW MEXICO	RIO RANCHO	40,000	0	1	1	0	0
NEW MEXICO	SANTA FE	483,000	44	2	2	0	1
NEW MEXICO	NEW MEXICO STATE PROGRAM	5,752,000	326	37	41	13	34
	<b>NEW MEXICO Total</b>	<b>11,156,000</b>	<b>810</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>47</b>
NEW YORK	ALBANY	2,277,000	108	11	13	10	19
NEW YORK	AMHERST TOWN	137,000	0	2	2	0	2
NEW YORK	AUBURN	186,000	0	3	3	0	0
NEW YORK	BABYLON TOWN	238,000	0	4	4	0	1
NEW YORK	BINGHAMTON	991,000	40	7	8	1	6
NEW YORK	BUFFALO	10,841,000	652	52	57	14	70
NEW YORK	CHEEKTOWAGA TOWN	129,000	0	2	2	0	0
NEW YORK	CLAY TOWN	48,000	0	1	1	0	0
NEW YORK	COLONIE TOWN	63,000	0	1	1	0	0

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
NEW YORK	DUNKIRK	133,000	0	2	2	0	1
NEW YORK	ELMIRA	326,000	0	4	5	0	3
NEW YORK	GLENS FALLS	501,000	48	2	2	0	1
NEW YORK	GREECE	71,000	0	1	1	0	0
NEW YORK	HAMBURG TOWN	71,000	0	1	1	0	0
NEW YORK	HUNTINGTON TOWN	156,000	0	3	3	0	0
NEW YORK	IRONDEQUOIT	148,000	0	3	3	0	0
NEW YORK	ISLIP TOWN	1,494,000	94	6	6	35	3
NEW YORK	JAMESTOWN	275,000	0	4	4	0	1
NEW YORK	MIDDLETOWN	95,000	0	2	2	0	0
NEW YORK	MOUNT VERNON	1,063,000	72	5	6	1	2
NEW YORK	NEWBURGH	435,000	32	3	3	0	1
NEW YORK	NEW ROCHELLE	1,159,000	92	5	5	0	3
NEW YORK	NEW YORK CITY	122,786,000	1,682	539	596	1,303	2,177
NEW YORK	NIAGARA FALLS	1,443,000	58	8	9	1	14
NEW YORK	POUGHKEEPSIE	696,000	52	3	4	0	3
NEW YORK	ROCHESTER	5,687,000	346	29	32	15	29
NEW YORK	ROME	467,000	32	3	4	0	1
NEW YORK	SARATOGA SPRINGS	99,000	0	1	1	0	1
NEW YORK	SCHENECTADY	2,008,000	146	8	9	1	10
NEW YORK	SYRACUSE	4,227,000	266	19	20	2	28
NEW YORK	TONAWANDA TOWN	287,000	0	5	6	0	0
NEW YORK	TROY	1,123,000	42	6	7	1	12
NEW YORK	UNION TOWN	233,000	0	4	4	0	0
NEW YORK	UTICA	1,563,000	82	10	11	1	8
NEW YORK	WEST SENECA	42,000	0	1	1	0	0
NEW YORK	WHITE PLAINS	490,000	36	3	3	0	1
NEW YORK	YONKERS	2,115,000	102	11	12	1	16
NEW YORK	DUTCHESS COUNTY	279,000	0	4	5	0	1
NEW YORK	ERIE COUNTY	678,000	0	7	8	1	6
NEW YORK	MONROE COUNTY	334,000	0	5	6	0	2
NEW YORK	NASSAU COUNTY	3,839,000	40	43	47	4	14
NEW YORK	ONONDAGA COUNTY	866,000	54	6	6	1	2
NEW YORK	ORANGE COUNTY	324,000	0	5	5	0	2
NEW YORK	ROCKLAND COUNTY	824,000	42	5	6	1	3
NEW YORK	SUFFOLK COUNTY	801,000	0	10	11	1	2
NEW YORK	WESTCHESTER COUNTY	1,284,000	0	16	18	2	4
NEW YORK	NEW YORK STATE PROGRAM	32,449,000	2,720	134	148	53	108
NEW YORK	CNSRT-JEFFERSON COUNTY	135,000	0	0	0	0	5
<b>NEW YORK Total</b>		<b>205,916,000</b>	<b>6,838</b>	<b>1,007</b>	<b>1,113</b>	<b>1,449</b>	<b>2,562</b>
NORTH CAROLINA	ASHEVILLE	1,413,000	106	4	4	0	13
NORTH CAROLINA	BURLINGTON	110,000	0	1	1	0	2
NORTH CAROLINA	CHAPEL HILL	124,000	0	1	1	0	2

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
NORTH CAROLINA	CHARLOTTE	3,509,000	224	12	13	12	29
NORTH CAROLINA	CONCORD	398,000	36	1	1	0	2
NORTH CAROLINA	DURHAM	1,985,000	146	4	5	0	20
NORTH CAROLINA	FAYETTEVILLE	1,556,000	140	4	4	0	9
NORTH CAROLINA	GASTONIA	1,011,000	100	2	2	0	4
NORTH CAROLINA	GOLDSBORO	304,000	0	1	2	0	8
NORTH CAROLINA	GREENSBORO	1,882,000	122	5	5	0	23
NORTH CAROLINA	GREENVILLE	736,000	56	2	2	0	6
NORTH CAROLINA	HICKORY	750,000	82	1	1	0	3
NORTH CAROLINA	HIGH POINT	1,249,000	110	2	2	0	10
NORTH CAROLINA	JACKSONVILLE	467,000	50	1	1	0	0
NORTH CAROLINA	KANNAPOLIS	69,000	0	1	1	0	0
NORTH CAROLINA	LENOIR	103,000	0	0	1	0	3
NORTH CAROLINA	MORGANTON	67,000	0	0	0	0	2
NORTH CAROLINA	RALEIGH	2,159,000	160	6	6	11	15
NORTH CAROLINA	ROCKY MOUNT	697,000	54	2	2	0	6
NORTH CAROLINA	SALISBURY	114,000	0	1	1	0	2
NORTH CAROLINA	WILMINGTON	1,654,000	138	2	2	0	15
NORTH CAROLINA	WINSTON SALEM	3,401,000	320	5	5	0	22
NORTH CAROLINA	CUMBERLAND COUNTY	359,000	0	4	5	0	1
NORTH CAROLINA	WAKE COUNTY	309,000	0	4	5	0	2
NORTH CAROLINA	NORTH CAROLINA STA PROG	24,099,000	1,812	111	123	37	118
NORTH CAROLINA	CNSRT-SURRY COUNTY	22,000	0	0	0	0	1
NORTH CAROLINA	CNSRT-ORANGE COUNTY	18,000	0	0	0	0	1
<b>NORTH CAROLINA Total</b>		<b>48,565,000</b>	<b>3,656</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>318</b>
NORTH DAKOTA	BISMARCK	741,000	82	1	1	0	1
NORTH DAKOTA	FARGO	880,000	92	2	2	0	1
NORTH DAKOTA	GRAND FORKS	780,000	88	1	1	0	1
NORTH DAKOTA	NORTH DAKOTA STATE PROG	3,280,000	174	15	17	1	36
<b>NORTH DAKOTA Total</b>		<b>5,681,000</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>40</b>
OHIO	AKRON	5,413,000	330	21	23	2	46
OHIO	ALLIANCE	119,000	0	2	2	0	0
OHIO	BARBERTON	131,000	0	2	3	0	0
OHIO	BOWLING GREEN	58,000	0	1	1	0	0
OHIO	CANTON	1,953,000	114	9	10	1	15
OHIO	CINCINNATI	8,206,000	398	41	45	14	73
OHIO	CLEVELAND	16,853,000	834	73	81	25	168
OHIO	CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	269,000	0	5	5	0	0
OHIO	COLUMBUS	7,204,000	560	21	23	14	43
OHIO	DAYTON	4,141,000	224	20	22	2	32
OHIO	EAST CLEVELAND	208,000	0	3	4	0	1

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
OHIO	ELYRIA	101,000	0	2	2	0	0
OHIO	EUCLID	172,000	0	3	3	0	0
OHIO	FAIRBORN	60,000	0	1	1	0	0
OHIO	HAMILTON CITY	280,000	0	4	5	0	1
OHIO	KENT	60,000	0	1	1	0	0
OHIO	KETTERING	73,000	0	1	1	0	0
OHIO	LAKESWOOD	451,000	0	6	7	1	0
OHIO	LANCASTER	600,000	62	2	2	0	1
OHIO	LIMA	226,000	0	4	4	0	1
OHIO	LORAIN	2,398,000	234	4	4	0	12
OHIO	MANSFIELD	1,194,000	130	3	3	0	1
OHIO	MARIETTA	76,000	0	1	1	0	0
OHIO	MASSILLON	128,000	0	2	2	0	0
OHIO	MENTOR	34,000	0	1	1	0	0
OHIO	MIDDLETOWN	464,000	44	2	2	0	0
OHIO	NEWARK	630,000	60	2	3	0	1
OHIO	PARMA	380,000	28	2	3	0	1
OHIO	SPRINGFIELD	1,317,000	92	6	7	1	5
OHIO	STEBENVILLE	609,000	46	2	3	0	4
OHIO	TOLEDO	4,372,000	234	24	26	2	29
OHIO	WARREN	1,072,000	76	4	4	0	9
OHIO	YOUNGSTOWN	2,517,000	136	13	15	1	17
OHIO	BUTLER COUNTY	242,000	0	4	4	0	1
OHIO	CUYAHOGA COUNTY	694,000	0	8	9	1	4
OHIO	FRANKLIN COUNTY	1,306,000	88	5	6	1	8
OHIO	HAMILTON COUNTY	2,046,000	172	8	9	1	3
OHIO	LAKE COUNTY	954,000	84	4	4	0	2
OHIO	MONTGOMERY COUNTY	483,000	0	6	7	1	2
OHIO	STARK COUNTY	279,000	0	4	4	0	2
OHIO	SUMMIT COUNTY	261,000	0	4	5	0	1
OHIO	OHIO STATE PROGRAM	21,290,000	1,356	134	148	28	95
	<b>OHIO Total</b>	<b>89,324,000</b>	<b>5,302</b>	<b>467</b>	<b>516</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>578</b>
OKLAHOMA	BROKEN ARROW	68,000	0	1	1	0	0
OKLAHOMA	EDMOND	63,000	0	1	1	0	0
OKLAHOMA	ENID	103,000	0	2	2	0	0
OKLAHOMA	LAWTON	204,000	0	3	3	0	2
OKLAHOMA	MIDWEST CITY	83,000	0	1	2	0	0
OKLAHOMA	NORMAN	745,000	72	2	3	0	2
OKLAHOMA	OKLAHOMA CITY	4,059,000	284	15	17	10	25
OKLAHOMA	SHAWNEE	442,000	40	1	1	0	2
OKLAHOMA	TULSA	4,131,000	340	11	13	1	23
OKLAHOMA	OKLAHOMA STATE PROGRAM	10,458,000	724	51	56	13	65
OKLAHOMA	CNSRT-TULSA COUNTY	43,000	0	0	0	0	2

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
<b>OKLAHOMA Total</b>		<b>20,399,000</b>	<b>1,460</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>120</b>
OREGON	ASHLAND	31,000	0	1	1	0	0
OREGON	BEAVERTON	65,000	0	1	1	0	0
OREGON	CORVALLIS	94,000	0	2	2	0	0
OREGON	EUGENE	2,154,000	218	4	4	0	8
OREGON	GRESHAM	94,000	0	2	2	0	0
OREGON	HILLSBORO	65,000	0	1	1	0	0
OREGON	MEDFORD	1,024,000	114	2	2	0	1
OREGON	PORTLAND	6,981,000	496	29	32	21	29
OREGON	SALEM	2,621,000	288	3	4	0	6
OREGON	SPRINGFIELD	95,000	0	2	2	0	0
OREGON	CLACKAMAS COUNTY	1,503,000	114	6	7	1	6
OREGON	MULTNOMAH COUNTY	44,000	0	1	1	0	0
OREGON	WASHINGTON COUNTY	1,639,000	146	5	5	0	5
OREGON	OREGON STATE PROGRAM	10,028,000	906	37	41	1	29
<b>OREGON Total</b>		<b>26,438,000</b>	<b>2,282</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>83</b>
PENNSYLVANIA	ABINGTON	133,000	0	2	3	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	ALLENTOWN	1,590,000	86	8	9	1	12
PENNSYLVANIA	ALTOONA	1,139,000	74	6	7	1	4
PENNSYLVANIA	BENSALEM TOWNSHIP	58,000	0	1	1	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	BETHLEHEM	865,000	38	5	5	0	10
PENNSYLVANIA	BRISTOL TOWNSHIP	96,000	0	2	2	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	CARLISLE	740,000	80	1	1	0	2
PENNSYLVANIA	CHESTER	1,608,000	114	5	5	0	15
PENNSYLVANIA	EASTON	289,000	0	3	3	0	4
PENNSYLVANIA	ERIE	1,817,000	86	11	12	1	13
PENNSYLVANIA	HARRISBURG	1,500,000	46	7	8	1	22
PENNSYLVANIA	HAVERFORD	158,000	0	3	3	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	HAZLETON	461,000	32	3	3	0	2
PENNSYLVANIA	JOHNSTOWN	1,072,000	46	5	6	0	15
PENNSYLVANIA	LANCASTER	1,110,000	72	6	6	1	5
PENNSYLVANIA	LEBANON	427,000	30	2	3	0	2
PENNSYLVANIA	LOWER MERION	196,000	0	3	4	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	MCKEESPORT	885,000	46	4	4	0	10
PENNSYLVANIA	MILLCREEK TOWNSHIP	43,000	0	1	1	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	NORRISTOWN	164,000	0	3	3	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	PENN HILLS	105,000	0	2	2	0	0
PENNSYLVANIA	PHILADELPHIA	29,538,000	842	169	186	97	347
PENNSYLVANIA	PITTSBURGH	10,395,000	456	52	57	18	104
PENNSYLVANIA	READING	1,442,000	46	10	11	1	13
PENNSYLVANIA	SCRANTON	1,722,000	84	10	11	1	12

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
PENNSYLVANIA	SHARON	279,000	0	2	2	0	6
PENNSYLVANIA	STATE COLLEGE	150,000	0	2	3	0	1
PENNSYLVANIA	UPPER DARBY	428,000	0	6	7	1	0
PENNSYLVANIA	WILKES-BARRE	934,000	44	6	6	1	6
PENNSYLVANIA	WILLIAMSPORT	657,000	32	4	4	0	6
PENNSYLVANIA	YORK	1,490,000	124	5	6	0	8
PENNSYLVANIA	ALLEGHENY COUNTY	7,509,000	392	46	51	5	38
PENNSYLVANIA	BEAVER COUNTY	1,581,000	56	11	13	1	11
PENNSYLVANIA	BERKS COUNTY	1,024,000	52	8	8	1	2
PENNSYLVANIA	BUCKS COUNTY	2,117,000	184	6	7	1	6
PENNSYLVANIA	CHESTER COUNTY	1,639,000	108	8	9	1	7
PENNSYLVANIA	DELAWARE COUNTY	2,678,000	194	11	13	1	10
PENNSYLVANIA	LANCASTER COUNTY	1,281,000	70	9	10	1	2
PENNSYLVANIA	LUZERNE COUNTY	1,979,000	80	15	16	1	10
PENNSYLVANIA	MONTGOMERY COUNTY	2,134,000	150	10	11	1	7
PENNSYLVANIA	WASHINGTON COUNTY	1,757,000	78	13	14	1	7
PENNSYLVANIA	WESTMORELAND COUNTY	2,481,000	154	12	14	1	14
PENNSYLVANIA	YORK COUNTY	536,000	0	7	8	1	1
PENNSYLVANIA	PENNSYLVANIA STATE PROG	21,474,000	1,234	141	156	35	114
<b>PENNSYLVANIA Total</b>		<b>109,681,000</b>	<b>5,130</b>	<b>646</b>	<b>714</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>849</b>
RHODE ISLAND	CRANSTON	219,000	0	3	3	0	1
RHODE ISLAND	EAST PROVIDENCE	136,000	0	2	2	0	0
RHODE ISLAND	PAWTUCKET	1,176,000	64	6	7	1	8
RHODE ISLAND	PROVIDENCE	3,421,000	172	18	20	11	25
RHODE ISLAND	WARWICK	405,000	32	2	3	0	1
RHODE ISLAND	WOONSOCKET	718,000	30	4	4	0	7
RHODE ISLAND	RHODE ISLAND STATE PROG	2,634,000	140	14	15	1	23
<b>RHODE ISLAND Total</b>		<b>8,709,000</b>	<b>438</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>65</b>
SOUTH CAROLINA	AIKEN	333,000	30	1	1	0	2
SOUTH CAROLINA	ANDERSON	398,000	30	2	2	0	1
SOUTH CAROLINA	CHARLESTON	1,281,000	102	3	4	0	10
SOUTH CAROLINA	COLUMBIA	2,121,000	164	4	4	23	18
SOUTH CAROLINA	FLORENCE	695,000	56	1	1	0	7
SOUTH CAROLINA	GREENVILLE	1,810,000	174	3	4	0	9
SOUTH CAROLINA	MYRTLE BEACH	374,000	42	1	1	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	ROCK HILL	435,000	38	1	2	0	2
SOUTH CAROLINA	SPARTANBURG	1,188,000	96	2	3	0	11
SOUTH CAROLINA	SUMTER	626,000	64	1	2	0	2
SOUTH CAROLINA	CHARLESTON COUNTY	2,311,000	200	7	8	1	8
SOUTH CAROLINA	GREENVILLE COUNTY	492,000	0	6	7	1	1
SOUTH CAROLINA	LEXINGTON COUNTY	155,000	0	3	3	0	0

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
SOUTH CAROLINA	SPARTANBURG COUNTY	217,000	0	4	4	0	0
SOUTH CAROLINA	SOUTH CAROLINA STA PROG	9,646,000	514	70	77	41	46
SOUTH CAROLINA	CNSRT-SUMTER COUNTY	43,000	0	0	0	0	2
<b>SOUTH CAROLINA Total</b>		<b>22,125,000</b>	<b>1,510</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>119</b>
SOUTH DAKOTA	RAPID CITY	826,000	84	2	2	0	3
SOUTH DAKOTA	SIOUX FALLS	1,131,000	120	2	3	0	2
SOUTH DAKOTA	SOUTH DAKOTA STATE PROG	2,974,000	34	20	22	1	53
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA Total</b>		<b>4,931,000</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>57</b>
TENNESSEE	BRISTOL	71,000	0	1	1	0	1
TENNESSEE	CHATTANOOGA	2,214,000	124	6	7	1	28
TENNESSEE	CLARKSVILLE	221,000	0	2	3	0	3
TENNESSEE	JACKSON	781,000	60	2	2	0	7
TENNESSEE	JOHNSON CITY	563,000	42	2	2	0	5
TENNESSEE	KINGSPORT	693,000	70	1	1	0	3
TENNESSEE	KNOXVILLE	3,348,000	232	6	7	1	39
TENNESSEE	MEMPHIS	6,977,000	406	27	30	28	60
TENNESSEE	MURFREESBORO	546,000	54	1	2	0	1
TENNESSEE	NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	5,788,000	328	14	16	14	72
TENNESSEE	OAK RIDGE	54,000	0	1	1	0	1
TENNESSEE	KNOX COUNTY	610,000	48	3	4	0	2
TENNESSEE	SHELBY COUNTY	266,000	0	4	4	0	2
TENNESSEE	TENNESSEE STATE PROGRAM	12,103,000	662	74	81	18	90
<b>TENNESSEE Total</b>		<b>34,235,000</b>	<b>2,026</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>314</b>
TEXAS	ABILENE	693,000	56	3	4	0	2
TEXAS	AMARILLO	2,499,000	260	6	6	1	3
TEXAS	ARLINGTON	2,139,000	196	7	8	1	3
TEXAS	AUSTIN	4,075,000	244	20	22	22	21
TEXAS	BAYTOWN CITY	629,000	56	3	3	0	1
TEXAS	BEAUMONT	1,730,000	152	6	6	1	5
TEXAS	BROWNSVILLE	2,485,000	200	10	11	1	7
TEXAS	BRYAN	1,510,000	164	3	3	0	3
TEXAS	CARROLLTON	104,000	0	2	2	0	0
TEXAS	COLLEGE STATION	188,000	0	3	3	0	1
TEXAS	CONROE	74,000	0	1	1	0	0
TEXAS	CORPUS CHRISTI	1,833,000	78	12	13	1	12
TEXAS	DALLAS	12,014,000	842	47	52	69	53
TEXAS	DENISON	66,000	0	1	1	0	0
TEXAS	DENTON	955,000	94	3	3	0	2
TEXAS	EDINBURG	671,000	64	2	2	0	2

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
TEXAS	EL PASO	5,535,000	324	30	33	3	29
TEXAS	FORT WORTH	5,103,000	412	19	21	19	14
TEXAS	GALVESTON	1,166,000	92	4	5	0	7
TEXAS	GARLAND	988,000	82	5	5	0	2
TEXAS	GRAND PRAIRIE	1,073,000	104	3	4	0	2
TEXAS	HARLINGEN	669,000	50	3	4	0	3
TEXAS	HOUSTON	15,175,000	842	85	94	200	49
TEXAS	IRVING	427,000	0	5	6	1	1
TEXAS	KILLEEN	177,000	0	3	3	0	1
TEXAS	LAREDO	1,612,000	88	11	12	1	6
TEXAS	LEWISVILLE	71,000	0	1	1	0	0
TEXAS	LONGVIEW	584,000	54	3	3	0	1
TEXAS	LUBBOCK	1,233,000	70	8	9	1	5
TEXAS	MC ALLEN	1,139,000	82	6	7	1	2
TEXAS	MARSHALL	457,000	48	1	1	0	0
TEXAS	MESQUITE	929,000	94	3	3	0	1
TEXAS	MIDLAND	420,000	30	3	4	0	0
TEXAS	MISSION	647,000	62	2	3	0	1
TEXAS	MISSOURI CITY	45,000	0	1	1	0	0
TEXAS	NEW BRAUNFELS	64,000	0	1	1	0	0
TEXAS	NORTH RICHLAND HILLS	51,000	0	1	1	0	0
TEXAS	ODESSA	893,000	80	4	4	0	2
TEXAS	ORANGE	695,000	70	1	1	0	3
TEXAS	PASADENA	1,010,000	86	5	6	0	2
TEXAS	PHARR	588,000	46	3	4	0	2
TEXAS	PLANO	155,000	0	3	3	0	0
TEXAS	PORT ARTHUR	1,646,000	166	5	5	0	4
TEXAS	RICHARDSON	78,000	0	1	1	0	0
TEXAS	ROUND ROCK	65,000	0	1	1	0	0
TEXAS	SAN ANGELO	586,000	46	3	4	0	1
TEXAS	SAN ANTONIO	12,408,000	842	49	54	25	75
TEXAS	SAN BENITO	127,000	0	2	2	0	1
TEXAS	SAN MARCOS	113,000	0	2	2	0	0
TEXAS	SHERMAN	590,000	64	1	1	0	1
TEXAS	TEMPLE	104,000	0	2	2	0	0
TEXAS	TEXARKANA	1,489,000	166	1	2	0	4
TEXAS	TEXAS CITY	88,000	0	2	2	0	0
TEXAS	TYLER	801,000	76	3	3	0	1
TEXAS	VICTORIA	437,000	32	2	3	0	2
TEXAS	WACO	1,663,000	142	5	6	1	6
TEXAS	WICHITA FALLS	874,000	66	4	5	0	4
TEXAS	BEXAR COUNTY	1,288,000	108	5	6	1	2
TEXAS	BRAZORIA COUNTY	331,000	0	5	6	0	1
TEXAS	DALLAS COUNTY	1,863,000	184	5	6	0	3
TEXAS	FORT BEND COUNTY	402,000	0	5	6	1	1



**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
TEXAS	HARRIS COUNTY	3,170,000	130	28	30	3	6
TEXAS	HIDALGO COUNTY	2,168,000	66	21	23	2	5
TEXAS	MONTGOMERY COUNTY	254,000	0	4	5	0	0
TEXAS	TARRANT COUNTY	676,000	0	9	10	1	2
TEXAS	TEXAS STATE PROGRAM	25,056,000	1,060	208	230	64	138
	<b>TEXAS Total</b>	<b>128,848,000</b>	<b>8,270</b>	<b>718</b>	<b>793</b>	<b>420</b>	<b>506</b>
UTAH	CLEARFIELD	48,000	0	1	1	0	0
UTAH	LAYTON	60,000	0	1	1	0	0
UTAH	OGDEN	843,000	70	4	4	0	2
UTAH	OREM	104,000	0	2	2	0	0
UTAH	PROVO	1,455,000	136	5	5	0	4
UTAH	SALT LAKE CITY	1,964,000	120	12	13	1	6
UTAH	SANDY CITY	80,000	0	1	2	0	0
UTAH	TAYLORSVILLE	67,000	0	1	1	0	0
UTAH	WEST JORDAN	65,000	0	1	1	0	0
UTAH	WEST VALLEY	504,000	42	3	3	0	0
UTAH	SALT LAKE COUNTY	1,904,000	146	8	8	1	7
UTAH	UTAH STATE PROGRAM	2,042,000	78	19	21	10	8
	<b>UTAH Total</b>	<b>9,136,000</b>	<b>592</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>28</b>
VERMONT	BURLINGTON	1,099,000	108	3	3	0	3
VERMONT	VERMONT STATE PROGRAM	3,836,000	280	21	23	1	14
	<b>VERMONT Total</b>	<b>4,935,000</b>	<b>388</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>17</b>
VIRGINIA	ALEXANDRIA	1,608,000	150	3	3	0	7
VIRGINIA	BRISTOL	106,000	0	1	1	0	2
VIRGINIA	CHARLOTTESVILLE	125,000	0	2	2	0	1
VIRGINIA	CHESAPEAKE	998,000	84	4	4	0	4
VIRGINIA	COLONIAL HEIGHTS	15,000	0	0	0	0	0
VIRGINIA	DANVILLE	747,000	60	3	3	0	4
VIRGINIA	FREDERICKSBURG	34,000	0	1	1	0	0
VIRGINIA	HAMPTON	1,849,000	174	3	4	0	10
VIRGINIA	HOPEWELL	116,000	0	1	1	0	3
VIRGINIA	LYNCHBURG	541,000	44	2	3	0	2
VIRGINIA	NEWPORT NEWS	2,533,000	204	5	6	1	19
VIRGINIA	NORFOLK	4,308,000	230	17	19	6	45
VIRGINIA	PETERSBURG	225,000	0	2	2	0	4
VIRGINIA	PORTSMOUTH	1,761,000	108	5	6	1	18
VIRGINIA	RICHMOND	3,812,000	180	15	16	31	43
VIRGINIA	ROANOKE	1,565,000	104	5	6	0	16
VIRGINIA	SUFFOLK	609,000	52	2	2	0	3

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
VIRGINIA	VIRGINIA BEACH	1,105,000	52	7	8	39	2
VIRGINIA	ARLINGTON COUNTY	1,310,000	102	6	6	1	3
VIRGINIA	CHESTERFIELD COUNTY	209,000	0	3	4	0	1
VIRGINIA	FAIRFAX COUNTY	3,436,000	252	15	17	1	10
VIRGINIA	HENRICO COUNTY	243,000	0	4	4	0	1
VIRGINIA	PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY	260,000	0	4	5	0	1
VIRGINIA	VIRGINIA STATE PROGRAM	13,768,000	1,160	57	63	30	37
<b>VIRGINIA Total</b>		<b>41,283,000</b>	<b>2,956</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>236</b>
WASHINGTON	AUBURN	55,000	0	1	1	0	0
WASHINGTON	BELLEVUE	102,000	0	2	2	0	0
WASHINGTON	BELLINGHAM	840,000	80	2	2	0	3
WASHINGTON	BREMERTON	911,000	88	1	2	0	5
WASHINGTON	EVERETT	1,137,000	114	2	3	0	3
WASHINGTON	FEDERAL WAY	83,000	0	1	2	0	0
WASHINGTON	KENNEWICK	474,000	46	1	2	0	1
WASHINGTON	OLYMPIA	650,000	72	1	1	0	1
WASHINGTON	PASCO	135,000	0	2	2	0	2
WASHINGTON	RICHLAND	379,000	38	1	1	0	1
WASHINGTON	SEATTLE	7,798,000	428	36	40	39	53
WASHINGTON	SPOKANE	2,760,000	234	11	12	1	5
WASHINGTON	TACOMA	2,804,000	238	8	9	1	12
WASHINGTON	VANCOUVER	1,239,000	128	2	2	0	4
WASHINGTON	YAKIMA	601,000	52	2	3	0	2
WASHINGTON	LAKESWOOD	128,000	0	2	2	0	0
WASHINGTON	SHORELINE	53,000	0	1	1	0	0
WASHINGTON	CLARK COUNTY	304,000	0	5	5	0	2
WASHINGTON	KING COUNTY	4,463,000	320	15	16	1	28
WASHINGTON	KITSAP COUNTY	491,000	28	3	4	0	3
WASHINGTON	PIERCE COUNTY	2,181,000	170	10	11	1	4
WASHINGTON	SNOHOMISH COUNTY	1,996,000	154	8	9	1	5
WASHINGTON	SPOKANE COUNTY	296,000	0	5	5	0	1
WASHINGTON	WASHINGTON STATE PROGRAM	5,089,000	222	37	40	20	36
WASHINGTON	CNSRT-LONGVIEW	20,000	0	0	0	0	1
<b>WASHINGTON Total</b>		<b>34,989,000</b>	<b>2,412</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>169</b>
WEST VIRGINIA	CHARLESTON	2,463,000	206	6	7	1	15
WEST VIRGINIA	HUNTINGTON	1,305,000	82	7	7	1	7
WEST VIRGINIA	PARKERSBURG	1,047,000	104	3	4	0	2
WEST VIRGINIA	WEIRTON	442,000	44	1	2	0	1
WEST VIRGINIA	WHEELING	459,000	0	5	5	0	7
WEST VIRGINIA	WEST VIRGINIA STATE PROG	8,484,000	590	51	56	2	34

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
<b>WEST VIRGINIA Total</b>		<b>14,200,000</b>	<b>1,026</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>66</b>
WISCONSIN	APPLETON	466,000	46	2	2	0	0
WISCONSIN	BELOIT	566,000	54	2	2	0	1
WISCONSIN	EAU CLAIRE	449,000	36	2	2	0	2
WISCONSIN	GREEN BAY	2,326,000	266	3	3	0	3
WISCONSIN	JANESVILLE	430,000	42	2	2	0	0
WISCONSIN	KENOSHA	779,000	70	3	4	0	1
WISCONSIN	LA CROSSE	203,000	0	3	4	0	1
WISCONSIN	MADISON	1,259,000	84	6	7	1	5
WISCONSIN	MILWAUKEE	9,238,000	506	54	60	15	45
WISCONSIN	NEENAH	36,000	0	1	1	0	0
WISCONSIN	OSHKOSH	160,000	0	3	3	0	0
WISCONSIN	RACINE	1,229,000	92	6	7	1	2
WISCONSIN	SHEBOYGAN	171,000	0	3	3	0	0
WISCONSIN	SUPERIOR	222,000	0	3	3	0	2
WISCONSIN	WAUKESHA	458,000	48	1	1	0	0
WISCONSIN	WAUSAU	359,000	30	2	2	0	0
WISCONSIN	WAUWATOSA	188,000	0	3	4	0	0
WISCONSIN	WEST ALLIS	562,000	42	4	4	0	0
WISCONSIN	DANE COUNTY	782,000	76	3	3	0	1
WISCONSIN	MILWAUKEE COUNTY	1,684,000	172	4	5	0	3
WISCONSIN	WAUKESHA COUNTY	204,000	0	3	3	0	2
WISCONSIN	WISCONSIN STATE PROGRAM	7,423,000	182	80	89	12	39
<b>WISCONSIN Total</b>		<b>29,194,000</b>	<b>1,746</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>108</b>
WYOMING	CASPER	732,000	82	1	1	0	1
WYOMING	CHEYENNE	753,000	80	1	2	0	1
WYOMING	WYOMING STATE PROGRAM	826,000	0	8	9	0	12
<b>WYOMING Total</b>		<b>2,311,000</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>
PUERTO RICO	AGUADILLA MUNICIPIO	464,000	0	6	7	1	1
PUERTO RICO	ARECIBO MUNICIPIO	1,325,000	80	10	11	1	1
PUERTO RICO	BAYAMON MUNICIPIO	2,261,000	132	16	18	2	3
PUERTO RICO	CAGUAS MUNICIPIO	1,653,000	100	12	13	1	2
PUERTO RICO	CANOVANAS MUNICIPIO	242,000	0	4	5	0	0
PUERTO RICO	CAROLINA MUNICIPIO	1,349,000	46	13	14	1	2
PUERTO RICO	CAYEY MUNICIPIO	271,000	0	5	5	0	0
PUERTO RICO	CIDRA MUNICIPIO	217,000	0	4	4	0	0
PUERTO RICO	FAJARDO MUNICIPIO	188,000	0	3	4	0	0
PUERTO RICO	GUAYNABO MUNICIPIO	751,000	32	7	7	1	1
PUERTO RICO	HUMACAO MUNICIPIO	384,000	0	5	6	1	0
PUERTO RICO	JUANA DIAZ MUNICIPIO	316,000	0	6	6	0	0

**POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HOUSE MARK OF HUD'S FY2001 BUDGET REQUEST  
(by Entitlement Community)**

STATE	ENTITLEMENT COMMUNITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT					
		TOTAL DOLLARS LOST <sup>1</sup>	HOUSING VOUCHERS <sup>2</sup>	JOB IMPACT <sup>3</sup>	HOUSEHOLDS ASSISTED BY CDBG	HOMELESS AND PERSONS WITH AIDS <sup>4</sup>	HOUSING FOR FAMILIES <sup>5</sup>
PUERTO RICO	MANATI MUNICIPIO	232,000	0	4	4	0	0
PUERTO RICO	MAYAGUEZ MUNICIPIO	1,273,000	70	10	11	1	2
PUERTO RICO	PONCE MUNICIPIO	2,485,000	134	19	21	2	3
PUERTO RICO	RIO GRANDE MUNICIPIO	257,000	0	4	5	0	0
PUERTO RICO	SAN JUAN MUNICIPIO	6,403,000	356	35	39	0	11
PUERTO RICO	TOA ALTA MUNICIPIO	251,000	0	4	5	0	0
PUERTO RICO	TOA BAJA MUNICIPIO	563,000	0	8	8	1	1
PUERTO RICO	TRUJILLO ALTO MUNICIPIO	285,000	0	5	5	0	0
PUERTO RICO	VEGA BAJA MUNICIPIO	416,000	0	6	6	1	0
PUERTO RICO	PUERTO RICO STATE PROG	21,467,000	794	137	151	8	279
<b>PUERTO RICO Total</b>		<b>43,053,000</b>	<b>1,744</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>355</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>306</b>
	<b>TOTAL FOR COUNTRY</b>	<b>\$2,024,989,000</b>	<b>120,000</b>	<b>10,340</b>	<b>11,425</b>	<b>5,910</b>	<b>12,622</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes cuts from all HUD funding programs, except the Native American programs, America's Private Investment Companies, and EZ/EC's.

<sup>2</sup> Includes impacts of cuts in incremental vouchers.

<sup>3</sup> Includes impacts of cuts in CDBG formula grants.

<sup>4</sup> Includes impacts of cuts in ESG, COC, and HOPWA.

<sup>5</sup> Includes HOME, Public Housing Capital Grants, HOPE VI, and Elderly and Disabled housing programs.